



Available online at [www.ijasbt.org](http://www.ijasbt.org)

# International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology

**A Rapid Publishing Journal**

APPLIED SCIENCES		BIOTECHNOLOGY
Biochemistry	Immunobiology	Microbial biotechnology
Molecular biology	Bioinformatics	Medical biotechnology
Microbiology	Novel drug delivery system	Industrial biotechnology
Cell biology	Pharmacology	Environmental biotechnology
Cytology	Neurobiology	Nanotechnology
Genetics	Bio-physics	
Pathology	Botany	
Medicinal chemistry	Zoology	
Polymer sciences	Allied science	
Analytical chemistry	Earth science	
Natural chemistry		

If any queries or feedback, then don't hesitate to mail us at:

[editor.ijasbt@gmail.com](mailto:editor.ijasbt@gmail.com)



## STUDY OF INHIBITORY EFFECT OF HONEY ON VARIOUS PATHOGENIC AND NON-PATHOGENIC MICROORGANISMS

**Richa Mistry\* and Gaurav Shah**

M. Sc. Biotechnology Course, Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, Surat-395007, Gujarat, India

\*Corresponding author e-mail: [mriya060@gmail.com](mailto:mriya060@gmail.com)

### Abstract

Honey is a sweet food made by bees using nectar from flowers. The variety produced by honey bees (the genus *Apis*). The purpose of the present study was to determine *in vitro* antibacterial activities of different honey against various pathogenic and non-pathogenic organisms. Antibacterial activity of honey was determined by using well diffusion method in which different concentrations (20, 40, 60, 80, & 100 % v/v) of honey were used against various test pathogen. These organisms also tested against artificial honey for study of effect of sugar on its antibacterial activity. The results of these study was shown that wide range of variation in Zone of Inhibition (mm) of each type of honey and only very few organism inhibited due to effect of sugar. That shows there are also other components other than the sugar present in honey which ultimately responsible for antimicrobial activity. Due to obtaining maximum level of antibacterial activity of each honey it allow further investigation for treatment various infection and in curing of disease.

Keyword: Honey, Antimicrobial activity, Pathogenic organism, artificial honey

### Introduction

Honey is supersaturated solution of sugars produced by different *Apis* species. Natural honey (NH) is a sweet, flavorful liquid food of high nutritional value and immense health benefits. NH is produced by honey-bees as blossom honey by secreting nectars of flowers, and honeydew honey (forest honey) by secreting the exudates of plant sucking insects (Aphids). Honey was used in the medicine of many ancient communities (Molan, 2006), including the ancient Egyptians. The use of honey as a traditional remedy for microbial infections are the cause of a large burden of diseases and bacteria are listed in the first position among the common microorganisms responsible for opportunistic diseases. Therapy of bacterial infections is a frequent problem due to the emergence of bacterial strains resistant to numerous antibiotics. These shortcomings lead to an urgent global call for new antibacterial drugs, particularly from natural resources. Current research has been focused on herbal and aromatherapy products. However, a number of other products such as honey have shown therapeutic promise. Researches relating to honey show that pure honey is bactericidal for many pathogenic organisms, including various gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria. Honey has been used in treating different diseases as 2000 years ago. Honey is a natural product, well known for thousands of years for its high nutritive value and healing properties.

Also Honey has been considered as an important part of traditional medicines since ancient times. The antibacterial potency of honey has been attributed to its strong osmotic effect, naturally low pH (Kwakman and Zaat, 2012), the ability to produced hydrogen peroxide which plays a key role in the antimicrobial activity of honey (Kačániová *et al.*, 2011; Wahdan, 1998) and phytochemical factors. Numerous reports and clinical studies have demonstrated the antimicrobial activity of honey against a broad range of microorganisms, including multi-antibiotic resistant strains. The objective of this study was to investigate antimicrobial potency of the four different honey sample compared with laboratory synthesized artificial honey.

