



Unveiling Body Politics: The Grotesque and Alienated Representation of the Monster in Mary Shelley's *Frankenstein*

Prabeen Kumar Awasthi

Himalaya College of Engineering, IOE, Kathmandu, Tribhuvan University, Nepal

Email: awasthiprabeen2048@gmail.com

Abstract

Mary Shelley's *Frankenstein* explores on the complexities of body politics and societal norms through the narrative of Victor Frankenstein and his creation, the monster. This research paper analyzes the novel through the lens of rejection, Otherness and the societal constructs of beauty and acceptance. The research investigates on the intricate intersections of various discourses in shaping the notion of "black body" throughout the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. Furthermore, it highlights on how Shelley's portrayal of the hideous and marginalized body of the monster in her text aligns with the ideological constructions of black bodies. The monster, with his physical deformities and alien appearance, serves as a powerful symbol of the Other, highlighting society's tendency to fear and ostracize those who are different. Drawing on Julia Kristeva's concept of "the abject," Michel Foucault's notion of "biopower," and Freud's idea of "uncanny," this paper searches how Shelly's text reflects broader cultural anxieties and critiques societal norms. Through a detailed analysis of textual evidences, including direct quotes from the novel, this paper examines the implications of the monster's rejection to socio-cultural norms and the societal forces that shape his identity. The paper concludes that exclusion of Frankenstein's creation from the society directly stems from the societal constructs and responses towards bodies. Perception of bodies reflects more about the culture observing them than bodies themselves. In its essence, *Frankenstein* stands as a poignant narrative about the dangers of marginalization and the importance of empathy and acceptance in a diverse society. This paper underscores the critical need to understand how societal constructs shape perceptions of marginalized bodies, paving the way for future research to explore these dynamics in contemporary contexts and to develop strategies for fostering greater inclusion and acceptance.

Keywords: Biopower, body politics, cultural anxieties, empathy, Otherness

Copyright 2024 ©Author(s) This open access article is distributed under a *Creative Commons*



Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

Introduction

Mary Shelley's *Frankenstein* is a seminal work in the Gothic literary tradition, exploring themes of creation, identity and societal norms. At the center of Shelly's text is a grotesque creation, the monster, who is brought to life by the scientist Victor Frankenstein. The monster's physical appearance, particularly his yellow skin, sets him apart from society and leads to his alienation and eventual descent into violence. This research article aims to dissect the body politics at play in Shelley's text, focusing on how the monster's appearance shapes the narrative and reflects broader cultural anxieties.

The portrayal of the monster's physical appearance in *Frankenstein* raises significant questions about the nature of identity and the influence of societal constructs upon it. It shows that the society plays an important role in determining an individual's identity. The monster's grotesque body becomes a symbol of the Other, representing society's fear of the unknown and the unfamiliar. This raises the question of how societal norms and prejudices influence the perception of physical appearance and the treatment of those who do not conform to these norms. The problem statement of this research lies in understanding the complex implications of the monster's alienation and de-familiarization from society due to his grotesque physical appearance. It seeks to explore the multifaceted societal rejection faced by individuals who do not fit into the accepted norms of physical beauty. This rejection not only marginalizes them but also profoundly shapes their identity and behavior. By delving into these dynamics, the study aims to uncover how societal constructs of beauty and normalcy contribute to the stigmatization and dehumanization of those who are perceived as different, ultimately influencing their self-perception and interactions with the world.

This research paper seeks to address several key questions regarding the portrayal of the monster's physical appearance in Shelley's text. Firstly, how does the monster's appearance reflect broader cultural anxieties and societal norms of the time? Secondly, what role does societal rejection play in the monster's descent into violence and alienation? Thirdly, how does Shelley use the monster's physical appearance to critique societal norms and prejudices, particularly regarding physical beauty? Fourthly, in what ways does the monster's alienation from society highlights the theme of Otherness in the text? Lastly, how does the depiction of the monster's body politics in *Frankenstein* resonate with contemporary issues of identity, acceptance, and the treatment of those who do not conform to societal standards of appearance? These questions aim to comprehensively explore the intersection of body politics, societal norms, and identity formation in Shelley's work, providing a robust framework for analyzing the broader implications of the monster's portrayal.

