

Supervisor Behavior and Job Stress in Eastern Nepal: An Empirical Study

Gajendra Chemjong¹,  Sapana Thapa², Anu Rana Magar³

¹Teaching Assistant, Rabi Campus, Panchthar, Nepal

^{2,3}B.Ed. Scholar, Rabi Campus, Panchthar, Nepal

Article Info.	Abstract
<p>Corresponding Author Gajendra Chemjong</p> <p>Email gajenchemjong11@gmail.com</p> <p>Article History Received: 14 Aug.2024 First Revised: 13 Sept. 2024 Second Revised: 28 Sept. 2024 Accepted: 14 Oct. 2024</p> <p>Cite Chemjong, G., Thapa, S., & Magar, A. R. (2024). Supervisor behavior and job stress in eastern Nepal: An empirical study. <i>Rabi Sangyan</i>, 1(1), 57–70.</p>	<p>The study aims to investigate the relationship between supervisory behavior and job stress among employees in these banks, specifically examining the effects of role clarity, communication, delegation, motivation, and social support. A survey-based research design was implemented, involving a sample of 210 respondents. Correlation and regression were used. The overall job stress mean score is 3.96, with high agreement on supervisor support (4.47) but lower scores for work-life balance (2.94). Role clarity scores a strong 4.35, indicating clear job expectations that help reduce stress. Communication is also effective, with a mean of 4.42, enhancing employee morale. Delegation practices received a score of 4.36, particularly regarding workload management. Motivation is notable at 4.38, highlighting appreciation and learning opportunities that mitigate stress. Lastly, social support averages 3.96, with many feeling a sense of belonging, although some report feelings of exclusion (2.94). The study successfully identifies key dimensions of supervisory behavior that impact job stress in Nepalese commercial banks. Enhancing role clarity and communication within supervisor-subordinate relationships may alleviate job stress, contributing to improved employee well-being and organizational performance.</p> <p><i>Keyword:</i> job stress, role clarity, communication, delegation practices, motivation</p>

Introduction

In the rapidly evolving context of Eastern Nepal, organizations face significant challenges driven by tight markets and intensifying competition. The survival and success of these organizations increasingly hinge on their ability to adapt and anticipate changes (Mishra & Aithal, 2022; Mishra, 2020; Mishra, 2022). To navigate this turbulent environment, many organizations are investing substantial resources in workforce development, recognizing that a committed and efficient staff is essential for thriving in such dynamic conditions (Mishra & Aithal, 2023). A critical component of this investment is the organizational socialization

process, which allows newcomers to internalize the values, expected behaviors, and social knowledge necessary for effective participation within the organization (Louis, 1980).

In contemporary society, workplace stress has emerged as a pervasive issue, impacting both the physical and psychological well-being of employees. It is recognized as an inevitable aspect of employee performance, influenced by individual differences in stress tolerance and response. Various factors contribute to stress, including both organizational and personal circumstances. Organizational factors may encompass excessive workloads, time pressures, inadequate supervision,

and conflicting roles, while personal factors might involve life events such as bereavement, family issues, and changes in social or health conditions.

In Eastern Nepal, the banking sector epitomizes the heightened complexity and workload driven by fierce competition. The quest for a competitive edge has led many organizations to prioritize performance over employee well-being, treating staff as mere resources. This approach often neglects the unique contributions of individuals, which can ultimately undermine both employee satisfaction and organizational success. It is essential to acknowledge that while some level of stress is unavoidable, when it exceeds an individual's capacity to cope, it can adversely affect job performance and overall productivity (Maskey & Mishra, 2018; Mishra et al., 2021; Mishra & Aithal 2021a&b; Mishra et al., 2023).

The literature suggests that the pressures of modern business—including the demand for quality and innovation—exacerbate job stress among employees (Jemilohun et al., 2019). Job stress is characterized by a range of mental and physical symptoms resulting from difficulties in acclimatizing to the workplace environment (Omoankhanlen & Eyakephovwan, 2022; Ekienabor, 2016). It can stem from various sources, including leadership style and work characteristics (Wu et al., 2018). As such, there is a pressing need for organizations to develop strategies to mitigate job stress, as its negative consequences can lead to decreased efficiency, increased turnover, and elevated operational costs (Antonova, 2016).

Understanding the nuances of stress in the workplace necessitates a closer examination of supervisor behavior. Research has demonstrated that the conduct of supervisors significantly influences employee morale and psychological well-being. Positive supervisory interactions can foster confidence and reduce stress, while negative behaviors may lead to increased anxiety and job dissatisfaction (Gilbreath, 2012). Despite numerous studies exploring the impact of supervisor behavior on employee outcomes globally, there remains a notable gap in research specifically addressing this

issue within the banking sector in Eastern Nepal. Despite the recognition of stress as a critical factor affecting employee performance and organizational efficiency, the specific dynamics between supervisor behavior and job stress remain underexplored in Eastern Nepal's banking sector.

