

Original Article

# Functional outcome of intramedullary nailing of the femoral shaft fracture

Sunil Kumar Sah<sup>1\*</sup>, Madan Gyawali<sup>2</sup>, Prakriti Raj kandel<sup>3</sup>

## Author's Affiliations

<sup>1-3</sup>Department of Orthopaedics and trauma surgery, Universal College of Medical Sciences and Teaching Hospital, Bhairahawa, Tribhuvan University, Nepal

## Correspondence to:

Dr. Sunil Kumar Sah  
Consultant Orthopaedic surgeon  
Central Hospital, Janakpur, Nepal  
Email: [Sunilshah726@gmail.com](mailto:Sunilshah726@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

**Background & Objective:** A common fracture is a femoral shaft fracture. The advantages of intramedullary interlocking nails make them the method of choice for treating femoral shaft fractures. These benefits include a small incision, minimal dissection, decreased rates of infection, nonunion, and malunion, shorter hospital stays and rehabilitation periods, excellent union, rotational stability and length maintenance, rapid recovery, and early weight-bearing. Therefore, the aim of this study was to analyze the functional findings from femoral shaft fracture intramedullary nailing.

**Material and Methods:** This was a hospital-based, longitudinal, observational study. All patients with femoral shaft fractures admitted at UCMS-TH, Bhairahawa from the Emergency Department managed surgically from October 2019 to March 2020 were included in the study. Femoral shaft fractures with age  $\geq 16$  years were included while open fractures (Gustalio and Anderson type 2 and 3), pathological fractures,

congenital anomaly of the affected limb, previous fracture of the affected limb were excluded. All the patients were managed surgically and followed for at least 6 months. The outcome was measured by the Thoresen scoring system at the end of 6 months.

**Results:** In this study of 30 cases, the mean age was 30.47 years, male and female ratio of 2:1, the left side was affected in 18 cases, RTA was the most common mode of injury. Most of the cases were Winquist and Hansen type 2 and AO type 32B. In the majority of the cases, 27 cases (90%) had no complication while superficial infection in 2 cases and shortening was seen in 1 case. We found excellent results in 86.7% cases, good in 10% cases and fair in 3.3% cases.

**Conclusion:** The excellent functional outcome in terms of alignment and range of motion obtained in this study in the majority of patients makes this technique more reliable. The method of choice for femoral shaft fracture in adults was due to its low complication rate, high incidence of union, shorter hospital stay and early mobility.

**Keywords:** Femur, Femoral shaft fracture, intramedullary nailing

## INTRODUCTION

Femurs are the heaviest, longest, and strongest bone among all human long bones [1]. In orthopedic practice one of the common injuries seen is femoral shaft fracture. They

might be life-threatening, because of open wounds, hemorrhagic shock, fat embolism, ARDS, or multiple organ failure [2]. The average annual incidence of femoral fractures range from 0.1 to 3% (up to 37 per 100000 patient-years), with a peak incidence in young adult males [3-7]. Among different mechanisms of injury, road traffic incidents are the most common cause of femoral shaft fractures in low and middle-income countries. These fractures are nearly 10% of all nonfatal traffic-related injuries [8]. It is one of the main load-bearing bones in the lower extremity, femoral shaft fractures are associated with considerable mortality and morbidity whether they are caused by high- or low-energy trauma [9].

The treatment of femoral shaft fractures has evolved from the historical non-operative methods to the most recent methods of intramedullary nail fixation. Interlocking nails have greatly widened the indications for closed intramedullary nailing of femoral fractures. Early mobilization following fractures of the femoral shaft has a significant advantage in both joint mobility and economic impact [9]. The intramedullary fixation with a thick intramedullary nail of diaphyseal fractures of the femur gives a fixation stable enough for the extremity for weight-bearing, at least partially, before healing of the fracture has taken place. The most important aim in the treatment of fractures is to restore the function of the injured extremity to the maximum. This aim is achieved by active exercise, during the healing process, of as many joints and muscle groups as the fixation methods allow [10].