To address the research objectives and questions, this paper draws upon various theoretical insights from different scholars and fields. One theoretical framework is the concept of the “abject” proposed by Julia Kristeva. Kristeva suggests that the abject is that which is rejected by society, considered impure or taboo, and therefore must be cast out to maintain social order. The abject involves a reaction to a threatened breakdown in meaning caused by the loss of the distinction between subject and object or self and other. In *Frankenstein*, the monster’s physical appearance can be seen as an abject figure, representing a collapse of these distinctions and leading to his alienation and marginalization from society. Kristeva’s concept is useful to analyze how societal norms and prejudices contribute to representing the monster as the Other. Another relevant theoretical perspective is Michel Foucault’s concept of “biopower.” Foucault argues that modern societies regulate and control bodies through various mechanisms, including norms of appearance and behavior. In *Frankenstein*, Victor Frankenstein’s effort to create the grotesque body of the monster can be observed as an exercise of biopower, as he seeks to control and manipulate life itself. This perspective allows the researcher to explore the power dynamics at play in the novel and how they contribute to the monster’s alienation.

Additionally, the concept of the “uncanny” proposed by Sigmund Freud is applied to provide insight into the monster’s effect on society. The uncanny refers to something that is familiar yet unfamiliar, creating a sense of unease. The monster’s appearance, with its human-like features but grotesque form, embodies the uncanny, leading to fear and rejection from those around him. This concept, in this paper, helps to analyze the psychological impact of the monster’s presence on the characters in the novel. Furthermore, the concept of “monstrosity” has been used to show the monster as an embodiment of societal apprehensions and anxieties. Monstrosity is often used in literature to represent the Other, highlighting how society constructs and enforces norms of acceptability. By examining the monster’s monstrosity in *Frankenstein*, the research explores and analyzes how societal norms of beauty and normalcy are reinforced and challenged in the text.

Literature Review

Mary Shelley’s *Frankenstein* has been extensively studied by scholars, particularly concerning its exploration of themes like the monstrous, the Other, and societal norms. Critics have interpreted the novel as a reflection of societal fears and anxieties, with the monster symbolizing the rejected and marginalized Other. In the mid-1970s, feminist critics such as Moers (1976) and Gilbert and Gubar (1979) initiated a significant reappraisal of the text, emphasizing Shelley’s critique of traditional gender hierarchy and maternal power structures. Moers examines the

portrayal of female characters and their roles in shaping the narrative, while Gilbert and Gubar focus on the novel's gothic elements and its implications for feminist literary theory. Moreover, scholars like Mellor (1998) and Halberstam (1995) have contributed to understanding *Frankenstein's* critique of societal norms and its intersection with issues of gender, sexuality, and race. Mellor's analysis explores the theme of monstrosity as a metaphor for societal anxieties surrounding gender roles and scientific experimentation, highlighting Shelley's challenge to patriarchal authority. Halberstam explores the novel's portrayal of gender ambiguity and non-conformity, arguing that Frankenstein's monster disrupts traditional binaries of male and female, challenging normative ideas of identity and embodiment.

Mellor and Halberstam's analyses, while insightful, also raise questions about how contemporary issues of identity, power, and societal acceptance are relevant to current debates on body politics and cultural anxieties. Mellor's focus on monstrosity and Halberstam's examination of gender ambiguity are particularly pertinent to understanding the broader implications of Shelley's narrative and its enduring relevance in literary discourse. Reflecting on their perspectives, this research aims to further explore how Shelley's critique in *Frankenstein* resonates with the aforementioned issues, particularly through the lens of body politics and cultural anxieties. This paper also seeks to deepen the understanding of how Shelley's novel continues to provoke discussions about the construction of identity and the treatment of marginalized individuals in society.

Some Marxist critics have examined the monster's Otherness through the lens of class conflict and economic estrangement, acknowledging his societal position (Mellor, 1976). However, the complexity of the monster's Otherness cannot be fully understood through a purely Marxist lens. In this interdisciplinary approach to Shelley's text, the paper aims to consider feminist and Marxist insights alongside issues of race, representation, subjectivity, and Otherness. While acknowledging the importance of feminist and Marxist theories, this paper argues that the monster's status as an outsider primarily stems from his physicality, firmly situating him within the racial hierarchy of the early nineteenth century. Malchow (1993) has explored the racial dimensions of the monster's Otherness, there remains a gap in understanding the political construction of the black body's discourse during this time. Expanding on Malchow's research, this paper aims to explore the politics surrounding the monster's grotesque and marginalized body within the Shelley's text. Smith (1995) explores the monster's portrayal as a representation of the unknown and unfamiliar, highlighting society's tendency to fear and reject what it does not understand. This interpretation aligns with the idea of the abject forwarded by Kristeva, where the monster's physical appearance is deemed impure and taboo, leading to his

alienation (Kristeva, 1982). Gigante (2000) highlights on the essence of ugliness, characterizing it as that which “not only evokes disgust but persistently insists upon its presence” (p. 577). She examines ugliness as a deeply embodied and interpersonal phenomenon, implicating both the observer and the observed in its experience.