Research Objective

This study aims to fill this gap by investigating how supervisor behavior relates to job stress among bank employees in Eastern Nepal, contributing to a deeper understanding of workplace dynamics in this region.

Concept of Stress

Stress is a pervasive issue in contemporary society, manifesting as a deviation from an individual's normal physical and psychological state. In the workplace, stress is often viewed as an unavoidable byproduct of employee performance, influenced by both organizational and personal factors. The individualistic nature of stress tolerance means that while some individuals thrive amid various stressors, others may struggle significantly.

Stress can be categorized into organizational and personal factors. Organizational factors include work overload, time pressure, poor supervision, role ambiguity, and a misalignment between company and employee values. Personal factors encompass life events such as bereavement, family issues, prolonged illness, and changes in social dynamics.

In highly competitive sectors like banking, the pressure to remain informed about global trends and maintain a competitive edge often leads to increased workloads and stress among employees. Ekienabor (2016) notes that heightened responsibilities compel employees to exert themselves more vigorously, which can result in job stress. The rapidly evolving business landscape, characterized by intense competition and an emphasis on quality and innovation, exacerbates these pressures (Jemilohun et al., 2019).

Job stress has been described as a range of mental and physical symptoms resulting from difficulties in adapting to work environments

(Omoankhanlen & Eyakephovwan, 2022; Ekienabor (2016) defines job stress as excessive demands that impact employees both physically and psychologically, often stemming from leadership styles and workplace characteristics (Wu et al., 2018). Antonova (2016) emphasizes the urgent need for strategies to mitigate job stress, as it adversely affects organizational efficiency, increases turnover rates, diminishes service quality, and escalates operational costs.

Studies have identified anxiety, depression, and hostility as indicators of job stress (Olusegun et al., 2014), while others distinguish between physiological and psychological stress (Ismail et al., 2015). Given the rising prevalence of job stress, it is critical for supervisors to exhibit appropriate behaviors that can alleviate employee stress. Supervisor behavior significantly influences employee morale and psychological well-being, as noted by Uduji and Onwumere (2013), while Gilbreath (2012) argues that effective supervisory behavior positively impacts employee health.

Historical evidence suggests that supervisory behavior has long been linked to employee psychological states (Sheridan & Vredenburg, 1978). Studies have consistently shown that supervisor behavior affects employees' emotional and physical well-being (Gilbreath & Benson, 2004). Research has explored various leadership styles and their relationships to job stress, identifying toxic leadership as a contributing factor (Dogan & Baloglu, 2019; Abbasi, 2018). Despite existing literature on different predictors of job stress, there remains a notable gap in understanding the specific relationship between supervisor behavior and job stress among bank employees in Rivers State, Nigeria, which this study aims to address.

Supervision

Supervision encompasses various definitions across sources. Bernard (2010) describes supervision as the ability of superiors to influence subordinates' habits in completing tasks. Effective supervision is crucial for achieving organizational goals and enhancing job performance. A competent

supervisor should possess both technical expertise and interpersonal skills, as the quality of supervision can significantly affect employee satisfaction and organizational outcomes (Omisore & Nweke, 2014).

The supervisor's role involves not only directing work but also mentoring subordinates to adapt to technological and psychological changes in the workplace (Leonard & Trusty, 2016). According to Bernard and Goodyear (1992), a healthy supervisory relationship is evaluative and aims to sustain professionalism while improving service quality. Inadequate supervision can have detrimental effects on both employees and organizations, particularly in high-stress professions such as policing.

Effective supervisory styles range from autocratic to democratic, with significant implications for organizational success (Maier, 1993). Research indicates that job-induced stress, recognized as a serious occupational hazard, can lead to job dissatisfaction, burnout, and high turnover intentions (Adebayo & Ogunsina, 2011). In developing countries like Nigeria, where economic and social challenges exacerbate workplace stress, the importance of effective supervision cannot be overstated (Adebayo & Ezeanya, 2010).

Successful organizations must recognize the value of socialization, which involves integrating new employees into the organizational culture and ensuring they understand goals, rules, and procedures (Elangovan & Karakowsky, 1999). Supervisors play a critical role in this process, acting as liaisons between management and employees, thus influencing the overall work environment.

Employee Monitoring and Job Stress

Employee monitoring has become increasingly prevalent, particularly in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, as organizations strive to maintain productivity amid widespread remote work. This review examines the growing trend of employee monitoring, its implications for workplace dynamics, and the relationship between monitoring and job stress.