### Material and Methods

#### *Honey sample*

Four different honey samples were collected. Among two type of honey was used in antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Raw honey A, B & C used in the study was taken from area (surat) produce by honey bee (*Apis mellifera*). Commercial honey D used in study was purchased from Dabur India Ltd. Different honey concentration (20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, & 100% v/v) were prepared by diluting honey followed by incubating at 37°C for 30 minutes in a shaking water bath.

#### *Test organisms*

The following test organisms (bacteria) were used: *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Salmonella paratyphi A*, *Salmonella paratyphi B*.

#### Preparation of test organisms

Stocked cultures of all these test organism used in this study were obtained from the Microbiology Department. The isolates were identified based on standard microbiological techniques, and sub-cultured in nutrient agar slopes at 37°C for 24 h. Colonies of fresh cultures of the different microorganisms from overnight growth were picked with sterile inoculating loop and suspended in 3-4 mL nutrient broth contained in sterile test tubes and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. This was used as inoculums in this study.

#### Artificial honey

Artificial honey was prepared by weighing and dissolving 33.5 g glucose, 1.5 g sucrose, 7.5 g maltose, 40.5 g fructose in 17 mL distilled water. This solution was heated briefly at 80°C in a water bath to aid dissolving. Check the Antibacterial activity of artificial honey against the all test organism using well diffusion method to study the effect of sugar on the test organisms.

#### Antibacterial Activity Assay

Antimicrobial activity was tested using well diffusion method against test microorganisms. Honey sample were first inoculated separately on standard nutrient media using pour plate method. The plates were drained and allowed to dry at 37°C for 30 minutes after which four equidistant wells of 5 mm in diameter were punched using a sterile cork borer at different sites on the plates. 10 uL of the different concentrations (20, 40, 60, 80, 100% v/v) of the honey samples were separately placed in the different punched wells with 1 mL sterile cork borer. The plates were allowed

to stay for 15 minutes for pre-diffusion to take place followed by an overnight incubation that lasted for 24 hrs at 37°C. The resulting Zones of inhibition (ZOI) were measured in millimeter (mm) with the use of a caliper and recorded.

#### Result and Discussion

All the four samples of honey exhibited varying level of antibacterial activity against all the selected test organisms as indicating by the zone of inhibition of growth. The results of *in vitro* susceptibility of the various test organisms of four honey samples with five different concentration (20, 40, 60, 80 & 100% v/v) were different that shown in Table 1. All honey samples shows low level antibacterial activity in *S. typhi*, *S. typhi A*.

Another area of interest is that all of the test organisms were tested against artificial honey to compare with four honey sample. The result of antibacterial activity of artificial honey was highest on *E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *S. paratyphi B* (Table 2)

#### Conclusion

From the result contained in this report it was concluded that all honey sample showed sensitivity against various tested organisms. Both type of honey, natural honey and commercial honey have similar antibacterial activity against pathogen. From these results it shown that all honey has different level of antibacterial activity which was observed by the various zone of inhibition that was shown that there are more than one component are responsible for inhibitory effect on pathogen. Ultimately all honey samples have highest inhibitory effect on all pathogen specially *S. typhi*, *S. paratyphi*, *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* that were allow to used honey for further therapeutic purpose. It was also concluded that in future it may be used as treating many incurable infection and disease in medical science.

**Table 1:** Diameter of ZOI (mm) of honey at different concentration against various pathogens by well diffusion method

Test Organisms	Honey concentration (v/v) %																			
	Honey A					Honey B					Honey C					Honey D				
	20	40	60	80	100	20	40	60	80	100	20	40	60	80	100	20	40	60	80	100
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	24	25	26	27	39	23	25	27	28	30	14	27	30	36	38	24	28	33	34	37
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	22	24	26	28	34	20	23	28	31	36	16	23	24	27	30	19	20	28	30	31
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	28	34	37	39	40	-	-	-	-	39	-	-	-	-	20	23	28	33	37	39
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	10	14	20	23	36	-	25	27	28	33	25	28	30	35	38	15	20	25	27	39
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	13	22	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella. paratyphi A</i>	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	24	27	28	-	-	-	20	25	-	8	11	14	25
<i>Salmonella. paratyphi B</i>	-	24	29	31	32	10	23	31	34	35	20	28	35	38	40	25	27	29	32	39

