

Pastoral Sociology and Development Paradigm in Nepal

Najia Khan

MA in Sociology

Central Department of Sociology, Tribhuvan University

Email: khannajia08@gmail.com

Doi : <https://doi.org/10.3126/pragya.v12i01.61475>

Abstract

The main objective of this study is to dissect development from the prospective of pastoral sociology. It's grounded on secondary data, numerous exploration papers, sociological occasional journals, library and demonstrated accoutrements, secondary information sources have formerly been used. Pastoral Sociology plays a vital part to understand the problems and results of pastoral society especially for the developing country like Nepal. The study reveals that because of the significance of pastoral sociology the literacy and tutoring of sociology has been started in Nepal. Now in present days, institute of husbandry, institute of forestry, irrigation systems etc has been enforced in the name of pastoral sociology. There is the great part of pastoral sociologist in poverty elevation fund, planning commission, pastoral megacity, department of road and original development training center. The policy makers, political leaders, development workers should give emphasis on the literacy, tutoring and new inquiries on pastoral sociology with connecting the development. Teaching the farmers about the products appropriate in the particular farms based on the quality of soil across Nepal can help the country to develop economically and ultimately it leads every concerned one to become independent financially by exporting the local products to the most promising markets at home and beyond. The possibility of commercial agriculture can amplify the possibility of economic growth. Therefore, the interconnection between these aspects must be comprehensively understood and for this government of Nepal must take initiatives.

Key Words: Development paradigm, pastoral sociology, policies and political Leadership

Introduction

Pastoral sociology as a separate discipline had its onsets in the United States beforehand in the twentieth century. Concerned with the social fabric of pastoral community life, it was public policy- and problem- acquainted from the launch. Beforehand pastoral sociologists sought a better life for pastoral people. As Gillette wrote in his alternate pastoral text, pastoral Sociology (1922, p. 6), the “great business of pastoral sociology is, and maybe ever will be, the attainment of a sympathetic understanding of the life of tilling communities and the operation to them of practical principles of social trouble to do commodity.” As noted by Falk and Gilbert (1985), still, the view of pastoral sociology as an engaged wisdom was queried by those who sought to establish the discipline as a

value-free wisdom whose task was further to describe and explain than to understand and ameliorate. This pressure has been a patient point of pastoral sociology, with one side ascendant during some ages and the other being more current at other times. Pastoral sociology became more explosively grounded passions within the land entitlement and extension systems with the passage of the Purnell Act in 1925, which allocated plutocrat to pastoral sociology, agrarian economics, and home economics (Larson and Zimmerman, 2000). First appearing in 1936, the journal *Pastoral Sociology* published numerous of the original and public-position studies funded under the Purnell Act. These new structures created demand for pastoral sociologists, men and women who generally would have come from pastoral communities and have had their own gests in pastoral associations. As time went on, they also probably would have been educated in land entitlement institutions. They generally held a deep knowledge of rurality, a familiarity that informed and shaped their exploration questions and viewpoint (Smith, 2011). Pastoral gests may also have shaped their persuasions that knowledge should be used for the betterment of society and that intellectualists should be responsible for promoting social as well as scientific progress (Kirkendall, 1966; Gilbert, 2008). The first course in pastoral sociology, “Social Conditions of American Rural Life,” was offered by Professor C.R. Henderson in the sociology department at the University of Chicago in 1894 (Sanderson 1917). The first educator position in pastoral sociology was held by Kenyon Butterfield at the University of Michigan in 1902 (Sanderson, 1917). The first text, *Formative Pastoral Sociology*, was written by John Gillette and appeared in 1913 (Olsen, 1991). The first department of pastoral Sociology was established at Cornell University in 1915. Pastoral sociology is a unique area of sociological inquiry. Its institutional development leaves it may be the most independent of all sociological subfields.

