

PRACTICE OF PESTICIDE USE AND ITS HEALTH EFFECTS AMONG FARMERS IN BHAKTAPUR: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

Prashamsa Bhandari^{1*}, Pratibha Bhandari², Min Bahadur Kuwar³

Article History: Received: 7 August 2024, Accepted: 2 December 2024, Published: 14 December 2024

Abstract

The global rise in pesticide usage is a concern in the agricultural sector, with Nepal experiencing a steady annual increase in pesticide application. This study aimed to assess the pesticide use, health effects, and associated factors among farmers in Bhaktapur, District. A cross-sectional analytical study was conducted from June to December 2019. involving 320 farmers in Bhaktapur selected through a simple random sampling method. Data were collected through face-to-face interviews utilizing pre-tested semi-structured questionnaires. The statistical analysis was performed via SPSS Software version 16.0. Additionally, the Chi-Square test was applied to assess the associations between independent variables and health effects among farmers. Most of the farmers used herbicides (40%), insecticides (29.7%), and Fungicides (22%). A total of 64.2% of the respondents complained of discomfort immediately after spraving pesticides. The most common complaints reported by farmers were skin rashes and burning sensations (72.5%), headaches (27.3%), nausea (46.7%), dizziness (41.6%), and respiratory issues (8.3%). Health effects were more prevalent among farmers with over five years of pesticide use and those lacking training. Farmers' knowledge of health impacts and their use of personal protective equipment (PPE) were strongly linked to the health effects they experienced. Even though farmers are knowledgeable about pesticides, many still practice unsafe methods when using them, resulting in health problems. To address this, the government should offer regular refresher training on the safe use, handling, and disposal of pesticides, ensuring farmers can apply their knowledge safely and effectively in the field.

Keywords: Farmers, Health effects, Nepal, Occupational safety, Pesticide

^{1*} Department of Public Health, Nobel College, Kathmandu, Nepal Email: bprashamsa132@gmail.com; Orcid: 0009-0009-6732-3107

² Department of Medicine, National Medical College, Birgunj, Nepal

³ Department of Public Health, Nobel College, Kathmandu, Nepal

Introduction

Pesticide exposure leads to both acute and chronic health effects, with acute poisoning being the most widely recognized form of effects. (Brown et. al., 1989). Among the global consumption of pesticides, about 20% is accounted for utilization in developing countries and this percentage is on the rise. (Zyoud et. al., 2010) Every year, around 25 Million cases of mild pesticide poisoning are reported by agricultural workers in developing Asian countries (Thapa et. al., 2021). The World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Environment Programme report that annually, approximately 3 million agricultural workers in developing countries suffer from severe pesticide poisoning, with around 18,000 fatalities resulting from these incidents (Zhang et. al., 2021) The Department of Agriculture (DOA) was established in 1952 AD in Nepal. More than 66% of Nepalese individuals are working in the agricultural sector (Alavanja et. al., 2004). To maximize agricultural production, most farmers use pesticides in their fields. Therefore, pesticide use has been steadily increasing annually in Nepal. Nepal, like many other countries, faces issues with the misuse, overuse, and improper application of chemical pesticides in agriculture.

Over the past five decades, pesticide use has dramatically boosted agricultural production. However, using highly toxic chemicals has increased health risks, particularly among farmers in low-income countries like Nepal (Giri, 2010). In many developing nations, including Nepal, the lack of proper training for agricultural workers in pesticide use and management has seriously affected human health and the environment(Brown et. al., 1989). Bhaktapur is one of the districts located in Bagmati Province where a significant number of people have been farming for many years to produce significant quantities of food and vegetables. Farmers are using pesticides to increase their agricultural production. Even though the application of pesticides has contributed to increasing the productivity of vegetables in Bhaktapur, the successes have unfortunately often been accompanied by the problem of mishandling pesticides (Toledo et. al., 2023) Despite being a small-scale study, this research offers valuable insights into farmers' knowledge and practices surrounding pesticide use, as well as the health issues they face. This study aimed to reveal the health effects experienced among agricultural farmers and the factors associated s with health problems.