The Rise of Employee Monitoring

Before the pandemic, many organizations were already enhancing their employee monitoring practices. A [Kropp \(2019\)](#) report found that 50% of surveyed corporations were actively monitoring employee communications, including emails and social media, while an [Accenture \(2019\)](#) survey indicated that 62% of C-suite executives had adopted new tools for employee data collection. This trend intensified with the transition to remote work, as employers sought to ensure productivity during uncertain economic conditions. As a result, numerous digital monitoring tools have emerged, encompassing techniques such as keystroke logging, screen capture, and location tracking.

Ethical Concerns

Despite the potential benefits of monitoring, such as accountability and productivity enhancement, ethical concerns arise regarding trust and privacy. [Ramaswami \(1996\)](#) noted that excessive surveillance can erode the employer-employee relationship, leading to increased stress and burnout. Furthermore, a significant portion of employees—52% according to Accenture—believe that improper data handling damages trust in the workplace. The disquiet stemming from these monitoring practices has prompted discussions about the ethical implications of "corporate surveillance."

Impacts of Monitoring on Employee Well-Being

Research indicates that employee monitoring can adversely affect mental health and job satisfaction. For instance, transparency about monitoring practices has been shown to enhance employee trust. [Panetta \(2018\)](#) found that when employees were informed about monitoring intentions, acceptance rates rose significantly. Conversely, lack of transparency may exacerbate feelings of anxiety and dissatisfaction.

Recommendations for Ethical Monitoring

To mitigate the negative effects of monitoring, organizations are advised to adopt a balanced approach that respects employee autonomy. Key recommendations include:

Careful Metric Selection. Involve stakeholders in determining relevant metrics to avoid unnecessary surveillance and ill-informed decision-making.

Transparency. Communicate openly about monitoring practices, providing employees with avenues for feedback and recourse.

Positive Reinforcement. Utilize monitoring as a tool for support and motivation rather than solely for compliance.

Contextual Understanding. Recognize that external factors may impact employee performance, especially in challenging circumstances like the pandemic.

Equitable Monitoring. Ensure that monitoring practices do not disproportionately target marginalized groups, aligning with broader diversity and inclusion goals.

Gradual De-escalation. Reduce monitoring efforts as circumstances improve, signaling trust to employees.

Job Stress in the Workplace

Job stress is characterized by harmful physical and emotional responses arising from a mismatch between job demands and employee capabilities. [Selye \(1973\)](#) emphasizes that stress can stem from various sources, including environmental, organizational, group, and individual factors. In today's high-pressure work environments, job stress is increasingly prevalent, leading to significant impacts on employee health and organizational performance.

Sources of Job Stress

Stressors in the workplace may include long hours, work overload, and poor working conditions. [Michie \(2002\)](#) highlights that negative managerial behaviors can exacerbate stress, while supportive relationships can mitigate its effects. The current trend of remote work has introduced unique stressors, including isolation and blurred work-life boundaries.

Symptoms and Impact of Job Stress

Symptoms of job-related stress can range from psychological issues like anxiety and irritability to physical health problems. Excessive stress can lead to absenteeism, workplace accidents, and decreased job performance. Research indicates that employees experiencing high stress levels are 30% more likely to be involved in accidents (Workers Occupational Health Services). Furthermore, organizations may face high turnover rates and increased costs associated with recruitment and training.

Stress in the Banking Sector

The banking industry presents a unique context for examining job stress. Banking employees frequently experience role conflict, service pressures, and rapid technological changes, contributing to heightened stress levels. Understanding the roots and outcomes of job stress within this sector is crucial for enhancing employee performance and well-being (M K., & Renukamurthy, 2017).

Managing employee stress is a critical aspect of organizational health and productivity. Managers must navigate their own stress levels while being attuned to those of their subordinates. While much of the literature focuses on reducing stress, a more nuanced approach may involve optimizing stress to maintain a healthy work environment. This review explores the dual perspectives of stress management in organizations, the dimensions of job stress, and the impact of stress on employee motivation.

Understanding Stress in Organizations

The Paradox of Stress

French et al. (1985) highlight that organizational life creates paradoxes that necessitate balance. For instance:

Uncertainty vs. Overcontrol. While uncertainty can induce distress, excessive control can have similar effects.

Pressure vs. Limbo. Pressure can be stressful, but so can a lack of contact or direction.

Responsibility vs. Insignificance. High responsibility may cause distress, yet a lack of responsibility can lead to feelings of insignificance.

Performance Feedback. While performance evaluations can induce stress, the absence of feedback can be equally detrimental.

These paradoxes underscore the need for managers to strike a balance that fosters an optimal level of stress conducive to productivity.

Dimensions of Job Stress

Job stress has been recognized as a significant occupational health issue, affecting various aspects of work life (Johnson et al. 2005). Research indicates that factors such as work routinization, lack of role clarity, quality of workplace social environments, and unclear promotion prospects contribute to increased job stress (Wickramasinghe, 2016; Johnson, et al., 2010).

