



ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

QUALITY OF LIFE AMONG OSTOMATES ATTENDING AT A CANCER HOSPITAL, CHITWAN, NEPAL

Laxmi Neupane^{1,*}, Bedantakala Thulung²

¹Department of Nursing, BP Koirala Memorial Cancer Hospital, Bharatpur-7, Chitwan, Nepal

²School of Nursing, Chitwan medical College, Bharatpur-10, Chitwan, Nepal

Received: 12 Dec, 2018

Accepted: 9 June, 2019

Published: 15 June, 2019

Key words: Domain; Quality of life; Stoma.

*Correspondence to: Laxmi Neupane, BP Koirala Memorial Cancer Hospital, Bharatpur-7, Chitwan, Nepal.
Email: laxmibpkmch2017@gmail.com

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.3126/jcmc.v9i2.24561>

Citation

Neupane L, Thulung B. Quality of life among ostomates attending at a cancer hospital, Chitwan, Nepal. Journal of Chitwan Medical College. 2019; 9(28):83-89.



ABSTRACT

Background: Stoma is a global public health problem. Stoma can be temporary or permanent and creates multiple difficulties in terms of quality of life (QoL). So the QoL of ostomates needs to be regularly assessed. The objective of the study was to find out the Quality of life among ostomates attending outpatient clinics at a Cancer Hospital, Chitwan.

Methods: A descriptive cross sectional study was conducted among 138 ostomates. Consecutive sampling technique was used by face to face interview method using standard tool "City of Hope Quality of Life Questionnaire for a patients with an ostomy". Data were analyzed by using descriptive and inferential statistics.

Results: The mean QoL of the respondents was 5.03 ± 0.75 . More than half (52.9%) of the respondents had lower QoL. Statistical significant association was found between QoL of the respondents and recent involvement in occupation ($p < 0.001$), duration of having stoma ($p = 0.049$) and stoma related complications ($p = 0.014$). There is a positive correlation between overall QoL of the respondents and QoL in physical domain ($r = 0.632$, $p < 0.001$), psychological domain ($r = 0.723$, $p < 0.001$), social domain ($r = 0.809$, $p < 0.001$) and spiritual domain ($r = 0.581$, $p = 0.001$) respectively.

Conclusions: It is concluded that more than half of respondents had lower QoL. Here, stoma affects all domains of QoL of ostomates. Qualitative study explores all aspect of life more effectively. Counseling must be directed to continue job, adjustment to changed life style and prevention of complication.

INTRODUCTION

Stoma is a surgically made opening in the skin of abdomen that allows intestinal contents to pass from the bowel rather than being eliminated through the anus. It may be placed on a permanent or temporary basis.¹

A stoma bag is attached to the abdominal opening where feces drain. An individual who has colostomy, ileostomy or urostomy undergoes a complex treatment with wide range of adjustments affect the individual's social and psychological functioning.²

There is not available of accurate data of survivors of ostomates in the world. Estimates range is from 450,000 to 800,000.³ Only in China, more than 1 million patients had a permanent colostomy and its numbers are increasing at the rate of 100,000 per year.⁴ Now there are three to four thousands of ostomates in Nepal and many more ostomates withdraw from social activities simply because they believe that they are not 'normal' as their bowel is 'outside the body' after surgeries.⁵

Having a stoma led to poorer scores in most aspects

of quality of life and that having a stoma made some time after the initial operation was more distressing.⁶ In both cancer and non-cancer patients with stoma, fatigue or sleeplessness, leakages, pain, bladder or bowel complaints, physical functioning or activity, travelling or being away from home, other daily activities (including work), clothing and diet were among the 10 most common reported themes influencing daily life.⁷

A numerous related research studies have been conducted in the world. In Nepal, only limited studies⁸ had focused on a psychosocial problem of ostomates while no study has been conducted relating to all aspects of life.

Developing countries like Nepal, these problems are being largely ignored that affects QoL. Studies conducted in Nepal showed the need of study more to explore all aspects of life (beyond psychosocial) of ostomates to determine QoL. Thus this study was conducted to find out the QoL among ostomates attending outpatient clinics at a Cancer Hospital, Chitwan, Nepal.