Methods

The researchers conducted a community-based cross-sectional study involving the farmers from Ward No. 1 of Suryabinayak Municipality in Bhaktapur District, who regularly used pesticides on their farms. The study took place between June and October 2019. A Semi-Structured questionnaire was prepared concerning various research papers and supervisors. Approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Committee of Nobel College. Permission was obtained from the colleges, and informed consent was obtained from the participants before data collection. The study involved

farmers aged 20 and above who had experience handling pesticides, either on their farms or on land they worked for others. A total of 320 farmers were selected for the study, based on sample size calculations that considered the prevalence of skin problems (26.6%) among vegetable farmers in Bhaktapur. The study used a 95% confidence interval and accounted for a 6% nonresponse rate. Data were gathered through face-toface interviews during door-to-door visits using semi-structured, pretested questionnaires. This covered demographic information, knowledge of pesticide health impacts, pesticide handling practices, and self-reported health effects. The questionnaire was pretested with 30 farmers (10% of the total sample) using a non-probability sampling method in the Kathmandu District. Data were collected, and the collected data were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Sociodemographic characteristics were assessed. Knowledge and experience were assessed. Data entry and analysis were performed using SPSS version 16. The Chi-square test was used to assess associations, with a significance level set at p-value < 0.05.

Results

Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Farmers

Table 1 depicts the socio-demographic characteristics of the farmers. The majority of farmers 73.1% were between 30-59 years followed by those older than 60 years 18.6%. Similarly, 59.7% of farmers were male, whereas 40.3% were female. The majority 80.9% of the farmers 51.3% were literate. The majority 67% of the farmers had been using pesticides for more than 5 years.

Table 1

Characteristics	Number (n=320)	Percent (%)
Age		
20-29 years	42	13.1
30-59 years	234	73.1
≥ 60 years	44	13.8
Sex		
Male	191	59.7
Female	129	40.3
Educational Status		
Illiterate	61	19.1
Literate	259	80.9

Farmer's Profile

Table 2 depicts the profile of the farmers involved in the study. More than three-fourths of farmers 77% use pesticides. Approximately 34.6% of the farmers had received formal training on how to handle pesticides. The majority 67% of the farmers had been using pesticides for more than five years.

Table 2 Farmer's profile

Profile of Farmers	Number (n=320)	Percent (%)
Years in Farming		
<5 years	58	18.2
5-10 years	219	68.4
>10 years	43	13.4
Use of Pesticide for Farming		
Yes	246	76.9
No	74	23.1
Years of Pesticide Use(n=246)		
<5 years	79	32.1
5-10 years	95	38.6
>10 years	72	29.3
Trainings on handling pesticid	e(n=246)	
Yes	85	34.6
No	161	65.4

Farmers' knowledge and understanding of pesticide

Table 3 illustrates the farmer's knowledge and understanding of pesticides. The majority of farmers 61% believe that pesticides are used to kill pests. This study shows that 58.9% of the farmers know the pesticide names they are using, and most of them primarily rely on herbicides which is 40% followed by insecticides, fungicides rodenticides bactericides, etc. The workers frequently associated the toxicity of pesticides with the chemical odour of the substances, believing that stronger, more intense smells indicated higher toxicity. Most people have little understanding of the scientific colour code system. Approximately 59.7% of the farmers were aware of different colors (blue, red, green, and yellow) in the pesticide container. More than half of the farmers 62.2% knew that pesticides affect human health.

Table 3

e	0 1 1	
Perceived Knowledge	Number (n=246)	Percent (%)
Reason for using pesticides		
Destroying pests	150	61.0
Increase agricultural quality production	74	30.1
Others	22	8.9
Know the names of pesticides used for	or crops.	
Yes	145	58.9
No	101	41.1
If yes (n=145)		
Insecticide	43	29.7
Fungicide	32	22.0

Farmer's knowledge and understanding of pesticides

Practice of Pesticide Use and ...: Bhandari, Bhandari, and Kuwar

Perceived Knowledge	Number (n=246)	Percent (%)
Herbicide	58	40.0
Others	12	8.3
Meaning of the color of the pe	sticide container	
Yes	146	59.5
No	100	40.7
Knowledge of the health impa	ct of pesticides	
Yes	155	62.2
No	91	37.8