Contrary to conventional interpretations, Gigante contends that the creature is not deformed but inherently ugly, highlighting the distinction between deformity and ugliness. She suggests that “ugliness possesses a transformative power, threatening to disrupt and disorient its subject as an alien entity within the societal system” (p. 583). While Gigante’s exploration of beauty and ugliness offers a nuanced understanding of encountering unattractive bodies, this research extends by probing into the societal and cultural ramifications of the monster’s alienation from dominant social structures. The research posits that the creature’s identity is not solely defined by its physical appearance but is shaped by the societal norms and prejudices from which it is excluded.

The racial undertones in *Frankenstein* have also been a point of interest for scholars. Baldick (1987) discusses the implications of the monster’s yellow skin, suggesting that it can be read as a commentary on race and identity. This interpretation is supported by Mellor (1976), who argues that the monster’s body symbolizes society’s anxieties about scientific knowledge and the consequences of overstepping natural boundaries. This aligns with Foucault’s concept of “biopower,” where bodies are regulated and controlled by societal norms (Foucault, 1978). Mellor (1976) further suggests that the monster’s alienation from society reflects the theme of isolation and the human need for acceptance. This interpretation resonates with Freud’s concept of the “uncanny,” where the familiar becomes unfamiliar and causes unease (Freud, 1919). The monster’s rejection by Victor Frankenstein, his creator, also highlights the theme of abandonment and the consequences of playing god.

Previous studies on *Frankenstein* has provided valuable insights into its themes and characters, particularly in relation to the portrayal of the monster. Scholars have extensively examined the monster’s physical appearance and its societal implications, emphasizing its grotesque nature and the societal rejection it faces. However, existing literature predominantly focuses on these aspects, leaving a notable gap in exploring deeper dimensions of the monster’s identity. Specifically, there is a need to explore dimensions of monster’s identity beyond its physicality, such as the emotional and psychological experiences of alienation and neglect that the monster undergoes. This gap highlights the necessity to further investigate how Shelley’s depiction of the monster’s marginalized existence challenges societal norms and prompts reflections on broader issues of identity construction and societal acceptance. Thus, this paper aims to unveil the body politics in *Frankenstein* by

critically examining the representation of the grotesque, alienated, and neglected monster in the text, exploring its multifaceted implications for understanding cultural apprehensions and societal norms.

Methods and Procedures

This research employs a qualitative approach to analyze Shelley's text and explore the themes of body politics, societal norms, cultural complexities and the alienation of the monster in the text. The primary method of data collection is textual analysis of *Frankenstein*, utilizing thematic coding to systematically categorize textual passages. This involves a close reading of the novel to identify passages that discuss the monster's physical appearance, his interactions with society, and the themes of alienation and Otherness. Secondary sources such as literary critiques, scholarly articles, and theoretical works provide context and support for the analysis, framing the discussion within relevant theoretical frameworks such as Julia Kristeva's concept of the abject, Michel Foucault's theory of "biopower," Freud's idea of the "uncanny," and the concept of "monstrosity" in literary theory.

The data analysis process includes coding and categorizing textual passages according to key themes and concepts identified during the thematic analysis. This approach ensures a systematic and organized exploration of how Frankenstein's physical appearance symbolizes body politics, cultural anxieties, and societal norms in the text. Patterns and connections between these themes are then identified to develop a comprehensive understanding of the novel's portrayal of the monster and its broader implications.

Results and Discussion

The analysis of Shelley's *Frankenstein* reveals a complex interplay of themes and symbols that reflect broader cultural anxieties. The monster's physical appearance, particularly his yellow skin, serves as a focal point for exploring these themes and their implications for identity, acceptance, and the Other. One of the central themes that emerges from the text is the notion of the Other, represented by the monster. From the moment of his creation, the monster is rejected by society, including his creator Victor Frankenstein. This rejection is evident in the monster's own words, as he laments, "Was I, then, a monster, a blot upon the earth, from which all men fled and whom all men disowned?" (Shelley, 1818, p. 105). This sense of alienation and Otherness is further emphasized by the monster's physical appearance, which sets him apart from the rest of humanity.