Malrotation can occur after closed intramedullary nail fixation of femoral shaft fractures. If malrotation causes abnormalities

of alignment or joint orientation or both at the knee joint, eccentric stress on the articular cartilage occurs which can cause degenerative osteoarthritis if the rotatory deformity remains uncorrected. Alteration of the weight-bearing axis in the sagittal plane may be the cause of gait abnormalities [11]. The technique of interlocking of bone and nails was developed to overcome the rotational and longitudinal malalignment of long bone fractures as in comminuted fractures, very proximal and distal fractures, long spiral fractures, and fractures with bone loss. Reduced rates of infection, nonunion, and malunion, shorter hospital stay, and rehabilitation periods are advantages of intramedullary interlocking nails over the conventional non-locking intramedullary nails [12]. The design concept of a straighter radius of curvature of the nail is to assist in 3-point fracture fixation. The anteroposterior bow helps to facilitate fracture reduction, as well as externally guided distal cross locking [11]. In comparison to plate intramedullary nail, can withstand bending and torsional loads better than plates and the locking mechanism provides less tensile and shear stress than plates. The intramedullary interlocking nail is a load-sharing device but less loaded than plates causing less cortical osteopenia of stress shielding, which is a feature of the load-bearing plates [9]. The bending moment on a nail is less than that on a plate because the force is applied over a shorter distance. The load shared over the mechanical and anatomic axis is stronger for a nail than for a plate as an intramedullary device [13].

Intramedullary nailing of the femur has a satisfactory union rate and other advantages under stable biomechanical circumstances. Nowadays, closed reduction and internal

fixation with an interlocking nail for fracture of the femoral shaft is accepted as a standard treatment. Its use has been extended to nearly all shaft fractures from the proximal to the distal femur [14]. It requires a small incision and minimum dissection, which gives excellent healing of the fracture and rapid recovery. Interlocking provides rotational stability and maintains length which favors an early return to full weight-bearing and union of the fracture [15]. It has allowed reliable, reproducible rates of union and mechanical stability which allows early mobilization and improved function [13]. Moreover, intramedullary interlocking nailing is the method of choice in the treatment of most acute femoral shaft fractures in adults [16]. Therefore, the study was designed to evaluate the functional outcome of intramedullary nailing of femoral shaft fracture.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### **Study period and design**

This hospital-based observational, longitudinal study was done from October 2019 to march 2020.

### **Study Population**

All patients with femoral shaft fractures admitted at UCMS, Bhairawaha from the emergency department managed surgically in the study period.

### **Sample size**

All the adult cases above 16 years of age with femoral shaft fractures during the study period. The sample size is calculated as

$$n = z^2 pq / d^2$$

n=required sample size

p=prevalence of disease

q=100-p

z=1.96 taken at 95% confidence interval

d=allowable error taken as 5%

P= 2q=98

The sample size calculated by the above formula is approximately 30.

### **Inclusion criteria**

All patients who gave their willful consent to participate in the study and willing for follow-up study, with age  $\geq 16$  years, medically fit patients, fractures middle third femur and closed, and open (GA type 1) displaced or unstable fractures were included.

### **Exclusion criteria**

Open fractures (GA type 2 and 3), pathological fractures, fractures proximal and distal femur fracture, medically unfit patients, neuromuscular problems, polytrauma patients and head injury patients were excluded.

### **Ethical Consideration**

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of UCMS- TH (Ref: UCMS/IRC/204/19).

### **Data Collection and Sampling procedure**

All cases of femoral shaft fracture who presented in the emergency department meeting the inclusion criteria were included in the study.

### **Initial management of fractures Emergency Management**

Airway, Breathing and Circulation assessment according to ATLS protocol was followed. Head injury and other major injuries were ruled out. Intravenous fluids were started in hypotension due to blood loss. Detailed history was taken and thorough clinical examination was performed. Associated orthopedic and other systemic injuries were assessed and managed accordingly. Immobilization of the affected limb with skin

or skeletal traction was done. X-rays view taken in 2 planes, AP and lateral including an x-ray of ipsilateral hip and knee joints and special view if required. Analgesics, antibiotics, tetanus toxoid and blood transfusion were given as needed. Management of open fractures was done by urgent wound debridement under antibiotic coverage and temporary stabilization.

All preoperative investigations like complete blood count, renal function test, random blood sugar, bleeding & clotting time, serology (HIV, HbsAg, HCV), ECG, Chest x-ray anteroposterior view & echocardiography (if required) were sent, 2 pints of whole blood after blood grouping & cross-matching were kept on standby before surgery & the patient was shifted to ward & planned for surgery as soon as the patient's condition stabilized & patient got clearance on the pre-anesthetic check-up.

### Preoperative preparation

Written informed consent was taken from the patient's party. The patient was kept nil per oral for 6-8 hours before surgery. An adequate amount of compatible blood after grouping and cross-matching was kept ready for any eventuality. Clinically the length of the nail was measured from the tip of the greater trochanter to the upper pole of the patella (on the normal side). Diameter of the nail was measured at the isthmus level on the x-ray. Nails of 1 size above and below the measured length were kept ready. Also, intraoperatively a nail of known length was held to the anterolateral side of the normal thigh, then an anteroposterior image on c-arm was seen to confirm the nail size. A systemic antibiotic usually 2<sup>nd</sup> generation cephalosporin was administered 1 hour prior to surgery.