Practice Regarding Pesticide Use

Table 4 illustrates that 65.9% of the farmers used to read the label on the pesticide container. Almost half of the farmers had 49.2% sprayed pesticides. Five to ten times in the previous six months. The majority of the farmers 75.2% used to determine the wind direction first and spray the pesticide. The majority of the farmers 69.9% used protective measures, whereas 62.8% of the farmers covered their noses, either by wearing a mask or tying a piece of cloth around it,59.3% wore long sleeve shirts, 58.8% wore long pants, 43.6% wore long boots, 38.4% wore gloves, 21.5% wore gaggles, 25.6% wore hats, and 30.2% wore all of the above protective measures This study revealed that the majority of farmers 67.5% used bare hands while mixing pesticides. The majority of the farmers used to mix the pesticide outside their houses.

Table 4

Practice regarding pesticide use	Number (n=246)	Percent (%)
Read the label		
Yes	162	65.9
No	84	34.1
No. of spraying time in the last 6 months		
<5 times	59	24.0
5-10 times	121	49.2
>10 times	66	26.8
Determine wind direction first and spray		
Yes	185	75.2
No	61	24.8
Use of Personal Protective Equipment Wh	ile Spraying Pesticide	
Yes	172	69.9
No	74	30.1
If Yes (n=172) *		
Mask	108	62.8
Boot	75	43.6
Long sleeve shirt	102	59.3
Long pant	96	58.8
Gaggles	37	21.5

Practice regarding pesticide use

Practice regarding pesticide use	Number (n=246)	Percent (%)
Gloves	66	38.4
Hat	44	25.6
All of the above	52	30.2
Mix Pesticide Solution		
By bare hand	166	67.5
By wearing gloves	80	32.5
Personal hygiene measures after Spraying		
Wash hands immediately after spraying	157	63.8
Take a bath after spraying	89	36.2
Mixing place		
In the living house	7	2.8
Outside the house	153	62.2
In the field	41	16.7
Beside a water source	19	7.7
Distance from well or open water	26	10.6

* Multiple response

Self -reported Health Problems of the farmers

Table 5 depicts the self-reported health problems of the farmers. Among the 246 farmers, 48.8% experienced health problems due to pesticides. Approximately 72.5% of the farmers complained of skin rashes and burning sensations, followed by 70% with headache, 46.7% with nausea, 41.6% with dizziness, 8.3% with respiratory problems, and 8.3% with other symptoms (weakness, abdominal pain)

Table 5

Self-reported health problem related to felt problems and symptoms of that health problem due to pesticide

Self-reported health problem	Number (n=246)	Percent (%)	
Felt any health problems due to pesticides in the past			
Yes	120	48.8	
No	126	51.2	
If Yes (n=120) *			
Skin rashes/ burning sensation	87	72.5	
Headache	84	70	
Nausea	56	46.7	
Dizziness	50	41.6	
Respiratory problem	45	37.5	
Other	9	8.3	

* Multiple response

Associations between Independent variables and health effects among farmers

Table 6 shows the association between training on pesticides and years of pesticide use and health effects on farmers. Farmers who had no training in pesticides and more

than 5 years of pesticide use had a high proportion of health effects. There was a significant association between the mixing of pesticides and health effects. Compared with farmers who used gloves, those who used bare hands had a higher proportion of health effects Similarly, the use of PPE was associated with health effects. There was a high proportion of health effects among farmers who did not use PPE.