Julia Kristeva's concept of the abject provides a useful framework to analyze the monster's Otherness. According to Kristeva, the abject is that which is considered impure or taboo, leading to its exclusion from society (Kristeva, 1982).

In *Frankenstein*, the monster's physical deformities, including his yellow skin and grotesque features, render him abject in the eyes of society. The monster utters, "I, the miserable and the abandoned, am an abortion, to be spurned at, and kicked, and trampled on" (Shelley, 1818, p. 145). This is exemplified in the reactions of those who encounter him, such as when "Felix, Safie, and Agatha react with horror and disgust at the sight of him" (Shelley, 1818, p.126). This reaction highlights society's fear of the Other and its tendency to reject what it deems as different or abnormal.

The sense of rejection is highlighted in Frankenstein's initial reaction to his creation, where he describes selecting the monster's features as beautiful but later recoils in horror at the sight of his completed creation, indicating society's influence on his perception of beauty (Shelley, 1818). The monster's physical deformities, including his yellow skin and grotesque features, render him abject in the eyes of society, as exemplified by the reactions of those who encounter him (Shelley, 1818). The rejection and fear of the Other is highlighted by the monster's own questioning of his humanity, "I am alone and miserable; man will not associate with me; but one as deformed and horrible as myself would not deny herself to me" (Shelley, 1818, p.145) suggesting that society's rejection has deeply affected his sense of self. Additionally, the monster's Otherness is emphasized by its exclusion from society. As Shelley writes, "The being finished, Frankenstein, placed his creation under a care of a mountain" (Shelley, 1818, p.55). This shows that the monster's grotesque giant body is something that is unacceptable for the society.

Furthermore, Michel Foucault's concept of biopower provides insight into the power dynamics at play in the novel. Foucault argues that modern societies regulate and control bodies through various mechanisms, including norms of appearance and behavior (Foucault, 1978). Victor Frankenstein's monster can be interpreted as an exercise of biopower, as he seeks to control and manipulate life itself. This control over the monster's body reflects society's desire to maintain order and uphold norms of acceptability. This theme is evident when Victor describes his obsessive efforts to create life as, "A new species would bless me as its creator and source; many happy and excellent natures would owe their being to me. No father could claim the gratitude of his child so completely as I should deserve theirs" (Shelley, 1818/2003, p.38). Victor's desire to control life and impose his own norms onto his creation underscores the biopower he exerts.

The theme of the uncanny, as described by Sigmund Freud, is also evident in the novel's depiction of the monster. Freud defines the uncanny as something that is familiar yet unfamiliar, causing a sense of unease (Freud, 1919). The monster's human-like features, such as his ability to speak and reason, combined with his grotesque appearance, embody this concept of the uncanny. The duality of familiarity

and strangeness is highlighted through the creature's own words: "I expected this reception...All men hate the wretched; how, then, must I be hated, who am miserable beyond all living things! Yet you, my creator, detest and spurn me, thy creature, to whom thou art bound by ties only dissoluble by the annihilation of one of us" (Shelley, 1818/2003, p.93). The creature's articulate speech and reasoning contrast starkly with his hideous appearance, evoking both familiarity and strangeness, which leads to fear and rejection from those around him. Victor's reaction upon first seeing his creation also underscores this concept of the uncanny: "I started from my sleep with horror; a cold dew covered my forehead, my teeth chattered, and every limb became convulsed...His jaws opened, and he muttered some inarticulate sounds, while a grin wrinkled his cheeks" (Shelley, 1818/2003, pp. 60-61). The creature's partially human yet grotesque form elicits a profound sense of unease, highlighting the psychological impact of his presence in society.

Shelley's *Frankenstein* through body politics reveals some themes that reflect cultural anxieties. The monster's physical appearance, as a symbol of the Other, serves as a focal point for exploring these themes and their implications for identity, acceptance, and the construction of normalcy within society. In *Frankenstein* the monster's physical appearance is a source of horror and revulsion for those who encounter him. Shelley describes the monster as having "yellow skin scarcely covered the work of muscles and arteries beneath; his hair was of a lustrous black, and flowing; his teeth of a pearly whiteness" (Shelley, 1818, p.59). This description emphasizes the grotesque nature of the monster's appearance, highlighting society's fear of the Other and its tendency to reject what it deems as different or abnormal.