### Preoperative planning

### Type of anesthesia

The decision regarding the type of anesthesia to be used was given to the Anaesthesia Department.

### Surgical procedure



Figure 1: Anterograde intramedullary interlocking nailing set

### Patient positioning

In antegrade nailing, patient was positioned supine on a fracture table. Traction was applied to the foot, which was secured in a boot. The non-injured leg was kept in a hemilithotomy position, widely abducted. C-arm was positioned at the unaffected side of the patient.



Figure 2: Positioning and draping

### Reduction

Femoral length can be obtained by using a fracture table. Percutaneously placed half pins can be used to facilitate reduction which allows correction of both angular and rotational deformities simultaneously. The fracture reduction was maintained during a

guide-wire passage, reaming, and nail passage.

### Incision

An oblique incision (6 to 8cm) proximal to the greater trochanter that is in line with the femoral canal was made. Superficial, deep fascia and gluteus medius split along the skin incision allowing placement of all instrumentation for the nailing procedure.



Figure 3: Incision

### Entry point

Piriformis fossa was palpated. An awl was used and its position was confirmed fluoroscopically on the AP and lateral views. The entry point was made and the medullary cavity was perforated, after confirming under C-arm.



Figure 4: Entry point

### Guidewire insertion

After the starting hole has been made, a ball-tipped guidewire was passed through the

proximal fragment and under C-arm guidance. The fracture was reduced and guide wire was inserted into the distal fragment. The position was confirmed under the C-arm in AP and lateral plane and the tip of guidewire was hammered into the subchondral bone.



Figure 5: Guidewire insertion

### Reaming

Reaming of a medullary cavity was started with a 7mm diameter reamer. Subsequent reaming was done by 0.5mm increments. To make nail passage easier 1mm diameter larger than the chosen nail upto the occurrence of cortical chatter at the level of the isthmus was made. The fracture was maintained in a reduced position during the passage of each reamer to minimize eccentric bone removal. The proper nail diameter for a snug fit is therefore 1 to 1.5 mm smaller than the largest reamer used, a width that also correlates to the diameter of the isthmus. The ball-tipped guidewire was then exchanged for a straight (non ball-tipped) guidewire using a flexible exchange tube.

### Nail insertion

The selected nail was then confirmed, and the nail was attached to the proximal locking jig and placed over the guidewire inserted. The nail was advanced to the level of the fracture. At this point, the length, alignment, and rotation of the femur were corrected accurately then the nail was advanced across



the fracture, and the reduction parameters were confirmed. The nail was then driven distally to the appropriate depth. The position of the nail was then checked both in AP and lateral views with C-arm and the guidewire was removed.



Figure 6: Nail insertion

### Distal locking

Distal locking was done with a free hand technique under C-arm guidance. A drill bit was used to make a track in the centre of the screw hole. The screw length was measured with a depth gauge and the interlocking screw was inserted. The correct position of screw was assured with guide wire sounding technique and C-arm. Distal locking was preferred first in most cases. Reverse jamming through the jig was done for further compression of fracture when fracture distraction was noticed



Figure 7: Distal locking

### Proximal locking

Proximal locking was done through a proximal jig. A drill sleeve was then passed into the guide sleeve and with a 3.5 mm drill bit, a drill hole was made through both the cortices, the length of the screw needed was determined using a depth gauge and the screw was inserted through the guide sleeve. The second screw was placed in similarly.

### Removing the jig

Finally, the whole length of the nail, reduction, proximal, and distal locking screws were checked under C-arm. The jig was removed. The incised wounds were washed with normal saline and a vacuum drain was inserted. The skin was closed in layers. The dressing was done and a compression bandage was applied.

### Postoperative Protocol

The patient was kept nil per oral for 6 hours. Intravenous fluids, blood transfusions were given as needed. Intravenous antibiotics were continued for 3 days and then switched over to oral antibiotics for next 5 days in accordance to wound status. Analgesics were given according to patient needs. Postoperative hemoglobin was sent after 24 hours of surgery. Postoperative radiographs in AP and lateral view were taken. Quadriceps strengthening and active-assisted range of motion of hip and knee started on the first postoperative day. Drain removed on the second or third postoperative day depending on status and amount of drain. The dressing was done on alternate days after surgery depending on the condition of the wound. Total suture removal was done on the 14th postoperative day & on the 21st postoperative day in cases of delayed wound healing. The patient was discharged depending upon the condition of the wound &

the extent of swelling or other associated conditions. Weight-bearing and mobilization with crutches or walker were started once the patient tolerated pain. Static quadriceps and hamstring exercises were encouraged.