One notable consequence of prolonged job stress is a decline in career motivation, as evidenced by Van Der Heijden et al. (2009). This correlation suggests the importance of analyzing how work-related dimensions affect job stress and, subsequently, employee motivation. Understanding these relationships is crucial for developing effective stress management strategies.

The Relationship Between Stress, Job Satisfaction, and Career Motivation

Job Stress Defined

Job stress is often described as an employee's experience of tension, anxiety, emotional exhaustion, and distress stemming from work-related factors (Armstrong & Griffin, 2004). Stressors—external forces that impact individuals—can lead to subjective experiences of stress (Hogan et al., 2002; Le Fevre et al., 2003).

Modern organizations increasingly recognize the dual significance of job stress and job satisfaction. Occupational stress is responsible for 50–60% of lost workdays, and is deemed harmful when a mismatch occurs between job demands and employee capabilities or resources. Researchers categorize these factors into physical hazards and psychosocial hazards, with the latter encompassing workplace design, management practices, and social structures.

Impact on Job Satisfaction

There is a well-established relationship between workplace stress and job satisfaction, with high levels of stress correlating with low satisfaction. Job stressors are predictive of job dissatisfaction and a higher likelihood of turnover (Hogan et al., 2002). Job satisfaction encompasses an employee's overall affective orientation towards their work, influenced by both positive and negative emotional states (Wickramasinghe, 2016).

The Role of Stress in Job Satisfaction

Work-related stress can function as both a motivator and a detractor. When positive, stress can foster creativity and satisfaction, while negative stress can lead to aggression and low satisfaction. The relationship between job satisfaction and stress acts as a regulatory factor; satisfied employees may be better equipped to handle stressors. Historically, theories from the neoclassical period emphasized a direct correlation between employee satisfaction and productivity, reinforcing the idea that improving job satisfaction can enhance overall productivity.

Implications for Organizational Practice

Optimizing Stress Management

Organizations must recognize that while stress is inevitable, managing it effectively is crucial for maintaining employee motivation and productivity. Effective strategies include:

Balancing Work Demands. Ensure that employees have manageable workloads while maintaining clear expectations and feedback mechanisms.

Promoting Social Support. Foster a positive social environment to buffer against the negative impacts of stress.

Enhancing Role Clarity. Provide clear job descriptions and responsibilities to minimize ambiguity and stress.

Encouraging Career Development. Facilitate career progression opportunities to enhance motivation and job satisfaction.

By implementing these strategies, organizations can not only mitigate the adverse

effects of job stress but also optimize it to foster a more engaged and productive workforce.

Understanding and managing employee stress is crucial for organizational success. By acknowledging the dual nature of stress and its effects on job satisfaction and career motivation, managers can foster a supportive work environment. Further research is needed to explore the connections between work-related factors, job stress, and employee motivation, which will help develop effective management practices that enhance well-being and performance.

Additionally, while employee monitoring can achieve important organizational goals, it should be approached carefully to protect employee well-being and trust. Addressing job stress is equally vital for maintaining a healthy workplace. As organizations adapt to modern work dynamics, prioritizing ethical monitoring practices and employee mental health will be essential for long-term success and retention. Striking a balance between oversight and respect for employee autonomy will contribute to a more productive and positive workplace culture.

Research Plan and Design

This study utilized a descriptive research methodology, along with explanatory elements, to investigate the relationship between supervisor behavior and job stress among 210 employees in commercial banks in Eastern Nepal. Data collection was conducted through a structured, self-administered questionnaire.

Statistical analyses, including correlation, regression, mean, and standard deviation, were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) and Microsoft Excel. Reliability of the measurement scales was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, ensuring inter-item consistency across the questionnaire items.

Description of Population and Sample

The study population comprised employees from various levels within commercial banks,

including managerial positions, officers, assistants, and trainees/interns. The survey aimed to capture the dynamics of supervisor behavior and its impact on job stress, aligning with the study's research objectives.

Instrumentation

Initially, secondary data were gathered to develop the theoretical framework, sourced from academic journals, books, and online articles. Following this, a structured questionnaire was designed, consisting of 35 items that employed a six-point Likert scale, ranging from "Strongly Disagree" (1) to "Strongly Agree" (6).

The first section of the questionnaire collected demographic data (gender, age, education, work experience), while the second section focused on variables related to supervisor behavior. The independent variables included role clarity (4 questions), communication (3 questions), delegation (3 questions), motivation (5 questions), and social support (6 questions). Job stress, the dependent variable, consisted of 7 questions.

Pilot Study

A pilot study was conducted with 15 respondents to evaluate the clarity and effectiveness of the questionnaire. Feedback was collected and used to refine the instrument prior to the main survey distribution.

Data Collection Procedure

Primary data were collected using a structured questionnaire, distributed electronically and through personal networks. This approach ensured that responses were complete and accurate. The data were analyzed using statistical methods, and results were presented in tables and charts for clarity. Conclusions and recommendations were based on the findings.