**Table 2:** The diameter of ZOI against test organisms (Artificial honey)

Test organism	Zone of inhibition (mm) (Artificial honey)
<i>E. coli</i>	41
<i>B. subtilis</i>	-
<i>B. megaterium</i>	-
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	-
<i>S. aureus</i>	44
<i>S. marcescens</i>	-
<i>M. luteus</i>	-
<i>S. typhi</i>	-
<i>S. paratyphi A</i>	-
<i>S. paratyphi B</i>	15

### Acknowledgments

The author thankfully acknowledge the intramural support provided by management of M.Sc. Biotechnology Department, VNSGU, Surat for successful completion of project.

### Reference

AI-Namma RT (2009) Evaluation of in vitro inhibitory effect of honey on some microbial isolate. *J. Bacteriol. Res.* **1(6)**: 64-67.

A.Osho and Bello OO (2010) Antimicrobial effect of honey produced by *Apis mellifera* on some common human pathogens. *Asian J. Exp. Biol.Sci.* **1(4)**: 875-880.

Ahmed M, Djebli N, Meslem A and Aissat S (2012) Antibacterial activity of various honey types of Algeria against Pathogenic Gram-Negative Bacilli: *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease* 211-214.

Bogdanov S (1997) Nature and origin of the antibacterial substances in honey *Lebensm.-Wiss.und Technol.* **30(7)**:748-753.

Bogdanov S. (1984) Characterisation of antibacterial substances in honey. *Lebensmittel- Wissenschaft und -Technologie*, **17**:74-76.

Bogdanov S, Jurendic T, Sieber R and Gallmann P (2008) Honey for Nutrition and Health: A Review. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* **27**: 677-689.

Chauhan A, Pandey V, Chacko KM and Khandal RK (2010) Antibacterial activity of raw and processed honey. *Electronic J. Biol.* **5**: 58- 66.

Cooper R., Halas E and Molan P (2002) The efficacy of honey in inhibiting strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from infected burns. *The Journal of Burn Care and Rehabilitation*, **23**:366-370.

Cooper, R. – Molan, P.- Harding, K.(1999). Antibacterial activity of honey against strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* from infected wounds. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* **.92(6)**:283-285.

Cooper R, Molan P and Harding K (2002) The sensitivity to honey of gram positive cocci of clinical significance isolated from wounds. In *Journal of Applied microbiology*, vol. 93, 2002, no. 5, p. 857-863.

Cooper R (2001) How does honey heal wounds? In: Munn P, Jones R, editors. *Honey and Healing*. UK: International Bee Research Association.

Dilnawaz Sheikh *et al* (1995) Studies on The Antimicrobial Activity of Honey. *Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* **8(1)**:51-62.

Mandal MD and Mandal S (2011). Honey: its medicinal property and antibacterial activity. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine* 154-160.

Molan PC: The anitbacterial activity of honey. 1. The nature of antibacterial activity. *Bee World* 1992, 5-28.

Molan PC: The antibacterial activity of honey 2. Variation in the potency of the antibacterial activity

Molan PC and Cooper RA (2000) Honey and sugar as a dressing for wounds and ulcers. *Trop Doct.* **30**:249-250.

M. Aurongzeb and M. Kamran Azim (2011) Antimicrobial properties of natural honey: a review of literature. *Pak. J. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **44(3)**: 118-124.

Sherlock O, Anthony D, Rahma A, Alice P, Georgina G and Seamus C (2010) Comparison of the antimicrobial activity of Ulmo honey from Chile and Manuka honey against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *BMC Complem. Altern. Med*; **10**:47.

Taormina PJ, Niemira BA and Bauchat LR (2001) Inhibitory activity of honey against foodborne pathogens as influenced by the presence of hydrogen peroxide and level of antioxidant power, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **69**: 217-225.

Willinson JM and Cavanagh HM (2005) Antibacterial activity of 13 honeys against *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Med.Food.* **8**:100-103.