**Follow up**

The patients were followed up at two weeks for suture removal & then at six weeks, twelve weeks, & six months. Standard anteroposterior and lateral X-rays of the affected thigh were done on each follow-up after the second week. The clinical, radiographic results were recorded during each follow-up to ensure that no loss of reduction has occurred, evidence of callus formation and consolidation of fracture. A functional assessment using the Thoresen scoring system [17] was done at 6 months. Any complications such as infection or hardware complications that occurred were recorded.

satisfactory in the measurement of pain. The addition of descriptive terms produces a graphic rating scale [18]. According to the visual analogue scale [19] scores were taken and compared to the previous follow-up that is at 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months.

**Data collection**

The data was collected by interviews using the preformed Proforma. Data collection was done by the researcher.

**Research instruments**

The Proforma included general history, clinical & radiological findings regarding the case, its management & regular follow-up.

**Data Analysis**

Data were entered in a master sheet with the coding of the variables and analysis was done using SPSS version 21. Paired t-test, Chi-Square test was used for statistical analysis.

**Table 1: THORESEN SCORING SYSTEM [17]**

| Variable                      | Result    |                 |             |        |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|--------|
|                               | Excellent | Good            | Fair        | Poor   |
| <b>Malalignment</b>           |           |                 |             |        |
| Varus / Valgus                | 5         | 5               | 10          | >10    |
| Procurvatum /<br>Recurvatum   | 5         | 10              | 15          | >15    |
| Internal Rotation             | 5         | 10              | 15          | >15    |
| External Rotation             | 10        | 15              | 20          | >20    |
| Shortening (in cm)            | 1         | 2               | 3           | >3     |
| <b>Range of Motion (Knee)</b> |           |                 |             |        |
| Flexion                       | >120      | 120             | 90          | <90    |
| Extension deficit             | 5         | 10              | 15          | >15    |
| Pain or Swelling              | None      | Sporadic, minor | Significant | Severe |

\*A significant deformity was defined as limb shortening of more than 1cm, varus or valgus deformity more than 10 degrees, rotational malalignment more than 15 degrees.

**Pain assessment**

Pain assessment was done using a visual analogue scale at each follow-up. Visual analogue scales have been proved to be

The Means, standard deviations were calculated & statistical tables & graphs were drawn.

**RESULTS**

Table 2 shows the patients ranged from a minimum age of 18 years and maximum age of 65 years. The mean age was 30.47 years & with a standard deviation of 11.132 years. Out of a total of 30 patients in this study, there were 20 males (66.70%) and 10 females (33.30%). The male to female ratio was 2:1.

**Table 2: Age and sex distribution of patients (N=30)**

| Age( in years) | n(%)      |
|----------------|-----------|
| 15-25          | 13 (43.3) |
| 26-35          | 10(33.4)  |
| 36-45          | 3(10)     |
| 46-50          | 3(10)     |
| 51-65          | 1(3.3)    |
| <b>Total</b>   | 30(100)   |
| <b>Sex</b>     |           |
| Male           | 20(66.70) |
| Female         | 10(33.30) |
| <b>Total</b>   | 30(100)   |

Table 3 depicts that the major cause of fracture was road traffic accidents (70 %) followed by fall injury (23.30 %). In our study left side was affected in 18 patients (60%) and the right side in 12 patients (40%). In this study of 30 cases, 16 cases (53.3%) were of Winquist and Hansen Type 2 followed by Winquist and Hansen Type 3 in 11 cases (36.7%) and Type 1 in 3cases (10%) In this study type A was 26.6%, type B was 74.4. In this study, 21 cases (70%) were operated within 7 days of trauma while 8 cases (26.7%) were operated between 7 to 14 days and 1 case (3.3%) were operated in >14 days with a mean of 5.43 days between trauma & surgery, a standard deviation of 4.651 days. In this study maximum duration of surgery was 110 minutes and the minimum duration was 60 minutes. The majority (86.6%) of surgeries were completed within 60-90 minutes. The mean duration of surgery was 77.27 minutes with a standard deviation of 11.286 minutes.