The monster himself is acutely aware of his own Otherness and laments his rejection by society. He questions his own humanity, "Was I then a monster, a blot upon the earth from which all men fled and whom all men disowned?" (Shelley, 1818, p.105). This sense of alienation and Otherness is a central theme in the novel, reflecting broader cultural anxieties about identity and acceptance. The rejection of the monster by society also reflects Michel Foucault's concept of biopower, whereby modern societies regulate and control bodies through various mechanisms. "I am alone and miserable; man will not associate with me; but one as deformed and horrible as myself would not deny herself to me" (Shelley, 1818, p. 145). This quest ultimately ends in tragedy, as the monster's attempts to befriend the family are met with fear and violence, further reinforcing his Otherness and alienation.

In *Frankenstein* the disruption of social categorization by ugliness is prominently depicted through the creature's ostracized existence. The creature's repulsive physical form renders him incapable of fitting into any recognized social category, a realization he confronts after facing rejection from numerous characters.

This rejection not only shows the implications of bodies and social classifications but also highlights the socially constructed nature of all bodies, as noted by Siebers (2001) as he asserts that “the body provides insight into the fact that all bodies are socially constructed and these social attitudes and institutions determine far greater than biological fact the representation of the body’s reality” (p. 737). The creature’s inability to conform to conventional standards of beauty or sublimity denies him access to these coveted categories, that is why, he is alienated and excluded from the society. To gain acceptance, the creature must elicit feelings associated with the beautiful or sublime. This theme is evident in Victor Frankenstein’s reaction upon first seeing his creation:

Unable to endure the aspect of the being I had created, I rushed out of the room, and continued a long time traversing my bedchamber, unable to compose my mind to sleep. At length lassitude succeeded to the tumult I had before endured; and I threw myself on the bed in my clothes, endeavouring to seek a few moments of forgetfulness. But it was in vain... I took refuge in the courtyard belonging to the house which I inhabited; where I remained during the rest of the night, walking up and down in the greatest agitation, listening attentively, catching and fearing each sound as if it were to announce the approach of the demoniacal corpse to which I had so miserably given life. (Shelley, 1818, pp. 60-61)

This evidence highlights Victor’s immediate horror and rejection of the creature, solely based on his appearance, reinforcing the idea that societal standards of beauty and sublimity marginalize those who do not meet these aesthetic norms. The creature’s existence, therefore, poses a significant threat to these established categories, reflecting the novel’s deeper exploration of the conflict between societal expectations and individual identity.

Societal classifications sharply delineate between the beautiful and the sublime while simultaneously marginalizing the ugly in *Frankenstein*. The creature, a unique embodiment of this dichotomy, defies easy categorization, rendering him inherently unclassifiable within these societal constructs. His grotesque appearance challenges conventional beauty standards upheld by society, which Edmund Burke defines as “qualities that inspire love or similar passions” (Burke, 1844, p.112). The rejection the creature faces is deeply rooted in these societal norms, exemplified by characters like Caroline and Elizabeth, whose beauty is not only admired but also influences their social acceptance and status within the narrative (Shelley, 1818). According to Edmund Burke’s aesthetics, beauty encompasses qualities that evoke positive emotions such as love or admiration (Burke, 1844). In Shelley’s portrayal, characters like Caroline, Victor’s mother, epitomize this ideal, with her beauty symbolizing not just physical attractiveness but also moral virtue. Victor’s

descriptions of Elizabeth further emphasize this societal valuation, associating her physical beauty with virtues like benevolence and angelic qualities. For instance, Victor describes Elizabeth as having “the soft and benevolent mind of the dove” and “the celestial smiles of the angel” (Shelley, 1818, p. 35). In contrast, the creature’s appearance, described with terms like “dull yellow eye” and “straight black lips,” stands in stark contrast to these ideals (Shelley, 1818, p.35). His inability to conform to these beauty standards highlights the arbitrariness and superficiality inherent in societal judgments based on physical appearance.