Independent Variables	Health Effects			
(Characteristics)	Yes Number (%)	No Number (%)	Total Number (%)	p-value
Training on pesticide han	. ,	Rumber (70)	Tumber (70)	
Yes	26(30.6%)	59(69.4%)	85(34.6%)	< 0.002
No	94(58.4%)	67(41.6%)	161(65.4%)	
Years of pesticide use				
Less than five years	27(34.2%)	52(65.8%)	79(32.1%)	
Five to ten years	45(47.4%)	50(52.6%)	95(38.6%)	< 0.001
More than ten years	48(66.7%)	24(19%)	72(29.3%)	
Knowledge of health impa	act			
Yes	44(28.4%)	111(71.6%)	155(63%)	< 0.001
No	76(83.5%)	15(16.5%)	91(37%)	
Mixing the pesticides				
By wearing gloves	17(21.2%)	63(78.8%)	80(32.5%)	< 0.002
By bare hands	103(62%)	63(30%)	166(67.5%)	
Use of PPE				
Yes	75(43.6%)	97(56.4%)	172(69.9%)	< 0.013
No	45(60.8%)	29(39.2%)	74(30.1%)	

Table 6

Discussion

The results of the study show that the majority of the farmers 73.1% were in the 30-59 years age group, followed by those older than 60 years 13.8% which is somewhat similar to the findings of previous studies 59.7% males and 40.3% females. (Oesterlund et. al., 2014).

In terms of the profile of the farmers, 18.2% had less than five years of farming experience which is in line with the study conducted in Palestine.(Zyoud et. al., 2010). In this study, 65.4% of the farmers did not receive any training related to pesticide use and handling. In a similar study conducted in Dhading 93.3% did not receive any training on pesticide use. (Karunamoorthi et. al., 2011).

In this study, most farmers understood that pesticides are used to kill pests and increase agricultural production. While they were generally aware of the health risks associated with pesticide use, they often neglected safety measures, leading to greater exposure to pesticide poisoning. This finding aligns with a similar study conducted in Nepal. (Kafle et. al., 2014). It shows that only (n=146, 59.3%) of the farmers knew about

the meaning of different colors (blue, red, green, and yellow) on the pesticide container. Similarly, 48.4% of the farmers knew that pesticides affect human health. In a similar study done in Palestine 57.7% of the respondents reported that pesticides affect human health. (Zyoud et. al., 2010).

While purchasing, approximately 65.9% of the farmers carefully looked for pesticide labels on the container, showing their alertness and attentive nature toward safe handling. Many farmers do not care about the importance of safe handling of pesticides. Our study revealed that 51.9% of the farmers used their bare hands while mixing pesticides. Similarly, a study conducted in the Dhading District found that almost all farmers did not consider looking for pesticide labels The study also reported that farmers continued to use 16.7% highly hazardous and 50% moderately hazardous pesticides, with half of them using their bare hands while mixing the chemicals., this study revealed that farmers who used their bare hands were more likely to be at risk than those who wore gloves while mixing pesticides.(Shrestha et. al., 2010).

In our study, 49.2% of farmers reported spraying pesticides five to ten times in the last six months. Additionally, almost 75.2% of them applied pesticides without considering the wind direction. This oversight could increase their inhalation of pesticides and skin contact. Even those who were aware of wind direction often did not postpone spraying on windy days. A similar study conducted in Sunsari District found that 35.4% of farmers sprayed pesticides five to nine times per year. Furthermore, 71.7% of the farmers in that study checked the wind direction before applying pesticides. Studies have shown that farmers who do not consider wind direction when spraying are at a higher risk for health issues. Specifically, those who ignore wind direction are 2.25 times more likely to report health problems. These findings highlight the need for better awareness and safety practices among farmers regarding pesticide application. (Lamichhane et. al., 2019)

Our study revealed that farmers were not using adequate personal protective measures (PPE) when applying pesticides, mainly because they weren't in the habit of wearing it and found it too costly. A similar study showed that 66.6% of farmers did not use any form of PPE due to a lack of knowledge. Lack of habit of wearing such protective measures and poor affordability. (Kafle et. al., 2014). This finding is in line with our findings which showed that face masks were the most popular PPE worn by farmers 62.8%. Ironically, the purpose of using a face mask was not to prevent pesticide exposure but to eliminate bad odour. Similarly, 59.3% of the farmers reported using long-sleeved shirts, and 58.8% used long pants during spraying.

The majority followed the practice of washing hands immediately after spraying pesticides which was found to be a basic precautionary measure followed by the farmers. It showed that the study showed that most farmers followed safer practices, reducing their risk of pesticide poisoning. Similar to research conducted in Nepal, Oman, Ethiopia, and Bolivia but highly skewed from Cambodian findings which reported that 97% of farmers washed their bodies after spraying (Aryal et. al., 2016). This statement is supported by our study results.