**Table 3: Other findings among patients**

|  |                  |
|--|------------------|
| <b>Mode of injury</b>                                | <b>N(%)</b>      |
| Road traffic accident                                | 21(70)           |
| Fall injury  | 7(23.30)         |
| Other  | 2 (6.70)         |
| Total  | 30(100)          |
| <b>Side affected</b>                                 |                  |
| Right  | 12(40)           |
| Left   | 18(60)           |
| Total  | 30(100)          |
| <b>Types of fracture</b>                             |                  |
| <b>Winquist and Hansen</b>                           |                  |
| Type 1   | 3(10)            |
| Type 2   | 16(53.3)         |
| Type 3   | 11(36.7)         |
| Total  | 30(100)          |
| <b>AO/OTA Classification</b>                         |                  |
| 32A2   | 4(13.3)          |
| 32A3   | 4(13.3)          |
| 32B1   | 2(6.7)           |
| 32B2   | 11(36.7)         |
| 32B3   | 9(30)            |
| Total  | 30(100)          |
| <b>Duration between trauma &amp; surgery in Days</b> |                  |
| < 7 days   | 21(70)           |
| 7-14 days  | 8 (26.7)         |
| >14 days   | 1(3.3)           |
| <b>Duration of surgery(minutes)</b>                  |                  |
| < 60 min   | 2(6.7)           |
| 60- 90 min   | 26(86.6)         |
| > 90 min   | 2(6.7)           |
| <b>Duration of Hospital Stay</b>                     | <b>Frequency</b> |
| <10 days   | 4(13.3)          |
| 11-20 days   | 11(36.7)         |
| 21-30 days   | 9(30)            |
| <b>Fracture union(weeks)</b>                         |                  |
| 12-14  | 13(43.3)         |
| 15-17  | 12(40)           |
| 18-20  | 5(16.7)          |
| Total  | 30(100)          |
| <b>Complications</b>                                 |                  |
| None   | 27(90)           |
| Shortening   | 1(3.3)           |
| Wound infection                                      | 2(6.7)           |
| Total  | 30(100)          |
| Total  | 30(100)          |

In this study, the maximum duration of hospital stay was 39 days & the minimum was 7 days. The mean was 21.07 days and the standard deviation was 9.642 days. In this study maximum duration of fracture union was 19 weeks and the minimum duration was 12weeks. The mean duration of fracture



union was 15.10 weeks with a standard deviation of 2.171 weeks. In this study, the majority of the cases, 27 cases (90%) had no complication while superficial infection in 2 cases (6.7%) and shortening was seen in 1 case (3.3%). No other major complications were found.

In this study of 30 cases, all the patients were evaluated for pain with Visual Analogue Scale in each follow-up at 6week, 3 months, and 6 months as shown in Table 4 and Table 5.

In this study of 30 cases followed up for 6

months postoperatively, the outcome was evaluated according to the Thoresen scoring system measured at the end of 6 months. We found excellent in 86.7% cases, good in 10% cases and Fair in 3.3% cases as shown in Table 7.

**Table 7: Functional outcomes ( N=30)**

| Functional outcome | n(%)     |
|--------------------|----------|
| Excellent          | 26(86.7) |
| Good               | 3(10)    |
| Fair               | 1(3.3)   |
| Total              | 30(100)  |

**Table 4: VAS score**

|        |              | Mean | N  | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|--------|--------------|------|----|----------------|-----------------|
| Pair 1 | VAS@6wks     | 1.13 | 30 | .346           | .063            |
|        | VAS@3months  | .57  | 30 | .728           | .133            |
| Pair 2 | VAS@3months  | .57  | 30 | .728           | .133            |
|        | VAS@_6months | .30  | 30 | .466           | .085            |

**Table 5: Paired Samples Test**

|        |                            | Paired Differences |                |                 |   |       | T     | Df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|--------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|---|-------|-------|----|-----------------|
|        |                            | Mean               | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference |       |       |    |                 |
|        |                            |                    |                |                 | Lower                                     | Upper |       |    |                 |
| Pair 1 | VAS@6wks - VAS@3months     | .567               | .504           | .092            | .378                                      | .755  | 6.158 | 29 | .000            |
| Pair 2 | VAS@3months - VAS@_6months | .267               | .583           | .106            | .049                                      | .484  | 2.504 | 29 | .018            |

**Table 6: Type of fracture (Winquist and Hansen) with functional outcome**

|                     |        |                              | Functional outcome |       |      | Total  | p-value |
|---------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------------------|-------|------|--------|---------|
|                     |        |                              | Excellent          | Good  | Fair |        |         |
| Winquist and Hansen | Type 1 | Count                        | 3                  | 0     | 0    | 3      |         |
|                     |        | % within Winquist_And_Hansen | 100.0%             | 0.0%  | 0.0% | 100.0% |         |
|                     | Type 2 | Count                        | 14                 | 1     | 1    | 16     |         |
|                     |        | % within Winquist_And_Hansen | 87.5%              | 6.3%  | 6.3% | 100.0% | 0.694   |
|                     | Type 3 | Count                        | 9                  | 2     | 0    | 11     |         |
|                     |        | % within Winquist_And_Hansen | 81.8%              | 18.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% |         |
| Total               |        | Count                        | 26                 | 3     | 1    | 30     |         |
|                     |        | % within Winquist_And_Hansen | 86.7%              | 10.0% | 3.3% | 100.0% |         |