METHODS

A descriptive cross sectional study was conducted at BPKMCH, Chitwan, Nepal after getting ethical clearance from CMC-IRC. Total 138 ostomates who completed six month duration of having stoma surgery and attained in OPD of BPKMCH were selected by non probability consecutive sampling technique. Individual interview was done with standard tool "City of Hope Quality of Life Questionnaire for patients with an ostomy" for four weeks duration. Data was collected from Oct-Nov 2016. Data entered in EPI 3.1 and IBM SPSS version and analyzed by using descriptive and inferential statistics.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows that out of 138 respondents, 81.9% had colostomy, 94.2% had permanent stoma and 66.7% had <2 years duration of having stoma. Regarding stoma related problems, out of 138 respondents, 30.4% had diet adjustment problem and 90.6% had clothing problem due to location of stoma, 63.0% had resumed their sexual activity and

among them 24.1% were satisfied with their sexual activity, and 13.8% respondents were having stoma related complications among which 47.3% had skin irritation.

Table 1: Respondents' Stoma Related Information (n=138)

| Variables | Frequency | Percentage |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Types of stoma in terms of location | | |
| Colostomy | 113 | 81.9 |
| Ileostomy | 12 | 8.7 |
| Urostomy | 13 | 9.4 |
| Types of stoma | | |
| Permanent | 130 | 94.2 |
| Temporary | 8 | 5.8 |
| Years of having stoma | | |
| <2 | 92 | 66.7 |
| 3-4 | 16 | 11.6 |
| >5 | 30 | 21.7 |
| Diet adjustment problem | | |
| Yes | 42 | 30.4 |
| No | 96 | 69.6 |
| Clothing problem due to location of stoma | | |
| Yes | 125 | 90.6 |
| No | 13 | 9.4 |
| Resuming sexual activity | | |
| Yes | 87 | 63.0 |
| No | 51 | 37.0 |
| Satisfying sexual activity (n=87) | | |
| Yes | 21 | 24.1 |
| No | 66 | 75.9 |
| Stoma related complications | | |
| Present | 19 | 13.8 |
| Absent | 119 | 86.2 |
| Types of complications (n=19) | | |
| Skin irritation | 9 | 47.3 |
| Parastomal hernia | 4 | 21.1 |
| Prolapsed | 3 | 15.8 |
| Others* | 3 | 15.8 |

Others: leaking, mucocutaneous separation, stenosis*

Table 2: Respondents' QoL in Different Domains (n=138)

| Domains | Min. Score | Max. Score | Mean± SD | Mean % |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------------|--------------|
| Physical | 3 | 9 | 6.24±1.19 | 66.6 |
| Psychological | 3 | 7 | 4.72±0.77 | 68.2 |
| Social | 1 | 8 | 4.04±1.19 | 53.8 |
| Spiritual | 2 | 9 | 5.39±1.23 | 41.8 |
| Total | 3 | 7 | 5.03±0.75 | 69.57 |

Higher score indicates higher QoL or fewer problems. Possible range of score was from 0 to 10, SD=Standard Deviation; significance level at 0.05

Table 2 indicates the mean and standard deviation of the individual domain of QoL and total score. The total QoL mean score was 5.03±0.75 with mean

percent 69.57. The major influencing factor for reduction the QoL mean score was social domain (4.04±1.19) with mean percent 53.8 and psychological domain (4.72±0.77) with mean percent 68.2, spiritual domain (5.39±1.23) with mean percent 41.8 and physical domain (6.24±1.19) with mean percent 66.6 respectively.

Table 3: Respondents' Level of QoL (n=138)

| Quality of Life | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|--------------|
| Lower quality (<5.03) | 73 | 52.9 |
| Higher quality (>5.03) | 65 | 47.1 |
| Total | 138 | 100.0 |

Total mean ± SD = 5.03±0.75

Table 3 reveals that out of 138 respondents, only 47.1% had overall higher quality of life.

Table 4: Association between Respondents' Level of QoL and Socio-demographic Variables (n = 138)

| Variables | Level of Quality of Life | | χ ² | p-value |
|---|--------------------------|----------|----------------|---------|
| | Lower | Higher | | |
| | No. (%) | No. (%) | | |
| Age in years | | | | |
| 21-30 | 12(50.0) | 12(50.0) | 0.632 | 0.959 |
| 31-40 | 16(59.3) | 11(40.7) | | |
| 41-50 | 16(53.3) | 14(46.7) | | |
| 51-60 | 15(51.7) | 14(48.3) | | |
| ≥61 | 14(50.0) | 14(50.0) | | |
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 47(53.4) | 41(46.6) | 0.025 | 0.873 |
| Female | 26(52.0) | 24(48.0) | | |
| Marital status | | | | |
| Married | 69(52.3) | 63(47.7) | - | 0.684* |
| Unmarried | 4(66.7) | 2(33.32) | | |
| Occupation before having stoma | | | | |
| Agriculture | 49(62.0) | 30(38.0) | 10.789 | 0.029** |
| Business | 3(27.3) | 8(72.7) | | |
| Daily wages | 9(64.3) | 5(35.7) | | |
| Service | 8(33.3) | 16(66.7) | | |
| Others | 4(40.0) | 6(60.0) | | |
| Recent involvement in occupation | | | | |
| Yes | 14(27.5) | 37(72.5) | 21.025 | <0.001 |
| No | 59(67.8) | 28(32.2) | | |