Primary Data Collection

The primary data collection involved distributing questionnaires to employees in various commercial banks across Eastern Nepal. This method facilitated direct interaction with respondents, allowing for clarification of any

questions and ensuring high response quality. The data collection phase lasted approximately 10 days.

Secondary Data Collection

Secondary data were gathered from textbooks, online resources, and academic research to complement the primary data and provide context for the study.

Questionnaire Development

The questionnaire was designed to achieve the study's objectives and was distributed according to demographic factors to ensure a representative sample. Data collected were analyzed quantitatively, and findings were visually presented for easy interpretation. The questionnaire referenced various academic sources to support the identification of key dimensions of supervisor behavior and job stress factors.

The close-ended questions utilized a six-point Likert scale to streamline responses and reduce bias, with clear scoring guidelines (1 for "Strongly Disagree" to 6 for "Strongly Agree"). This design facilitated efficient data collection while enabling comprehensive analysis of the relationships between supervisor behavior and job stress among respondents.

Data Analysis

Presentation of Data

This section presents the analysis of data collected from 210 respondents in Eastern Nepal, utilizing SPSS and MS-Excel for statistical analysis. The primary focus is to investigate the relationship between supervisor behavior and job stress, considering independent variables such as role clarity, communication, delegation, motivation, and social support.

Respondent's Profile

The demographic characteristics of the respondents, including age, gender, marital status, education, work experience, type of service organization, and position, provide a foundation for understanding the context of the study.

Table 1*Age of Respondents*

Age Group	Frequency	Percent
Below 25	140	66.7
25-35	50	23.8
36-45	15	7.1
46 and above	5	2.4
Total	210	100

A significant proportion (66.7%) of respondents is under 25, indicating a youthful workforce.

Table 2*Gender of Respondents*

Gender	Frequency	Percent
Female	100	47.6
Male	105	50.0
Other	5	2.4

This shows a slightly higher representation of male respondents (50.0%).

Table 3*Marital Status of Respondents*

Marital Status	Frequency	Percent
Married	70	33.3
Unmarried	130	61.9
Other	10	4.8

A notable majority (61.9%) of respondents are unmarried.

Table 4*Qualification of Respondents*

Qualification	Frequency	Percent
S.L.C	10	4.8
Intermediate	20	9.5
Bachelor's Degree	85	40.5
Master's Degree or above	95	45.2

Most respondents possess either a Bachelor's (40.5%) or a Master's degree (45.2%).

Table 5*Experience of the Respondents*

Experience	Frequency	Percent
Below 1 Year	60	28.6
1-2 Years	75	35.7
2-4 Years	40	19.0
4-6 Years	25	11.9
Above 6 Years	10	4.8

The majority (35.7%) have 1-2 years of work experience.

Table 6

Income Level

Income Level	Frequency	Percent
Rs. 25,000 and Below	20	9.5
Rs. 25,001 – Rs. 45,000	70	33.3
Rs. 45,001 – Rs. 65,000	80	38.1
Above Rs. 65,000	40	19.0
Most respondents (38.1%) fall within the Rs. 45,001 – Rs. 65,000 income range.		

Descriptive Analysis

This section summarizes the findings from the

data collected through questionnaires using a Six-Point Likert Scale.

Table 7

Job Stress

Statement	Mean	Std. Deviation
I feel pressurized in my everyday tasks.	4.46	1.594
I find difficulties in achieving my targets.	4.43	1.380
I am able to manage my work-life balance.	2.94	1.629
My colleagues and supervisor are supportive.	4.47	1.110
My contributions are appreciated.	3.08	1.689
Employee growth is based on performance.	4.40	1.384
Overall Job Stress	3.96	1.464

The highest mean indicates strong agreement with supervisor support, while respondents

expressed lower agreement regarding work-life balance management.

Table 8

Role Clarity

Statement	Mean	Std. Deviation
I have a detailed written description of my job.	4.45	1.359
I know what is expected from me at work.	4.28	1.452
I can perform my duties smoothly.	4.25	1.411
I am aware of my job process.	4.40	1.406
Overall Role Clarity	4.35	1.407

Table 9

Communication

Statement	Mean	Std. Deviation
I receive all necessary information for my tasks.	4.43	1.571
I can express my ideas and views freely.	4.44	1.509
I have opportunities to question management.	4.36	1.384
Overall Communication	4.42	1.488

High agreement reflects effective communication within the organization, contributing positively to employee morale.

Table 10

Delegation

Statement	Mean	Std. Deviation
Tasks are delegated based on skill and knowledge.	4.38	1.389
Supervisors delegate responsibilities without hesitation.	4.30	1.499
Supervisors consult on workload before delegating.	4.41	1.286
Overall Delegation	4.36	1.391
Effective delegation practices were noted, particularly in workload considerations.		

