

Some Symmetric Curvature Conditions on Kenmotsu Manifolds

Riddhi Jung Shah

Department of Mathematics, Janata Campus, Nepal Sanskrit University, Nepal

Correspondence to: Riddhi Jung Shah, email: shahrjgeo@gmail.com

Abstract: *In this paper, we study locally and globally φ -symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds. In both curvature conditions, it is proved that the manifold is of constant negative curvature - 1 and globally φ -Weyl projectively symmetric Kenmotsu manifold is an Einstein manifold. Finally, we give an example of 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold.*

Keywords: Kenmotsu manifold, Locally φ -symmetric, Globally φ -symmetric, Weyl projective curvature tensor

1 Introduction

Tanno [8] classified connected almost contact metric manifolds whose automorphism groups have the maximum dimension. For such a manifold M , the sectional curvature of plane sections containing ξ is a constant, say c , where ξ is a global vector field (or contravariant vector field or Reeb vector field). If $c > 0$, M is a homogeneous Sasakian manifold of constant φ -sectional curvature. If $c = 0$, M is the product of a line or circle with a Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic curvature. If $c < 0$, M is a warped product space $R \times_f C^n$. In [5], Kenmotsu abstracted the differential geometric properties of the case if $c < 0$ and also introduced the notion of a class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds with some special conditions. We call this type of manifold, a Kenmotsu manifold.

Takahashi [7] introduced the notion of locally φ -symmetric Sasakian manifold as a weaker version of local symmetry of such manifold. In this paper, we study locally φ -symmetric Kenmotsu manifold, globally φ -symmetric Kenmotsu manifold and globally φ -Weyl projectively symmetric Kenmotsu manifold. In first two cases, we have obtained the result that the manifold is of constant negative curvature - 1. In next condition, it is shown that the manifold is an Einstein manifold with scalar curvature $r = n(n - 1)$.

2 Preliminaries

Let M be an n -dimensional (where $n = 2m + 1$) almost contact manifold with an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) , where φ is a $(1, 1)$ tensor field, ξ is a Reeb vector field (or contravariant vector field), η is a 1-form and g is a compatible Riemannian metric such that

$$\varphi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \eta(\xi) = 1, \varphi\xi = 0, \eta(\varphi X) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2.2)$$

$$g(X, \xi) = \eta(X) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $X, Y \in T(M)$ [1, 2]. An almost contact metric manifold (M^n, g) is said to be a Kenmotsu manifold if the conditions

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi \quad (2.5)$$

hold in M , where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g [5].

In an n -dimensional ($n = 2m + 1$) Kenmotsu manifold, the following relations hold [5]

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2.6)$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X, \quad (2.7)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = -(n-1)\eta(X), \quad (2.8)$$

$$S(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = S(X, Y) + (n-1)\eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (2.9)$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M , where R and S are the Riemannian curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor respectively.

Definition 2.1. A Kenmotsu manifold (M^n, g) is said to be a locally φ -symmetric manifold if the condition

$$\varphi^2((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z) = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

holds for any vector fields X, Y, Z, W orthogonal to ξ , that is for any horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z, W .

This notion was introduced by Takahashi [7] for Sasakian manifold.

Definition 2.2. An n -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold M is said to be globally φ -symmetric if it satisfies the condition

$$\varphi^2((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z) = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

for arbitrary vector fields X, Y, Z and W on M .

The Weyl projective curvature tensor P of type (1, 3) on a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is defined by [3]

$$P(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1}[S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y] \quad (2.12)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, the set of vector fields.

Definition 2.3. A Kenmotsu manifold M of dimension n is said to be globally φ -Weyl projectively symmetric if the Weyl projective curvature tensor P satisfies

$$\varphi^2((\nabla_W P)(X, Y)Z) = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M)$.

3 Results and Discussions

Theorem 3.1. A Kenmotsu manifold (M^n, g) is locally φ -symmetric if and only if

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z = g(R(X, Y)Z, W)\xi$$

for any horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z and W .