Significance level at 0.05, Others: students, priest*Fisher exact test **likelihood ratio

Table 4 shows that there is no significant association between QoL with age, sex and marital status of the respondents. But there is significant association between QoL of respondents and the types of occupa-

tion ($p=0.029$). Higher QoL was found on occupation of business (72.7%). Similarly, there is significant association between QoL and recent involvement in occupation ($p<0.001$).

Table 5: Association between Respondents' Level of QoL with Stoma Related Information (n=138)

| Variables | Level of Quality of Life | | χ^2 | p-value |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| | Lower | Higher | | |
| | No. (%) | No. (%) | | |
| Type of stoma | | | | |
| Permanent | 68(52.3) | 62(47.7) | - | 0.722* |
| Temporary | 5(62.5) | 3(37.5) | | |
| Years of having stoma | | | | |
| <2 | 53(57.6) | 39(42.4) | 6.020 | 0.049 |
| 3-4 | 10(62.5) | 6(37.5) | | |
| >5 | 10(33.3) | 20(66.7) | | |
| Stoma related complications | | | | |
| Yes | 15(78.9) | 4(21.1) | 6.000 | 0.014 |
| No | 58(48.7) | 61(51.3) | | |
| Diet adjustment problem | | | | |
| Yes | 26(61.9) | 16(38.1) | 1.965 | 0.161 |
| No | 47(49.0) | 49(5.0) | | |
| Clothing problem | | | | |
| Yes | 67(53.6) | 58(46.4) | 0.262 | 0.609 |
| No | 6(46.2) | 7(53.8) | | |
| Resuming sexual activity | | | | |
| Yes | 43(49.4) | 44(50.6) | 1.140 | 0.286 |
| No | 30(58.8) | 21(41.2) | | |
| Satisfying sexual activity (n=87) | | | | |
| Yes | 12(57.1) | 9(42.9) | 0.660 | 0.417 |
| No | 31(47.0) | 35(53.0) | | |

Significance level at 0.05, *Fisher exact test

Table 5 revealed that there is significant association between QoL of the respondents and duration of having stoma ($p=0.049$). Higher QoL is found on duration of having stoma >5 years (66.7%). Significant association was also found with stoma related complications ($p=0.014$); lower QoL was found on respondents having stoma related complications (78.9%). There is no significant association between QoL with type of stoma, diet adjustment problem, clothing problem, resuming sexual activity and satisfying sexual activity.

Table 6 shows the bivariate correlation among four domains and total QoL of the respondents. There is statistically significant positive relationship between physical and psychological domain ($r=0.300$, $p<0.001$) and physical and social domain ($p=0.278$, $p=0.001$). Concerning psychological and social domain, there is statistically significant positive relationship ($p=0.462$, $p=0.001$). Similarly, there is statistically significant positive relationship between psychological and spiritual domain ($r=0.288$, $p=0.001$), and social and spiritual domain ($p=0.440$, $p<0.001$).

Table 6: Relationship between Respondents' QoL and Different Domains

| Domain | Physical | Psycho-logical | Social | Spiri-tual |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Physical | 1 | | | |
| Psycho-logical | 0.300* | 1 | | |
| ^ψ Social | 0.278* | 0.462* | 1 | |
| Spiritual | 0.040 | 0.288* | 0.440* | 1 |
| T o t a l QoL | 0.632* | 0.723* | 0.809* | 0.581* |

Significance level at 0.05, * p -value <0.01

ψ Spearman rank correlation was used in social domain with each of other domain

Regarding correlation between overall QoL and four domains, there is statistically significant positive relationship between overall QoL and QoL on physical domain ($r=0.632$, $p<0.001$), psychological domain ($r=0.723$, $p<0.001$), social domain ($r=0.809$, $p<0.001$) and spiritual domain ($r=0.581$, $p=0.001$) at 0.05 level of significance. This indicates that respondents who had increase QoL in physical, psychological, social and spiritual domain tended to have increased overall QoL.