Through her characters including the monster in the text, Shelley “defends, and yet skeptically attacks, domestic and social tranquility” (Bowerbank, p. 419). The portrayal of beauty and domesticity underscores the significance of the social order established by prevailing categories. Characters like Caroline, Elizabeth, and Justine epitomize the delicate beauty and beloved status associated with these categories. Caroline, representing the epitome of bourgeois beauty, stands in stark contrast to the creature’s grotesque features, described as having a “dun white sockets,” and “straight black lips” (Shelley, 1818, p. 35). While Caroline is admired for her beauty by various observers, the creature also perceives her as the embodiment of idealized femininity. Upon encountering a portrait of Caroline in the possession of young William Frankenstein, the creature juxtaposes Caroline’s beauty with his own ugliness:

As I fixed my eyes on the child, I saw something glittering on his breast. I took it; it was a portrait of a most lovely woman. In spite of my malignity, it softened and attracted me. For a few moments I gazed with delight on her dark eyes, fringed by deep lashes, and her lovely lips; but presently my rage returned; I remembered that I was forever deprived of the delights that such beautiful creatures could bestow and that she whose resemblance I contemplated would, in regarding me, have changed that air of divine benignity to one or expressive of disgust and affright. (Shelley, 1818, p.100)

The portrayal of Caroline as having “dark eyes,” “deep lashes,” and “lovely lips” encapsulates the conventional ideal of feminine beauty. Victor’s monster encountering such beauty elicits a complex mix of emotions, ranging from dismay to fleeting comfort, as it serves as a stark reminder of his own ugliness. He recognizes the allure of beauty and momentarily believes he could attain it. However, he soon realizes the impossibility of ever possessing it himself. Moreover, the creature understands that he will never evoke the same emotions in others that beauty does. This recognition of his exclusion from the pleasures associated with beauty is evident in his lament: “I was forever deprived of the delights that such beautiful creatures could bestow” (Shelley, 1818, p.100). Thus, the creature’s unique position as an ugly, impoverished, and neglected being is accentuated by his inability to receive affection from those deemed beautiful.

Though the monster is excluded from the society and he is not considered beautiful, one might consider classifying him under the category of the sublime. Edmund Burke (1844) links various attributes with objects that elicit feelings of sublimity, such as vastness, ruggedness, and grandeur, among others. However, the qualification for sublimity also hinges on perceiving an object with horror without experiencing physical threat or intense pain. According to Burke, the sublime embodies a state between indifference and utter anguish. Yet, the creature cannot be categorized as sublime because he does not embody or evoke traditional sublime qualities. While he possesses traits like malevolence, vastness, and ruggedness (Shelley, 1818), these characteristics do not warrant a classification as sublime. The monster's treatment throughout the novel contradicts the notion of him being perceived as tolerable or admirable in a sublime sense.

Burke also emphasizes the perception of objects, whether they evoke beauty or sublimity, and the physiological effects they produce. According to Burke, "whatever is fitted in any sort to excite the ideas of pain and danger; that is to say, whatever is in any sort terrible, or is conversant about terrible objects, or operates in a manner analogous to terror, is a source of the sublime" (1844, p.51). The monster being unable to evoke feelings of beauty or sublimity results in the pain and danger he provokes. Although Burke describes the sublime as a "delightful horror" that brings reverence, the monster incites disgust. Despite his potential to evoke these passions, the creature is met with extreme repulsion from everyone. This reinforces his inherent ugliness. Burke further maintains that the sublime requires the "greatness of dimension" (p.73), distinguishing it from beauty (p. 91). The creature, though only vast in height, accepts that he is ugly and expresses it as, "My person was hideous and my stature gigantic: what did this mean? Who was I? What was I? Whence did I come? What was my destination? These questions continually recurred, but I was unable to solve them" (Shelley, p. 89). Despite possessing physical attributes that might typically evoke a sense of the sublime, the creature is instead met with hostility. Social constructions dictate that the beautiful are conventionally well-formed and aesthetically pleasing, while sublime objects are often viewed with a sense of tension. The perception of a body determines its classification within social constructs.