Proof. Let us consider an n -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold which satisfies the condition (2.10). Then by the use of (2.1), the relation (2.10) yields

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + g((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi, Z)\xi = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

From (2.7), we have

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi = (\nabla_W \eta)(X)Y + \eta(X)\nabla_W Y - (\nabla_W \eta)(Y)X - \eta(Y)\nabla_W X. \quad (3.2)$$

In view of (2.6) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi &= g(X, W)Y - g(Y, W)X - \eta(X)\eta(W)Y \\ &\quad + \eta(X)\nabla_W Y + \eta(Y)\eta(W)X - \eta(Y)\nabla_W X. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

For horizontal vectors X, Y, W , the relation (3.3) reduces to

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi = g(X, W)Y - g(Y, W)X. \quad (3.4)$$

Using (3.4) in the relation (3.1), we get

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + g(g(X, W)Y - g(Y, W)X, Z)\xi = 0$$

or,

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z - g(R(X, Y)Z, W)\xi = 0$$

this implies

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z = g(R(X, Y)Z, W)\xi \quad (3.5)$$

for any horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z and W . Next, if the relation (3.5) holds for any vector fields X, Y, Z, W orthogonal to ξ , it follows from $\varphi\xi = 0$ that (2.10) holds and hence the manifold is locally φ -symmetric. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

From (3.5), it also follows that if $(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z = 0$, then $R(X, Y)Z = 0$ since W and ξ are non-zero. Thus, we have a corollary

Corollary 3.2. *If an n -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold is locally symmetric, then the manifold is flat.*

Again, in corollary 6 of proposition 5 Kenmotsu [5] proved that if a Kenmotsu manifold is locally symmetric, then it is of constant negative curvature - 1.

Theorem 3.3. *Let M be an n -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold. If M is globally φ -symmetric, then it is locally symmetric.*

Proof. Let M be an n -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold. Suppose that the condition (2.11) holds. Then from (2.1) and (2.11) we obtain

$$-(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + \eta((\nabla_R R)(X, Y)Z)\xi = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

or,

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + g((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi, Z)\xi = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

By the use of equation (3.3) of proposition 5 of [5] as

$$(\nabla_Z R)(X, Y)\xi = g(Z, X)Y - g(Z, Y)X - R(X, Y)Z$$

in the relation (3.7), we get

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + g(X, W)g(Y, Z)\xi - g(Y, W)g(X, Z)\xi - g(R(X, Y)W, Z)\xi = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

In view of (2.7), relation (3.8) reduces to

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Hence the theorem is proved. \square

From Theorem 3.3 and corollary 6 of [5], we can state next theorem

Theorem 3.4. *If an n -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold M is globally φ -symmetric, then it is of constant negative curvature - 1.*

Theorem 3.5. *Let (M^n, g) be a Kenmotsu manifold. If M is globally φ -Weyl projectively symmetric, then it is an Einstein manifold with scalar curvature $r = n(n - 1)$.*

Proof. Let us consider M is a globally φ -Weyl projectively symmetric manifold. Then (2.13) holds. Now, using (2.1), we obtain

$$-(\nabla_W P)(X, Y)Z + \eta((\nabla_W P)(X, Y)Z)\xi = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Differentiating(2.12) covariantly with respect to W , we get

$$(\nabla_W P)(X, Y)Z = (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1}[(\nabla_W S)(Y, Z)X - (\nabla_W S)(X, Z)Y]. \quad (3.11)$$

In view of (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -g((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z, U) + \frac{1}{n-1}[(\nabla_W S)(Y, Z)g(X, U) - (\nabla_W S)(X, Z)g(Y, U)] \\ &\quad + \eta((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z)\eta(U) - \frac{1}{n-1}[(\nabla_W S)(Y, Z)\eta(X) - (\nabla_W S)(X, Z)\eta(Y)]\eta(U). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Let $\{e_i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold. Putting $X = U = e_i$, in (3.12) and summing over $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -(\nabla_W S)(Y, Z) + \frac{1}{n-1}[n(\nabla_W S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_W S)(Y, Z)] + \eta((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)Z)\eta(e_i) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n-1}[(\nabla_W S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_W S)(Z, \xi)\eta(Y)] \end{aligned}$$

or,

$$0 = \eta((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)Z)\eta(e_i) - \frac{1}{n-1}[(\nabla_W S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_W S)(Z, \xi)\eta(Y)]. \quad (3.13)$$

Putting $Z = \xi$ in (3.13), we obtain

$$0 = \eta((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)\xi)\eta(e_i) - \frac{1}{n-1}(\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) + \frac{1}{n-1}(\nabla_W S)(\xi, \xi)\eta(Y). \quad (3.14)$$