Table 11

Motivation

Statement	Mean	Std. Deviation
My work is appreciated.	4.36	1.412
I have opportunities to learn new skills.	4.40	1.366
I receive respect from colleagues.	4.34	1.486
I am rewarded for good work.	4.45	1.324
I feel my job is secure.	4.38	1.425
Overall Motivation	4.38	1.402
Respondents indicated a strong sense of motivation, which may help mitigate job stress.		

Table 12

Social Support

Statement	Mean	Std. Deviation
I feel a strong sense of belonging to my organization.	4.46	1.594
Relationships with my supervisor are cordial.	4.43	1.380
I do not feel like part of the family.	2.94	1.629
I have a cooperative work environment.	4.47	1.110
Overall Social Support	3.96	1.464
While employees feel generally supported, the sentiment of belonging appears less robust.		

Leadership styles significantly influence employee experiences within organizations, particularly regarding job stress and overall health. Research, including Abbasi (2018), underscores the moderating effects of leadership on job stress and health, establishing a foundational understanding of how leadership approaches can mitigate or exacerbate workplace stress. This discussion explores various studies that highlight

the relationship between supervisory behavior, job stress, job satisfaction, and turnover intentions across different sectors.

Leadership Styles and Job Stress

Abbasi (2018) articulates that different leadership styles, particularly transformational and transactional leadership, can either alleviate or intensify job stress among employees. Transformational leaders tend to inspire and

motivate their teams, creating an environment that can lead to lower stress levels and enhanced well-being. Conversely, transactional leadership, which focuses on supervision and performance-related rewards, may foster a high-stress environment if not managed carefully.

This theme is echoed in the work of Adebayo and Ogunsina (2011), who explored supervisory behaviors in the police force of Ekiti State, Nigeria. Their findings indicate a strong correlation between supervisory styles and job stress levels, suggesting that positive supervisory behaviors can enhance job satisfaction and reduce turnover intentions. This relationship is critical in high-stress professions like policing, where the emotional toll can lead to significant burnout and turnover.

Job Autonomy and Burnout

Adebayo and Ezeanya (2010) delve into how job autonomy, task identity, and professional roles affect burnout among health workers in Jos, Nigeria. Their research emphasizes that greater autonomy can lead to increased job satisfaction and reduced burnout, aligning with Abbasi's assertion about leadership's role in managing job stress. Empowering employees through autonomy not only fosters a sense of ownership but also mitigates feelings of helplessness that often accompany stressful job environments.

Stress in Service Industries

The hospitality sector also presents a relevant context for examining job stress. Antonova (2016) conducted a comparative study of job satisfaction and loyalty among hotel employees in Russia, revealing that occupational stress directly correlates with lower job satisfaction and increased turnover intentions. This study highlights the crucial need for effective leadership and supportive supervisory practices to enhance employee well-being and organizational loyalty.

Comparative Studies on Leadership Impact

Armstrong and Griffin (2004) examined stress correlates among treatment and correctional staff in prisons, emphasizing that leadership styles play a pivotal role in shaping workplace dynamics. Their

study found that supportive leadership correlates with lower stress levels among employees, thereby reinforcing the necessity for leaders to cultivate positive supervisory relationships.

Erkutlu and Chafra (2006) focused on the hospitality industry, finding a significant relationship between leaders' power bases and employee job stress. Their results indicate that leaders who utilize their power effectively can reduce job stress and enhance job satisfaction, showcasing the importance of leadership training in stress management.

The Broader Implications of Job Stress

The impact of job stress on employee performance extends beyond individual well-being. Ekienabor (2016) discusses how job stress influences productivity and commitment, highlighting that organizations need to address stressors actively to maintain high performance levels. Furthermore, Michie (2002) provides a broader framework for understanding the causes of occupational stress, urging organizations to adopt strategic interventions to manage stress effectively.

Conclusion

The intricate relationship between leadership styles, job stress, and employee outcomes is increasingly recognized as a critical factor influencing organizational dynamics. This multifaceted interplay suggests that effective leadership is not merely about managing tasks or directing workflows; it is fundamentally about shaping the emotional and psychological landscape of the workplace. Research consistently indicates that leaders who adopt supportive and transformational styles can mitigate job stress, enhance job satisfaction, and reduce turnover intentions across diverse sectors.

The findings from various studies emphasize that supervisor behavior plays a pivotal role in determining how employees experience stress in their work environments. Specifically, aspects such as role clarity, effective communication, intrinsic motivation, and social support have been shown to significantly influence job stress levels.

For example, in Eastern Nepal, the data revealed a direct correlation between these factors and employee well-being, highlighting the necessity for leaders to cultivate an atmosphere of open communication and support. When employees feel clear about their roles and receive adequate support from their supervisors, they are more likely to navigate stressors effectively, resulting in a healthier workplace.