Pastoral sociology in the United States surfaced in the early 20th century when civil backing was allocated to the land entitlement universities (public universities) to study and help the ranch population. Separate “pastoral” sociology departments appeared gradationally within sodalities of husbandry that matched the important areas set up in general sociology departments. By themid-1950s; pastoral sociology had shifted to further social-cerebral, behaviorist approaches that were common in sociology (Buttel et al., 1990). The academic division of labor assigned the agrarian sector primarily to agrarian economics and the agrarian lores. This left pastoral sociology to concentrate substantially on studying the prolixity of inventions proposed by other disciplines, pastoral communities and community development, quality of life and social pointers, pastoral institutions and values, and educational and occupational achievement among pastoral youth. Pastoral sociologists directed on the product of “expert knowledge” related to these areas of exploration (Newby, 1983). Pastoral sociologists were told by social movements of the late 1960s and 1970s civil rights, women’s emancipation, ranch worker rights, environmentalism, anti-corporate husbandry, andante-war movements among them. This engagement has continued as pastoral sociologists respond to contemporary performances of these movements, to mortal and beast rights enterprise, as well as to organizing around food sovereignty, land grabbing, climate change, the corporatization of universities, free

trade, fair trade, privatization, and the quadrangle of the intellectual and physical commons, meaning the traditions, knowledge, services, natural coffers, and spaces that are part of the public trust but have been turned into goods for private gain.

In the environment of neoliberal reregulation and restructuring, these challenges and responses continue to impact pupil to join on military, academic career paths, exploration docket, and the numerous other aspects of the intellectual development of the field. One finds in pastoral sociology a same thing doing else of numerous core areas in general sociology but with a pastoral twist — inequality, demography, work labor requests, race/ gender, community, and so forth. Over time, fresh pastoral- acquainted specialty areas surfaced, specially the sociology of husbandry and natural resource/ environmental sociology.

Theoretical Review

Pastoral sociology is the study of social association and social processes that are characteristic of geographical points where population size is fairly small and viscosity is low (Warner, 1974). Pastoral sociology can be defined as the sociology of pastoral society. According to Van Der Ploeg (1997), early pastoral sociology honored the distinctive life- worlds and habits of rurality. Latterly, pastoral sociologists came to regard “pastoral” as an empirical order related to population viscosity, lack of services, and the relative significance of husbandry. As this pastoral declined in significance, pastoral sociology itself endured a extremity. Van der Ploeg argues that re-centre-conceptualizations of pastoral have restored pastoral sociology’s applicability. This new generality of pastoral goes beyond husbandry and isn't a rear image of the civic. Rather, it represents different “aspects of civilization”. The origins of social proposition are delicate to pinpoint, but numerous arguments return to Ancient Greece. Berch Berberoglu cites Plato, Socrates and Aristotle as impacting social proposition throughout the enlightenment up to the late nineteenth and early twentieth century. “Critical social propositions, similar Marxist propositions and feminist propositions, argue that because propositions are generally grounded on demesne that number normative positions, it's necessary to notice the ideological aspects of propositions and related rough social relations. One hundred times on, pastoral sociology is now relatively different and far less well known within the parent discipline (Hamilton, 1990). The textbook unravels the process by which this decline or marginalization passed, to see if there's a future for a pastoral sociology and in what directions useful pastoral sociological work may be pursued.

Methodology

This is grounded on secondary data; library and demonstrated accoutrements had been used. Different sociological journals publish from USA, India and Nepal has formerly been used. Numerous inquiries of pastoral sociology and propositions has used in this paper. The paper has employed qualitative data for the support of the major argument developed in the introduction. Pastoral sociology underscores the growth of local products in agrarian setting that imbibes tremendous potentials for financial growth and

independence. The people of the margin in terms of class can benefit from this development model.

Findings and Discussions

The donation of pastoral sociology to the enhancement of pastoral life is grounded on the establishment of accurate data about conditions in pastoral sections. These data must be considered both in relation to each other and to the whole social structure before their significance can be duly understood. Pastoral sociology presents a scientific picture of pastoral life. Town lets are important for numerous reasons because they're the springs to feed civic areas. It encourages development of colorful plans for any pastoral development programs. For making progress in the pastoral society, work is carried out according to these plans. It helps change agents or community development workers in knowing the felt requirements of the society, and also they can help town lets in satisfying their requirements. The significance of pastoral sociology assumes significance in the present situation of the vast development and environmental decay in pastoral life. Pastoral sociology, like scientific social wisdom, uses generalities along with theoretical formats, which can be of substantial significance for the development of pastoral life. Pastoral sociology occupies great significance. Its great significance lies in the fact that it contributes to development in general and pastoral development in particular.