The preferred mixing pesticide area was a place far from the living house which is the most accepted site but 7.7% of farmers mix pesticides beside a water source, which is not a good sign. Pesticide use brings about various environmental issues since they are a major leading cause of water pollution. Some pesticides are considered persistent organic pollutants, contributing significantly to environmental contamination (EPA, Safe & Effective Handling of Pesticides). With high environmental contamination. This kind of behavior may lead to accidental poisoning of domestic animals and humans. Very few had chosen the living room as a place for mixing pesticides. Only those farmers had no spare area except a roomed house and mixed pesticides in the same living room.

The study showed that the most commonly self-reported symptoms of pesticide toxicity were skin rash 72.5%, headache 70, nausea 46.7%, and dizziness 31.6%. This is similar to a study done among farm workers in the West Bank, Palestine, where self-reported symptoms of pesticide toxicity included skin rash 37.5%, headache 37%, excessive sweating 24.9%, and diarrhea 21.3% (Dey, 2010). The differences between the two studies may be due to variations in pesticide use practices and the safety precautions taken during application (Zyoud et. al., 2010).

The study found that the most commonly reported symptoms of pesticide toxicity were skin rash 72.5%, headache 70%, nausea 46.7%, and dizziness 31.6%. These findings are in line with a study conducted among farm workers in the West Bank, Palestine, where common symptoms included skin rash 37.5%, headache 37%, excessive sweating 24.9%, and diarrhea 21.3% (Dey, 2010). The differences between the two studies may be due to variations in pesticide use practices and the safety precautions taken during application.

This study showed associations between socio-demographic characteristics and health effects. It was found that gender, marital status, training on pesticides, and years of pesticide use were significantly associated with health effects among farmers (p-value <0.05). A similar association was shown in a study performed among farmers in Uganda.(Kiwango et. al., 2018). It was found that knowledge of health impacts was significantly associated with health effects among farmers (p-value <0.05), which was similar to the findings of a study conducted among farmers in northwestern Jamaica (Ncube et.al., 2011). Similarly, consulting shopkeepers or specialists, mixing pesticides, and using PPE were associated with health effects among farmers (p-value <0.05), Which was similar to the findings of a study on farm workers on the west bank, of Palestine. (Zyoud et. al., 2010).

Conclusions

The majority of the farmers were older males and more educated than they were five years old. The majority of farmers used pesticides; the duration of pesticide use was more than five years; and training on pesticide use and hygiene practices among farmers was limited. Farmers were aware that pesticides affect human health and the color code of pesticide containers. Farmers read labels on pesticides; however, farmers use bare hands to mix pesticides. Farmers determine the wind direction before pesticide use. The use of the Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) is prevalent. Half of the farmers had health problems; skin rashes and headaches were major health problems. Training on pesticides and years of pesticide use were associated with health effects among farmers which means that there was a significant association between training on pesticides and years of pesticide use with the health effects of farmers. Farmers who had no training in pesticides and more than five years of pesticide use had a high proportion of health effects. Unhygienic practices of pesticides and the use of PPE were significantly associated with health effects among farmers, and there was a significant association between the mixing of pesticides and health effects. Compared with farmers who used gloves, those who used bare hands had a higher proportion of health effects. Similarly, the use of PPE was associated with health effects. There was a high proportion of health effects among farmers who did not use PPE.

Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to express their gratefulness to all respondents who participated in the study along with the faculty of public health at Nobel College.