Moreover, the implications of addressing job stress extend beyond individual employees to the organization as a whole. Organizations that prioritize the well-being of their employees through positive supervisory practices are likely to see increased loyalty and higher levels of productivity. A supportive work environment not only enhances employee morale but also fosters a culture of engagement, where employees are more invested in their roles and committed to the organization's goals.

As organizations continue to evolve in response to changing workforce dynamics, it is crucial for leaders to adapt their approaches. Future research should further explore the nuances of supervisor behavior and its impact on job stress across different organizational contexts and cultures. Understanding these complexities will enable organizations to tailor their leadership development programs and supervisory training to better meet the needs of their employees.

The findings underscore the necessity for organizations to strategically address job stress through enhanced supervisory practices. By recognizing the vital role of leadership in shaping employee experiences, organizations can create more resilient and productive work environments. This commitment to fostering a supportive workplace will not only benefit individual employees but will also contribute to the overall success and sustainability of the organization. Emphasizing ongoing research and practical application in this area is essential for developing effective strategies that promote employee well-being and organizational effectiveness in the long run.

References

- Abbasi, S. G. (2018). Leadership styles: Moderating impact on job stress and health. *Journal of Human Resources Management Research*, 2018(322892), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.5171/2018.322892>
- Adebayo, S. O., & Ezeanya, I. D. (2010). Effects of job autonomy, task identity and profession on burnout among health workers in Jos, Nigeria. *European Journal of social sciences*, 14(1), 116–124.
- Adebayo, S. O., & Ogunsina, S. O. (2011). Influence of supervisory behaviour and job stress on job satisfaction and turnover intention of police personnel in Ekiti State. *Journal of Management and Strategy*, 2(3), 13–20. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jms.v2n3p13>
- Antonova, E. (2016). *Occupational stress, job satisfaction, and employee loyalty in hospitality industry: A comparative case study of two hotels in Russia [Master thesis]*. Modul University.
- Armstrong, G. S., & Griffin, M. L. (2004). Does the job matter? Comparing correlates of stress among treatment and correctional staff in prisons. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 32(6), 577–592. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2004.08.007>
- Benneth (1994). Supervisor behaviour and job stress among bank employees in rivers state Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 7(1), 20–27. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbm.2022.7.1.879>
- Bernard, J. M. (2010). Special issue on clinical supervision: A reflection. *Canadian Journal of Counseling and Psychotherapy*, 44(3), 238–245.
- Bernard, J. M. & Goodyear, R. K. (1992). *Fundamentals of clinical supervision*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon
- Dogan, O., & Baloglu, N. (2019). Toxic leadership: A quantitative analysis based on the opinions of vocational school students. *International Journal of Eurasia Social Sciences*, 10(36), 669–680.

- Ekienabor, E. E. (2016). Impact of job stress on employees' productivity and commitment. *International Journal for Research in Business, Management and Accounting*, 2(5), 124–133.
- Elangovan, A. R., & Karakowsky, L. (1999). The role of trainee and environmental factors in transfer of training: An exploratory framework. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 20(5), 268–276. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/01437739910287180>
- Erkutlu, H.V., & Chafra, J. (2006) Relationship between leadership power base and job stress of subordinates: Example from boutique hotels. *Management Research News*, 29, 285–297. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01409170610674419>
- French, W. L., Kast, F. E., & Rosenzweig, J. E. (1985). *Understanding human behavior in organizations*. Harper Collins Publishers
- Gilbreath (2012). Supervisor behavior and employee presenteeism. *International Journal of Leadership Studies*, 7(1), 114–131.
- Gilbreath, B., & Benson, P. G. (2004). The contribution of supervisor behaviour to employee psychological well-being. *Work & Stress*, 18(3), 255–266. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02678370412331317499>
- Hogan, J. M., Carlson, J. G. & Dua, J. (2002). Stressors and stress reactions among university personnel. *International Journal of Stress Management* 9, 289–310 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019982316327>
- Ismail, A., Ghani, A. B. A., Subhan, M., Joarder, M. H. R., & Ridzuan, A. A. (2015). The Relationship between Stress and Job Satisfaction: An evidence from Malaysian peacekeeping mission. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(4), 647–655.
- Jemilohun, V. G., Babalola, E. G., & Nkire, N. L. (2019). Effect of stress on employee productivity in the Nigerian insurance industry. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Research Social and Management Sciences*, 5(11), 28–36.
- Johnson, R. E., Chang, C.H. (Daisy), & Yang, L. Q. (2010). Commitment and motivation at work: The relevance of employee identity and regulatory focus. *The academy of management review*, 35(2), 226–245.
- Johnson, S., Cooper, C., Cartwright, S., Donald, I., Taylor, P., & Millet, C. (2005). The experience of work-related stress across occupations. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 20(2), 178–187.
- Kropp, B. (May 03, 2019). *The future of employee monitoring*. Gartner
- Le Fevre, M., Matheny, J., & Kolt, G. S. (2003). Eustress, distress, and interpretation in occupational stress. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 18(7), 726–744.
- Leonard, E. C., & Trusty, K. A. (2016). *Supervision: Concepts and practices of management*. Cengage Learning.
- Louis, M. R. (1980). Surprise and sense making: What newcomers experience in entering unfamiliar organizational settings. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 25(2), 226–251. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2392453>
- Maier, F. R. Norman. (1993). *Psychology in industrial organizations*. pp.110–121. New York: Vannostrad, Rein Hold and Sons,
- Maskey, E. A., & Mishra, A. K. (2018). Labor productivity assessment of armed police force Nepal building. *International Journal of Current Research*, 10(11), 75315–75324. <https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.33144.11.2018>
- M K., M., & Renukamurthy, T. (2017). Stress among banking employee: A literature review. *International Journal of Research Tranthalayah*, 5(1), 206–213. <https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v5.i1.2017.1884>
- Michie, S. (2002). Causes and management of stress at work. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 59(1), 67–72. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.59.1.67>
- Mishra, A. K. (2020). *Project management: Theory and practice from different countries*. DK International Research Foundation. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4817542>
- Mishra, A. K. (2022). *A reference book on comparative assessment from the Eastern approach*. Intellectual's Book Palace. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6323461>
- Mishra, A. K., & Aithal, P. S. (2021a). Analysis of laptop users' purchase behavior: A case of Kathmandu, Nepal. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 6(1), 226–240. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4966112>