Pastoral development cannot take place if it formulate and apply pastoral development plans and programs without gaining a better and clearer understanding of pastoral society where they're enforced. It shows that how humane pastoral people are introductory to the success of development programs. It helps us bring about positive changes in pastoral society just as it's necessary to understand its effectiveness. It's necessary to understand pastoral society to bring about pastoral community development in pastoral society. It finds numerous pastoral social problems similar as crime, self-murder, murder, thievery, conflict, etc. in pastoral society.

Pastoral sociology is replete with knowledge about these problems and knowledge about ways of working these problems. It can gain an understanding of the causes of these social problems and ways of working them from pastoral sociology and apply this knowledge while making a trouble to break them. Pastoral sociology lays an emphasis on the commission of all the sections of a society, especially the commission of the marginalized and poor section of a society. Pastoral sociology can contribute to the process of making popular process in this way. In other words, it can gain knowledge of social, artistic, religious, and profitable aspects of a society. There's a need for their equal and meaningful participation in the decision- making process.

Pastoral sociology is also a force of knowledge about how to insure the equal participation of all sections of the society which is sine qua non for maintaining public integration. Pastoral sociology studies the causes of the failure of numerous development programs and strategies enforced in the history, it has produced a lot of literature dealing with the difficulties involved in enforcing them and ways of prostrating them. Pastoral Sociology is in understanding pastoral social structure in Nepal. Substantially Nepal is pastoral country

where duality dominates over urbanity. There are numerous ethnical groups in Nepal and noteworthy each ethnical group has its own traditional history, culture and social background. The specific nature of elaboration of sociology and social anthropology in the West and the rest of the world are of a fixed nature. This fixed was basically innovated upon the structure and processes of the colonizer and commercial transition that then on-Western polities, husbandry and societies passed beginning the 17th century. In addition, between the 1880s and the 1950s, numerous of these countries also passed further commercial and imperialist as well as anti-colonial, nationalist and popular transitions and struggles.

The social lores there also developed both as forming factors and reviews of the post World War global and original structures and testaments and practices related to developmentalism and modernization, capitalism and imperialism, conformation of new state structures, nationalism as well as democratization, the blowup of the public sphere, expansion of public handling and the commission of the recently created citizens. The cooperation and remapping of the individualities, political places and life chances of the different class, estate, ethnical, religious, indigenous, verbal, gender and other groups commanded by encompassing political, profitable and artistic transitions also shaped and reshaped the social lores and sociology and anthropology. The prints of these structures and processes can be set up in sociology and social anthropology in Nepal as well as Academic associations at the advanced position are largely financed by the state, although there's a growing private presence there. Development of developmentalism is a strong theme within the syllabic and it largely drives the exploration docket. In Nepalese environment, it have veritably lowest unit in the pastoral geographical units called "Toles" or a cluster of agreements. These groups of Toles are from wards and number of wards from pastoral megacity, and the sections, than businesses and eventually the country Nepal. Each of these pastoral damages include number of homes and druggies Groups and Right groups similar as water, irrigation, and timber stoner's Groups, Women Groups etc. However, age, gender etc, if we study the pattern of these Groups than they represent colorful ethnical groups.

In homogenous pastoral communities the members of druggies groups are from same ethnical groups where as in miscellaneous communities the members of druggies groups are from different ethnical groups. Within the stoner's groups there also exists a pattern of leadership and association ties were the pastoral system are invisibly regulated. These pastoral stoner's groups are the frontline dogface of the development of Nepal. They're also the means and ends for development intervention. It is important that acquire a better understanding of pastoral society and different approaches to pastoral development before it start formulating pastoral development programs and strategies. It's insolvable to formulate pastoral development programs and strategies without such an understanding, which it can gain from pastoral sociology. Either, it's necessary to apply pastoral development programs and strategies successfully after they are formulated. It needs to have knowledge of the difficulties involved in the perpetration for the successful perpetration of these programs and strategies.