DISCUSSION

The present study examined the QoL in patients with ostomy attending at the stoma clinic of Chitwan, Nepal, in which 81.9% of the respondents had colostomy among which 94.2% had permanent stoma. Some studies^{9, 10} showed conflicting result (67.6% and 59.1% had colostomy and 80.4% had permanent stoma). Similarly, duration of having stoma >5 years were 21.7% which is inconsistent with other studies (16.2%, 44.8%).^{8, 11}

This study observed only 13.8% of the respondents had stoma related complications of which 47.3% had skin irritation but another study⁸ revealed inconsistent result where 38.5% respondents experienced stoma related complications. Likewise, an adjustment problem was present on diet (30.4%) and clothing (90.6%) but another two studies^{9, 12} reported inconsistent result which found problem on

diet modification (82.4%, 55%) and clothing problem (48%, 54%). Having a stoma also alter sexual life, here 63.0% of the respondents resumed sexual activity after stoma surgery among them only 24.1% were satisfied, while this finding is not supported by another study⁹ in which 33.3% resumed sexual activity and among them 31.4% reported being satisfied with sexual activity. Another study¹² revealed nearly similar finding on resuming sexual activity (59%) but inconsistently the majority (81%) had satisfied with sexual activity.

This study found that, best outcome was found on physical domain (6.24 ± 1.19), which disagree with the study⁹, that showed the best outcomes was found on spiritual domain and another study¹³ also showed that upper quartile participants had more favorable scores for several spiritual QoL domains (all $p < 0.001$). Another report⁴ also contradicts in which majority (89.4%) of ostomates suffered from physical problem. On the other side the findings of the present study showed that the lowest outcome was found on the social domain (4.04 ± 1.19) which concurs with another studies.^{9, 13} However a study in Brazil was used WHOQOL-BREF questionnaire to evaluate quality of life of ostomates showed exactly the same result with best QoL in physical domain and poor QoL in social domain.

Regarding QoL, only 47.1% had the higher QoL with the mean score of 5.03 ± 0.75 . There was not exist exactly comparable (mean score) study findings however it is consistent with the study findings² in which 44% respondents possessed best QoL but an Irish survey found that the overall quality of life of people with a stoma is within normal limits.¹² where the majority (69%) said it was good to excellent.

In this study, there was significant association ($p=0.029$) between overall QoL and the type of occupation of the respondents before stoma surgery. The respondents who had the occupation of business had higher QoL and who had the occupation of daily wages had lower QoL. Another study² also found significant association between QoL score of ostomates with occupation. Similarly, there was a significant association between overall QoL of the ostomates and the recent involvement in occupation ($p<0.001$). Respondents who were recently involved in occupation had higher overall QoL. While another

study¹⁴ showed unemployed had higher mean QoL score (117.21) than ostomates who were employed (109.10) which could probably be due to different setting of the study and constrains for stoma appliances.

Finally, longer the duration of having stoma surgery significantly predicted higher overall QoL ($p=0.049$) in the current study which is consistent with the other study.² Experienced ostomates might be adjusted with their daily life. Similarly, there was also a significant association between overall QoL of the respondents and stoma related complications ($p=0.014$). Moreover, there was statistically positive relationship between overall QoL and QoL on physical domain ($r=0.632$, $p<0.001$), psychological domain ($r=0.723$, $p<0.001$), social domain ($r=0.809$, $p<0.001$) and spiritual domain ($r=0.581$, $p=0.001$).

CONCLUSION

Stoma is unexpected and unwanted procedure which alters an individual's quality of life. More than half of the respondents had lower QoL. Highest QoL was found in Physical domain and the lowest was found in social domain. Significant association was found between QoL of the respondents and recent involvement in occupation, duration of having stoma, and stoma related complications. There is a positive correlation between QoL of the respondents with all (physical, psychological, social and spiritual) domains respectively. Providing counseling before and after surgery, educating ostomates on proper use of ostomy appliances and lifestyle modification is necessary to prevent stoma related complications. All four domains (physical, psychological, social and spiritual) of QoL are interlinked each other and have great influences on ostomates' life. So it should be on prime concern while counseling the patient. A qualitative study is recommended to explore further hidden issues.