Now, we have

$$\eta((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)\xi)\eta(e_i) = g((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi)g(e_i, \xi). \quad (3.15)$$

Again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi &= g(\nabla_W R(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) - g(R(\nabla_W e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) \\ &\quad - g(R(e_i, \nabla_W Y)\xi, \xi) - g(R(e_i, Y)\nabla_W \xi, \xi) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis $\nabla_W e_i = 0$. From (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(e_i, \nabla_W Y)\xi, \xi) &= g(\eta(e_i)\nabla_W Y - \eta(\nabla_W Y)e_i, \xi) \\ &= \eta(e_i)\eta(\nabla_W Y) - \eta(\nabla_W Y)\eta(e_i) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We know that if R is the Riemannian curvature tensor of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) [3, 4, 6], we have

$$g(R(X, Y)Z, U) = -g(R(Z, U)Y, X).$$

Thus, $g(R(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) + g(R(\xi, \xi)Y, e_i) = 0$ and we get

$$g(\nabla_W R(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) + g(R(e_i, Y)\xi, \nabla_W \xi) = 0.$$

Using above relations in (3.15), we obtain $g((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi)\eta(e_i) = 0$ and the equation (3.14) reduces to

$$(\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) - (\nabla_W S)(\xi, \xi)\eta(Y) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) &= \nabla_W S(Y, \xi) - S(\nabla_W Y, \xi) - S(Y, \nabla_W \xi) \\
 &= -(n-1)\nabla_W \eta(Y) + (n-1)\eta(\nabla_W Y) - S(Y, W) + \eta(W)S(Y, \xi) \\
 &= -(n-1)(\nabla_W \eta)(Y) - S(Y, W) - (n-1)\eta(Y)\eta(W) \\
 &= -(n-1)\{g(W, Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(W)\} - S(Y, W) - (n-1)\eta(W)\eta(Y) \\
 &= -S(Y, W) - (n-1)g(W, Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, putting $Y = \xi$ in above relation, we get

$$(\nabla_W S)(\xi, \xi) = 0.$$

Using above two relations in (3.16), we obtain

$$S(W, Y) = (n-1)g(W, Y). \quad (3.17)$$

Now, taking an orthonormal frame field at any point of the manifold and contracting over W and Y in (3.17), we get

$$r = n(n-1) \quad (3.18)$$

where r is the scalar curvature.

In view of (3.17) and (3.18), the theorem is proved. \square

4 Example of 3-dimensional Kenmotsu Manifold

Let us consider 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, z) \in R^3\}$, $z \neq 0$ where (x, y, z) are the standard coordinates of R^3 . Let $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ be a linearly independent global frame on M defined by

$$E_1 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, E_2 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, E_3 = -z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Let g be a Riemannian metric defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(E_1, E_2) &= g(E_2, E_3) = g(E_1, E_3) = 0, \\
 g(E_1, E_1) &= g(E_2, E_2) = g(E_3, E_3) = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let η be a 1-form defined by $\eta(U) = g(U, E_3)$ for any $U \in \chi(M)$, the set of vector fields. Let φ be the $(1, 1)$ tensor field defined by

$$\varphi(E_1) = -E_2, \varphi(E_2) = E_1, \varphi(E_3) = 0.$$

Then, using the linearity of φ and g , we have

$$\eta(E_3) = 1, \varphi^2(U) = -U + \eta(U)E_3, g(\varphi U, \varphi V) = g(U, V) - \eta(U)\eta(V),$$

for any vector fields $U, V \in \chi(M)$. Thus, for $E_3 = \xi$, (φ, ξ, η, g) defines an almost contact metric structure on M . Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Riemannian metric g . Then, by the definition of Lie bracket, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [E_1, E_3] &= E_1 E_3 - E_3 E_1 \\
 &= z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(-z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) - \left(-z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \\
 &= -z^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial z} + z \left(z \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \times 1 \right) \\
 &= z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
 &= E_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain $[E_1, E_2] = 0$ and $[E_2, E_3] = E_2$.