Since pastoral sociology studies the causes of the failure of numerous development programs and strategies enforced in the history, it has produced a lot of literature dealing with the difficulties involved in enforcing them and ways of prostrating them. It can acquire an understanding of ways of prostrating them. It can acquire an understanding of ways of prostrating similar difficulties, hence in order to develop a realistic pastoral development policy, the study and understanding of pastoral sociology is precondition for all political leaders, tagged representatives and development experts in Nepal.

Conclusion

Preliminarily people suppose that development can be possible only with the uses of technology. Now pastoral sociology is the most important discipline to understand the agreement, planning, structure, tradition, values and morals of the pastoral society. At the same time it can understand about the history of pastoral society. It also studies pastoral problems similar as poverty, profitable inequality, malnutrition, ignorance, conflict in the community position. In 2038B.S department of sociology and anthropology started for the development. Because of the significance of pastoral sociology the literacy and tutoring of sociology has been started in Nepal. Now in institute of husbandry, institute of forestry, irrigation systems etc has been enforced in the name of pastoral sociology. There's the great part of pastoral sociologist in poverty elevation fund, planning commission, pastoral megacity, department of road and original development training center. The policy makers, political leaders, development workers should give emphasis on the literacy, tutoring and new inquiries on pastoral sociology with connecting the development.

Education based on the necessity and possibility can help people in the rural Nepal to grow financial and to lead lives independently. Until and unless, the financial security through the maximum utilization of rural economy is accomplished, the idealistic theory of even Karl Marx cannot help the people of underclass and lower class to experience freedom. To amplify the possibility of economic growth, the resources extant in the rural Nepal must be taken into consideration and accordingly, the development paradigm should be adopted. Translating the potentials into reality will surely help the country to gain economic boom.

References

- Buttel, F. H., Olaf F. L., & Gil W. G. (1990). *The Sociology of Agriculture*. ABCCLIO, LLC.
- Falk, W. W. & Jess, G. (1985). Bringing Rural Sociology Back. *Rural Sociology* 50 (Winter), 561–77.
- Gilbert, J. (2008). Rural Sociology and Democratic Planning in the Third New Deal. *Agricultural History* Vol. 82, No. 4 (September). Pp. 421-438
- Hamilton, P. (1990). Sociology: Commentary and Introduction. In Lowe, P. & Bodiguel, M. (eds) *Rural Studies in Britain and France*, trans. H. Buller. Belhaven Press.
- Kirkendall, R. S. (1966). *Social Scientists and Farm Politics in the Age of Roosevelt*. University of Missouri Press.

- Larson, O. F. & Zimmerman, J.N. (2000). The USDA's Bureau of Agricultural Economics and Sociological Studies of Rural Life and Agricultural Issues, 1919-1953. *Agricultural History*, Vol. 74, No. 2 (Spring), 227-240.
- Newby, H. (1983). The Sociology of Agriculture: Toward a New Rural Sociology. *Annual Review of Sociology*, Vol. 9, 67-81. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.so.09.080183.000435>
- Olsen, W. C. (1991). *Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology: The Contemporary Core Literature*. Cornell University Press.
- Sanderson, D. (1917). The Teaching of Rural Sociology: Particularly in the Land Grant Colleges and Universities. *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 22, No. 4 (January), pp. 433-460. <https://doi.org/10.1086/212645>
- Smith, S. (2011). The Institutional and Intellectual Origins of Rural Sociology. Paper presented at the 74th Annual Meetings of the Rural Sociological Society. Boise, ID 28-31 July, 2011.
- Van, D.P. & Jan D. (1997). On Rurality, Rural Development and Rural Sociology. In *Images and Realities of Rural Life: Wageningen Perspectives on Rural Transformations*. Edited by Henk de, H. and Norman, L. Van Gorcum.
- Warner, W. K. (1974). Rural Society in a Post-industrial Age. *Rural Sociology*, Vol. 39, No. 3, pp. 306-317.