Thus, examining *Frankenstein* through the prism of body politics and societal norms unravels a rich tapestry of interconnected themes and symbols resonating with broader cultural concerns. Victor Frankenstein's creature's status as an outsider underscores themes of alienation and societal rejection, reflecting how societal norms and power dynamics influence acceptance and exclusion. The exploration of societal standards of beauty and ugliness, and their impact on individual worth, reveals the

complex interplay between appearance and societal acceptance, highlighting the rigid classifications that marginalize the different. Victor Frankenstein's pursuit of scientific knowledge and power brings to light themes of ambition, responsibility, and the consequences of unchecked hubris, with his actions perpetuating societal classifications and reinforcing power hierarchies. The creature's struggle to define his own identity and self-perception in the face of societal rejection raises critical questions about the impact of external judgment on self-worth and identity. By examining these themes, intricately woven together, Shelley's text offers a profound exploration of human nature, societal dynamics, and the quest for belonging in a world defined by rigid classifications and expectations. This analysis underscores the importance of understanding the ethical dimensions of scientific endeavor and the social responsibilities that come with it, making *Frankenstein* a timeless reflection on societal values and power structures.

Conclusion

Mary Shelley's *Frankenstein* presents a profound exploration of body politics and societal norms through the character of the monster, illuminating themes of rejection, Otherness, and the implications of societal standards of beauty and acceptance. Throughout the novel, the creature's existence challenges societal constructs and responses toward bodies perceived as different or unconventional, critiquing the rigid categorizations imposed by society that perpetuate exclusion and marginalization based on physical appearance. The harsh judgment the creature faces underscores society's fixation on conventional standards of beauty and sublimity, revealing inherent biases and fears rather than intrinsic qualities of the bodies themselves. Shelley's narrative serves as a poignant reflection of society's flawed perceptions and the consequences of such categorizations.

By examining the consequences of societal judgments and the quest for power over life, Shelley's work provokes thought and reflection on the complexities of human nature and societal dynamics. The novel explores the concept of biopower through Victor Frankenstein's ambitious pursuits, reflecting society's desire to control and manipulate life itself. Victor's scientific experimentation and creation of the monster symbolize ethical dilemmas surrounding scientific innovation and the boundaries of human knowledge. Shelley prompts readers to consider the ethical implications of unchecked scientific progress on individual identity and societal values. This paper opens up possibilities for further research into intersections of aesthetics, identity politics, and biopolitics in literature. Future studies could explore Shelley's critique of societal norms in *Frankenstein* and its relevance to contemporary debates on beauty standards, discrimination, and ethical responsibilities in scientific advancements.

References

- Baldick, C. (1987). *In Frankenstein's shadow: Myth, monstrosity, and nineteenth-century writing*. Oxford University Press.
- Bowerbank, S. (1979). The social order VS the wretch: Mary Shelley's contradictory mindedness in Frankenstein. *ELH*, 46(3), 418–431.
- Burke, E. (1844). *A Philosophical enquiry into the origin of our ideas of the sublime and beautiful: With an introductory discourse concerning taste*. Harper.
- Foucault, M. (1978). *The history of sexuality: An introduction*. Pantheon Books.
- Freud, S. (1919). *The uncanny*. Oxford University Press.
- Gigante, D. (2000). Facing the ugly: The case of 'Frankenstein.' *ELH*, 67(2), 565–587.
- Gilbert, S., & Susan, G. (1979). *The mad woman in the attic*. Yale University Press.
- Halberstam, J. (1995). *Skin shows: Gothic horror and the technology of monsters*. Duke UP.
- Johnson, B. (1982). *My monster/my self*. Penguin Press.
- Kristeva, J. (1982). *Powers of horror: An essay on abjection*. Columbia University Press.
- Malchow, H. L. (1993). Frankenstein's Monster and images of race in nineteenth-century Britain. *Past and Present*, 139, 90-130.
- Mellor, A. (1976). Possessing nature: The female in Frankenstein. *PMLA*, 91(2), 332-347.
- Mellor, A. (1998). Sex, violence, and slavery: Blake and Wollstonecraft. *Huntington Library Quarterly*, 58, 345-370.
- Mellor, A. K. (1976). Possessing nature: The Female in Frankenstein. *Literary Women: The Great Writers*, 90-102.
- Moers, E. (1976). *Literary women, garden city*. Doubleday Press.
- Shelley, M. (1818). *Frankenstein*. Penguin Press.
- Siebers, T. (2001). Disability in theory: From social constructionism to the new realism of the body. *American Literary History*, 13(4), 737– 754.
- Smith, J. (1995). Frankenstein's dream. *Gothic Studies*, 1(2), 199-210.
- Smith, J. M. (1995). *The body of Frankenstein's Monster: Essays in myth and medicine*. The Johns Hopkins University Press.