Now, we have Koszul formula

$$2g(\nabla_U V, W) = Ug(V, W) + Vg(W, U) - Wg(U, V) + g([U, V], W) - g([V, W], U) + g([W, U], V).$$

Using above Koszul formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_{E_1} E_3, E_1) &= E_1g(E_3, E_1) + E_3g(E_1, E_3) - E_1g(E_1, E_3) \\ &\quad + g([E_1, E_3], E_1) - g([E_3, E_1], E_1) + g([E_1, E_1], E_3) \\ &= 2g(E_1, E_1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can calculate

$$2g(\nabla_{E_1} E_3, E_2) = 0 = 2g(E_1, E_2) \quad \text{and} \quad 2g(\nabla_{E_1} E_3, E_3) = 0 = 2g(E_1, E_3).$$

Thus, $g(\nabla_{E_1} E_3, X) = g(E_1, X)$ for all $X \in \chi(M)$.

Therefore, $\nabla_{E_1} E_3 = E_1$.

Proceeding continuously in this way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_1} E_3 &= E_1, \nabla_{E_1} E_2 = 0, \nabla_{E_1} E_1 = -E_3, \\ \nabla_{E_2} E_3 &= E_2, \nabla_{E_2} E_2 = -E_3, \nabla_{E_2} E_1 = 0, \\ \text{and } \nabla_{E_3} E_1 &= \nabla_{E_3} E_2 = \nabla_{E_3} E_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_1} E_3 &= E_1 = E_1 - g(E_1, E_3)E_3, \\ \nabla_{E_2} E_3 &= E_2 = E_2 - g(E_2, E_3)E_3, \\ \text{and } \nabla_{E_3} E_3 &= 0 = E_3 - g(E_3, E_3)E_3. \end{aligned}$$

For $E_3 = \xi$, above results become

$$\nabla_X \xi = X - g(X, \xi)\xi = X - \eta(X)\xi.$$

Thus the second condition (2.5) for Kenmotsu manifold is satisfied. Again, we have

$$(\nabla_{E_1} \varphi)E_1 = \nabla_{E_1} \varphi E_1 - \varphi \nabla_{E_1} E_1 = \nabla_{E_1} (-E_2) - \varphi(-E_3) = 0$$

and

$$g(\varphi E_1, E_1)E_3 - g(E_1, E_3)\varphi E_1 = g(-E_2, E_1) = 0$$

Therefore, we get

$$(\nabla_{E_1} \varphi)E_1 = g(\varphi E_1, E_1) - g(E_1, E_3)\varphi E_1 = 0.$$

Similarly, we can verify other results. Hence we have

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X \quad \text{for } E_3 = \xi.$$

Thus, the first condition (2.4) for Kenmotsu manifold is also satisfied. Satisfying two conditions (2.4) and (2.5) for Kenmotsu manifold, the manifold under consideration is a 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold.

By the definition of Riemannian curvature tensor in terms of ∇ , we have

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z.$$

Thus, using definition of R , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(E_1, E_2)E_3 &= \nabla_{E_1} \nabla_{E_2} E_3 - \nabla_{E_2} \nabla_{E_1} E_3 - \nabla_{[E_1, E_2]}E_3 \\ &= \nabla_{E_1} E_2 - \nabla_{E_2} E_1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(E_2, E_3)E_3 &= -E_2, R(E_1, E_3)E_3 = -E_1, R(E_1, E_2)E_2 = -E_1, \\ R(E_2, E_3)E_2 &= E_3, R(E_1, E_3)E_2 = 0, R(E_1, E_2)E_1 = E_2, \\ R(E_2, E_3)E_1 &= 0, R(E_1, E_3)E_1 = E_3. \end{aligned}$$

From above curvature relations, it follows that $\varphi^2((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z) = 0$. Hence 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold is locally φ -symmetric.

References

- [1] Blair DE (1976) *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **509**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [2] Blair DE (2002) *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, **203**, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston.
- [3] De UC and Shaikha AA (2007) *Differential geometry of manifolds*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi.
- [4] Hicks NJ (1965) *Notes on differential geometry*, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, New York.
- [5] Kenmotsu K (1972) A class of almost contact Riemannian manifold, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **24**, 93 - 103.
- [6] Mishra RS (1984) *Structures on a differentiable manifold and their applications*, Chandrama Prakashan, Allahabad.
- [7] Takahashi T (1977) Sasakian φ -symmetric spaces, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **29**, 91 - 113.
- [8] Tanno S (1969) The automorphism groups of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **21**, 21 - 38.