- Mishra, A. K., & Aithal, P. S. (2021b). Factors and features influencing laptop users of Kathmandu, Nepal. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, 5(1), 132–142. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4940049>
- Mishra, A. K., & Aithal, P. S. (2022). Considerations and conundrums that confronted throughout the recruiting process. *International Journal of Research-Granthaalayah*, 10(11), 18–31. <https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v10.i11.2022.4891>
- Mishra, A. K., & Aithal, P. S. (2023). Building ethical capital through human resource. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 8(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7519862>
- Mishra, A. K., Kandel, D. R., & Aithal, P. S. (2021). Profitability in commercial banks: A case from Nepal. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, 5(1), 61–77. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4752052>
- Mishra, A. K., Rai, S., & Aithal, P. S. (2023). Affection from packing on impulsive buying. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 8(3), 229–239. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8245655>
- Olusegun, A. J., Oluwasayo, A. J., & Olawoyim, O. (2014). An overview of the effects of job stress on employees performance in Nigeria tertiary hospitals. *Ekonomika, Journal for Economic Theory and Practice and Social Issues*, 60(4), 139–153. <https://doi.org/10.22004/ag.econ.290122>
- Omisore, B. O., & Nweke, A. N. (2014). The influence of power and politics in organizations (Part 1). *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 4(7), 2222–6990. <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v4-i7/997>
- Omoankhanlen, J. A., & Eyakephovwan, M. E. (2022). Supervisor behaviour and job stress among bank employees in rivers state-Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 7(1), 20–27. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2022.7.1.879>
- Panetta, K. (October 15, 2018). *Blockchain, quantum computing, augmented analytics and artificial intelligence will drive disruption and new business models*. Gartner
- Ramaswami, S. N. (1996). Marketing controls and dysfunctional employee behaviors: A test of traditional and contingency theory postulates. *Journal of Marketing*, 60(2), 105–120. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1251934>
- Selye, H. (1973). The Evolution of the Stress Concept: The originator of the concept traces its development from the discovery in 1936 of the alarm reaction to modern therapeutic applications of syntoxic and catatoxic hormones. *American Scientist*, 61(6), 692–699. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27844072>
- Sheridan, J. E., & Vredenburgh, D. J. (1978). Usefulness of leadership behavior and social power variables in predicting job tension, performance, and turnover of nursing employees. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 63(1), 89–95. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.63.1.89>
- Uduji, J. I., & Onwumere, J. U. J. (2013). Supervisory behaviour and sales force performance: An empirical investigation. *Developing Country Studies*, 3(6), 141–148.
- Van Der Heijden, M. G., & Horton, T. R. (2009). Socialism in soil? The importance of mycorrhizal fungal networks for facilitation in natural ecosystems. *Journal of Ecology*, 97(6), 1139–1150.
- Wickramasinghe, V. (2016). The mediating effect of job stress in the relationship between work-related dimensions and career commitment. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 3(3), 408–420.
- Wu, X., Li, Y., Yao, Y., Luo, X., He, X., & Yin, W. (2018). Development of construction workers job stress scale to study and the relationship between job stress and safety behavior: An empirical study in Beijing. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 15(11), 2409. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15112409>