## DISCUSSION

In our study, the mean age was 30.47 years (median age 26.50) & with a standard deviation of 11.132 years which was similar to the study done by Salminen et al. [20], Ricci et al. [21] and Rutter et al. [22]. In our study, out of a total of 30 patients, there were 20 males (66.7%) and 10 females (33.3%). The male to female ratio was 2:1. The result was different from the study done by Chi-Chuan Wu and Wen-Jer Chen [23] and Thoresen et al. [17]. The predominance of male patients over females may be due to Nepalese males being more active outdoors than female counterparts. The major cause of fracture was road traffic accidents (70 %) followed by fall injury (23.30 %) in this study. The higher incidence of road traffic accidents in our study could be explained by higher vehicle accidents and poor road conditions in our country which is alike to a study done by Taitzman et al. [24]. In our study left side was affected in 18 patients (60%) and the right side in 12 patients (40%) which was similar to the study done by Iacobellis et al. [25]. This might be due to not following traffic rules. In this study, type A was 25.6%, type B was 74.4 which was a just reverse of the study done by Wu et al. [26] and Burc et al. [27]. This might be due to high energy trauma in patients chosen in this study.

In this study of 30 cases, 16 cases (53.3%) were of Winquist and Hansen Type 2 followed by Winquist and Hansen Type 3 in 11 cases (36.7%) and Type 1 in 3 cases (10%) which was different from the study done by Sharma et al. [28]. This might be due to the difference in the energy level of trauma. In this study, 21 cases (70%) were operated within 7 days of trauma while 8 cases (26.7%) were operated between 7 to 14 days and 1 case (3.3%) were operated in >14 days

with a mean of 5.43 days between trauma & surgery, a standard deviation of 4.651 days which is parallel to the study done by Rohilla et al. [29]. This might be because of availability of operating surgeon round the clock and severity of injury. In this study maximum duration of surgery was 110 minutes and the minimum duration was 60 minutes. The majority (86.6%) of surgeries were completed within 60-90 minutes. The mean duration of surgery was 77.27 minutes with a standard deviation of 11.286 minutes which is analogous to the study done by Ostrum et al. [30]. This might be due to an experienced surgical team. In this study, the maximum duration of hospital stay was 39 days & the minimum was 7 days. The mean was 21.07 days and the standard deviation was 9.642 days which was similar to the study done by Zuckerman et al. [31]. This might be due to the degree of comminution, fracture stability and other factors.

In this study, fracture healing was assessed at the end of 6 weeks, 3 months & 6 months on radiographs taken in anteroposterior and lateral views. At the end of 6 months, 27 cases (90%) had obliteration of fracture line, 2 cases (7%) had bridging callus while 1 case (3%) had complete fracture union. The maximum duration of fracture union was 19wks and the minimum duration was 12wks. The mean duration of fracture union was 15.10 weeks with a standard deviation of 2.171 weeks. Maximum fracture united in 12-14 weeks and all within 19 weeks which is comparable to the study done by Thoresen et al. [17]. This might be due to proper care of patient.

In this study, the majority of the cases, 27 cases (90%) had no complication while superficial infection in 2 cases (6.7%) and

shortening was seen in 1 case (3.3%). No other major complications were found which is diverse from the study done by Karadimas et al. [32]. The complications observed might be attributable to the individuality of the fracture and patient, individual surgeon's capabilities and, many other variables. In our study pain assessment was done using the Visual analogue scale. In the present study of 30 cases, all patients underwent a pain assessment using the VAS at each follow-up visit at 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months. The mean difference between the groups when compared between 6 weeks and 3 months was 0.567 and was statistically significant. Similar to the Ricci et al. study [33], there was a substantial decrease in pain for 3 months and a 6-month follow-up. The mean difference between the groups when comparing 3 months to 6 months was 0.267 and was statistically significant.

In this study type of fracture (Winqvist and Hansen) was compared with the outcome, the p-value=0.694. This indicates that in this study p-value is not significant so the outcome has no relation with the type of fracture and it might be influenced by many other factors. This was related to the study done by Pal et al. [34]. In this study of 30 cases followed up for 6 months postoperatively, the outcome was evaluated according to the Thoresen scoring system measured at the end of 6 months. We found excellent in 86.7% cases, good in 10% cases and fair in 3.3% cases. This is comparable to a study done by Tuzuner et al [35]. The study was performed with small sample size and was single centered study. The duration of follow-up was only 6 months, so the long-term outcome could not be evaluated. The comparison for the union time was different for different studies because of the lack of definitive

criteria by which union time of all of the studies could be properly compared. No blinding techniques were used.