References

- Alavanja, M. C. R., Hoppin, J. A., & Kamel, F. (2004). Health effects of chronic pesticide exposure: Cancer and neurotoxicity. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 25, 155–197. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.25.101802.123020
- Aryal, K. K., Neupane, S., Lohani, G. R., Jors, E., Neupane, D., Khanal, P. R., Jha, B. K., Dhimal, M., Shrestha, B. M., Bista, B., Poudyal, A., & Karki, K. B. (2016). *Health effects of pesticide among vegetable farmers and the adaptation level of integrated pest management program in Nepal, 2014* [Technical Report]. Nepal Health Research Council.

http://elibrary.nhrc.gov.np:8080/handle/20.500.14356/734

- Brown, S. K., Ames, R. G., & Mengle, D. C. (1989). Occupational illnesses from cholinesterase-inhibiting pesticides among agricultural applicators in California, 1982-1985. Archives of Environmental Health, 44(1), 34–39. https://doi.org/10.1080/00039896.1989.9935870
- Dey, N. (2010). USE OF PESTICIDES IN VEGETABLE FARMS AND ITS IMPACT ON THE HEALTH OF FARMERS AND THE ENVIRONMENT. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/USE-OF-PESTICIDES-IN-VEGETABLE-FARMS-AND-ITS-IMPACT-Dey/1c1b8d5d8c8d69aa3eb4b998ab7423916ee009f0
- Karunamoorthi, K., Mohammed, A., & Jemal, Z. (2011). Peasant association member's knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards safe use of pesticide management. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 54(12), 965–970. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.21008

- Kiwango, P. A., Kassim, N., & Kimanya, M. E. (2018). The Risk of Dietary Exposure to Pesticide Residues and Its Association with Pesticide Application Practices among Vegetable Farmers in Arusha, Tanzania. *Journal of Food Research*, 7(2), 86. https://doi.org/10.5539/jfr.v7n2p86
- Knowledge, Practice and Use of Pesticides among Commercial Vegetable Growers of Dhading District, Nepal | Journal of Agriculture and Environment. (n.d.). Retrieved September 24, 2024, from https://www.nepjol.info/index.php/AEJ/article/view/3656
- Lamichhane, R., Lama, N., Subedi, S., Singh, S. B., Sah, R. B., & Yadav, B. K. (2019). Use of Pesticides and Health Risk among Farmers in Sunsari District, Nepal. *Journal of Nepal Health Research Council*, 17(1), 66–70. https://doi.org/10.33314/jnhrc.1204
- Ncube, N. M., Fogo, C., Bessler, P., Jolly, C. M., & Jolly, P. E. (2011). Factors associated with self-reported symptoms of acute pesticide poisoning among farmers in northwestern Jamaica. *Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health*, 66(2), 65–74. https://doi.org/10.1080/19338244.2010.506495
- Oesterlund, A. H., Thomsen, J. F., Sekimpi, D. K., Maziina, J., Racheal, A., & Jørs, E. (2014). Pesticide knowledge, practice and attitude and how it affects the health of small-scale farmers in Uganda: A cross-sectional study. *African Health Sciences*, 14(2), 420–433. https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v14i2.19
- Kafle, L., GC, Y. D., Yang, J. T., Bhattarai, S., Tiwari, S., & Katuwal, M. (2014). Integrated pest management in Nepal. In The Fifth International Conference of Clinical Plant Science (pp. 2563-2324). https://doi.org/ 10.13140/2.1.2563.23246
- Thapa, S., Piras, G., Thapa, S., Goswami, A., Bhandari, P., & Dahal, B. (2021). Study on farmers' Pest management strategy, knowledge of pesticide safety, and practice of pesticide use at Bhaktapur district, Nepal. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 7(1), 1916168. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2021.1916168
- Toledo, L., Salmoral, G., & Viteri-Salazar, O. (2023). Rethinking Agricultural Policy in Ecuador (1960–2020): Analysis Based on the Water–Energy–Food Security Nexus. Sustainability, 15(17), 12850.
- Zhang, Y., Zhang, W., Li, J., Pang, S., Mishra, S., Bhatt, P., Zeng, D., & Chen, S. (2021). Emerging Technologies for Degradation of Dichlorvos: A Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(11), 5789. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18115789
- Zyoud, S. H., Sawalha, A. F., Sweileh, W. M., Awang, R., Al-Khalil, S. I., Al-Jabi, S. W., & Bsharat, N. M. (2010). Knowledge and practices of pesticide use among farm workers in the West Bank, Palestine: Safety implications. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*, 15(4), 252–261. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12199-010-0136-3