REFERENCES

1. Lewis SL, Dirksen SR, Heitkemper MM, Bucher L. Lewis's medical-surgical nursing. 2nd ed, c2013, India: Elsevier; 1080-88.
2. Sinha A, Goyal H, Singh S, Rana, SP. Quality of life of ostomates with the selected factors. Indian J Palliat Care. [Internet] 2009 Jul-Dec [cited 2016 Oct 11]; 15(2), 111-114. DOI: 10.4103/0973-1075.58455. Retrived from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2902110/>
3. Rebecca S, Ahmedin J. Colorectal-cancer-facts-and-figures, 2014-2016: American Cancer Society, Atlanta, Georgia. [Internet] 2016 [cited Nov.3]; Retrived from: <https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/colorectal-cancer-facts-and-figures/colorectal-cancer-facts-and-figures-2014-2016.pdf>
4. Pandey RA, Baral S, Dhungana G. Knowledge and practice of stoma care among ostomates at B.P. Koirala Memprial Cancer Hospital. JoNMC. [Internet] 2015[cited 2016 Oct 15]; 4(1): 36-45. Retrived from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3126/jonmc.v4i1.13302>
5. Bajracharya S. The Road of Stoma Care in Nepal. World council of enterostomal therapist [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2016 Jul 27]; Retrived from: http://www.wceten.org/assets/NNGF/an%20overview%20of%20stoma%20care%20in%20nepal_shanti%20d1%20website.pdf
6. Ross L, Abild-Nielsen AG, Thomsen BL, Karlsen RV, Boesen EH, Johansen, C. Quality of life of Danish colorectal cancer patients with and without a stoma. Supportive Care Cancer. [PubMed] 2007; 15(5):505-13. Epub 2006 Nov 14.[cited 2019 Apr 15]; Retrived from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17103196>
7. Jansen F, Van Uden Kreen CF, Braakman JA, VanKeizerswaard PM, Witte BI, Verdonck-de Leeuw IM. A Mixed- Method Study on the Generic and Ostomy Specific Quality of Life of Cancer and non-Cancer Ostomy patients. Supportive Care Cancer. [PubMed] 2015; Jan 23(6):1689-97.DOI: 10.1007/s00520-014-2528-1. Epub 2014 Nov 28.[cited 2019 Apr 21]; Retrived from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25430480>
8. Gautam S, Koirala S, Poudel A, Paudel D. Psychosocial adjustment among patients with ostomy: a survey in stoma clinics, Nepal. Nursing Research and Reviews [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Jan 27]; 55(1), 13-21. Retrived from: <https://>

www.dovepress.com/psychosocial-adjustment-among-patients-with-ostomy-a-survey-in-stoma-c-peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-NRR

9. Anaraki F, Vafaie M, Behboo R, Maghsoodi N, Esmaeilpour S, Safaee A. Quality of life outcomes in patients living with stoma. *Indian J Palliat Care* [Internet]. 2012 Sep-Dec [cited 2016 Oct 23]; 18(3), 176-80. DOI: 10.4103/0973-1075.105687. Retrived from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3573471/>
10. Santos, V. L., Augusto, F.S, & Gomboski, G. Health-related quality of life in persons with ostomies managed in an outpatient care setting. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs.* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2019 March] 43(2), 158-64. Retrived from: <https://www.escavador.com/> doi: 10.1097/WON.0000000000000210
11. de Sousa MJ, da Costa Andrade SS, de Brito KK, de Oliveira Matos SD, Coelho HF, dos Santos Oliveira SH. Sociodemographic and clinical features and quality of life in stomized patients. *Journal of Coloproctology.* 2016;36(1): 27-33. (DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcol.2015.12.005>)
12. Davidson F. Quality of life, wellbeing and care needs of Irish ostomates. *BJN* [internet]. 2016 September [cited 2019 March] 25(17):S4-S12. Retrived from <https://www.bb Braun.com/qol-and-care-needsofirishostomates> DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2016.25
13. Baldwin CM, Grant M, Wendel C, Rawl S, Schmidt CM, Ko C et al. Influence of Intestinal Stoma on Spiritual Quality of Life of US veterans. *Journal of Holistic Nursing* [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2016 Oct 12]; 26 (3) doi: 10.1177/0898010108315185. Retrived from: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0898010108315185>
14. Sinha A. Quality of life of ostomates. *Nursing Journal of India* [Internet]. 2010 Feb [cited 2016 Aug 13] 101(2) 30-2. Retrived from: <https://www.tnaionline.org/feb.10/5.htm>