## **CONCLUSION**

Femoral shaft fracture occurs mostly in a male in the active part (young adults) of their life with RTA being the most common etiology. The findings with low complications rate, high incidence of union, shorter hospital stay, early mobility, the excellent functional outcome in terms of alignment and range of motion in the majority of patients makes this technique more reliable and method of choice for femoral shaft fracture in adults. Additionally, load sharing property, internal splinting, and rotational stability are the main advantages of IMIL in femoral shaft fracture. Reduced rates of infection, nonunion, and malunion, shorter hospital stay and rehabilitation periods are additional advantages of IMIL. If IMIL done earlier, fracture reduction can be achieved by a closed method with less difficulty and results in early fracture union, excellent functional outcome and prevention of complications. Good outcomes and low complication rates can be expected if the operating surgeon has a good understanding of the anatomy, and surgical technique of treatment of femoral shaft fractures.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We appreciate every patient who agreed to participate in our research study. We owe a debt of gratitude to the college administration of UCMS, Bhairahawa and the department of orthopaedics and traumatic surgery for all the logistical assistance given to the effective completion of this research work.

## ***Conflict of interest***

None

### **Funding**

None

**Author's Contribution** Design and concept of study, data collection, procedure performing and treatment, statistical analysis, reviewed literatures, writing the first draft of manuscript-**SKS**; Involved during data collection, revision and correction in first draft of manuscript-**MG**; Supervision, critical intellectual content and final revision of manuscript- **PRK**. All authors read the final draft of manuscript and agreed to publish.

### **REFERENCES**

- Shah S, Bougherara H, Schemitsch EH, Zdero R. Biomechanical stress maps of an artificial femur obtained using a new infrared thermography technique validated by strain gages. *Med Eng Phys* 2012;34(10):1496-502.
- Taylor DH, Jasoliya DV. A study of 30 cases of primary interlock nail fixation for fracture shaft femur. *Int J Sci Res* 2019;8(2):52-4.
- Hedlund R, Lindgren U. Epidemiology of diaphyseal femoral fracture. *Acta Orthop* 1986;57(5):423-7.
- Singer BR, McLauchlan GJ, Robinson CM, Christie J. Epidemiology of fractures in 15 000 adults: The influence of age and gender. *J Bone Jt Surg - Ser B* 1998;80(suppl. 2):243-8.
- Arneson TJ, Melton LJ, Lewallen DG, O'Fallon WM. Epidemiology of diaphyseal and distal femoral fractures in Rochester, Minnesota, 1965-1984. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1988;(234):188-94.
- Memarzadeh A, Tissingh EK, Hull P, Trompeter A. Intramedullary nailing of femoral shaft fractures in adults. *Orthop Trauma* 2017;31(2):86-92.
- Kootstra G, Schipper JJ, Boontje AH, Klasen HJ, Binnendijk B. Femoral shaft fracture with injury of the superficial femoral artery in civilian accidents. *Surgery, Gynecol & Obstet* 1976;142(3):399-403.
- Conway D, Albright P, Eliezer E, Haonga B, Morshed S, Shearer DW. The burden of femoral shaft fractures in Tanzania. *Injury* 2019;50(7):1371-5.
- Jain K, Gandhi P, Rajamanya K, Rupakumar C, Ravishankar R, Deepak M. Functional outcome of diaphyseal fractures of femur managed by closed intramedullary interlocking nailing in adults. *Ann Afr Med* 2012;11(1):52.
- Danckwardt-lillieström G, Sjögren S. Postoperative restoration of muscle strength after intramedullary nailing of fractures of the femoral shaft. *Acta Orthop* 1976;47(1):101-7.
- Gugenheim JJ, Probe RA, Brinker MR. The effects of femoral shaft malrotation on lower extremity anatomy. *J Orthop Trauma* 2004;18(10):658-64.
- Bhat A, Rao S, Bhaskaranand K. Mechanical Failure in Intramedullary Interlocking Nails. *J Orthop Surg* 2006;14(2):138-41.
- Yoon RS, Liporace FA. Impact of intramedullary nailing in the treatment of femur fractures an evolutionary perspective. *Bull Hosp Joint Dis* 2018;76(1):9-13.
- Lin SJ, Chen CL, Peng KT, Hsu WH. Effect of fragmentary displacement and morphology in the treatment of comminuted femoral shaft fractures with an intramedullary nail. *Injury* 2014;45(4):752-6.
- Jaarsma RL, van Kampen A. Rotational malalignment after fractures of the femur. *J Bone Jt Surg - Ser B* 2004;86(8):1100-4.
- Pihlajamäki HK, Salminen ST, Böstman OM. The treatment of nonunions following intramedullary nailing of femoral shaft fractures. *J Orthop Trauma* 2002;16(6):394-402.
- Thoresen BO, Alho A, Ekeland A, Strømsøe K, Follerås G, Haukebø A. Interlocking intramedullary nailing in femoral shaft fractures. A report of forty-eight cases. *J Bone Jt Surg - Ser A* 1985;67(9):1313-20  
Open Reduction is a Disadvantage or Not? *Indian J Surg* 2015;77:583-8.
- Scott J, Huskisson EC. Vertical or horizontal visual analogue scales. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1979;38(6):560.
- Price DD, McGrath PA, Rafii A, Buckingham B. The validation of visual analogue scales as ratio scale measures for chronic and experimental pain. *Pain* 1983;17(1):45-56.
- Salminen ST, Pihlajamäki HK, Avikainen VJ, Böstman OM. Population based epidemiologic and morphologic study of femoral shaft fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2000;(372):241-9.
- Ricci WM, Bellabarba C, Lewis R, Evanoff B, Herscovici D, Dipasquale T, et al. Angular Malalignment After Intramedullary Nailing of Femoral Shaft Fractures. *J Orthop Trauma* 2001;15(2):90-5
- Riitter JE, Vries LS De. Intramedullary nailing of open femoral shaft fractures. *Injury* 1994;25(7):419-22.
- Wu CC, Chen WJ. Healing of 56 segmental femoral shaft fractures after locked nailing Poor results of dynamization. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1997;68(6):537-40.
- Taitsman LA, Lynch JR, Agel J, Barei DP, Nork SE. Risk factors for femoral nonunion after femoral shaft fracture. *J Trauma - Inj Infect Crit Care* 2009;67(6):1389-92.
- Iacobellis C, Strukul L. Intramedullary nailing in femoral shaft fractures. Evaluation of a group of 101 cases. *Chir Organi Mov* 2008;92(1):17-21.
- Wu KJ, Li SH, Yeh KT, Chen IH, Lee RP, Yu TC, et al. The risk factors of nonunion after intramedullary nailing fixation of femur shaft fracture in middle age patients. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2019;98(29):e16559.
- Burç H, Atay T, Demirci D, Baykal YB, Kirdemir V, Yorgancigil H. The Intramedullary Nailing of Adult Femoral Shaft Fracture by the Way of Open Reduction

is a Disadvantage or Not? Indian J Surg. 2015;77(January 2008):583-8.

28. J C Sharma , S P Gupta, N C Mathur, R Kalla, M K Aseri, A Biyani AA. Comminuted femoral shaft fractures treated by closed intramedullary nailing and functional cast bracing. J Trauma 1993;34(6):786-91.
29. Rohilla R, Singh R, Rohilla S, Magu NK, Devgan A, Siwach R. Locked intramedullary femoral nailing without fracture table or image intensifier. Strateg Trauma Limb Reconstr 2011;6(3):127-35.
30. Ostrum RF, Agarwal A, Lakatos R, Poka A. Prospective comparison of retrograde and antegrade femoral intramedullary nailing. J Orthop Trauma 2000;14(7):496-501.
31. Zuckerman JD, Veith RG, Johnson KD, Bach AW, Hansen ST, Solvik S. Treatment of unstable femoral shaft fractures with closed interlocking intramedullary nailing. J Orthop Trauma 1987;1(3):209-18.
32. Karadimas EJ, Papadimitriou G, Theodoratos G, Papanikolaou A, Maris J. The effectiveness of the antegrade reamed technique: The experience and complications from 415 traumatic femoral shaft fractures. Strateg Trauma Limb Reconstr 2009;4(3):113-21.
33. Ricci WM, Bellabarba C, Evanoff B, Herscovici D, Dipasquale T, Sanders R. Retrograde Versus Antegrade Nailing of Femoral Shaft Fractures. J Orthop Trauma 2001;15(3):161-9.
34. Pal DSK, Mishra DD, Nanda DSN. Prospective study on functional outcome of fracture shaft of femur (Winquest Hansen type 1, 2 and 3) treated with intramedullary interlocking nail. Int J Orthop Sci 2021;7(1):162-9.
35. Tüzüner T, Subaşı M, Kapukaya A, Necmioğlu NS. Treatment of femoral shaft fractures with interlocking intramedullary nailing. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 2002;36(3):211-9.