

# **Research Article**

# Effects of Seeding Density on Growth Attributes of Broadleaf Mustard in Nursery Bed

#### Nisha Niraula<sup>1</sup>, Anil Timilsina<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Samakhusi, Kathmandu, Nepal

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, Seed Science and Technology, Agriculture and Forestry University, Rampur, Nepal

# **Article Information**

Received: 30 August 2019 Revised version received: 25 February 2020 Accepted: 02 March 2020 Published: 29 March 2020

#### Cite this article as:

N. Niraula and A. Timilsina (2020) Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol. Vol 8(1): 65-70. DOI: <u>10.3126/ijasbt.v8i1.28257</u>

\***Corresponding author** Nisha Niraula, Samakhusi, Kathmandu, Nepal Email: neesha0426@gmail.com

Peer reviewed under authority of IJASBT © 2020 International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology





This is an open access article & it is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Keywords: Broad Leaf Mustard; Nursery; Seedling; Density; Spacing

#### Abstract

The productivity of crops is highly affected by the seedling quality, which is governed by seeding density in the nursery. So, an experiment was conducted to explore the effect of seedling spacing in the growth attributes of Broad Leaf Mustard cv. "Marpha Chauda Paate" at IAAS, Lamjung Campus, during Oct 2018. Four spacing treatments viz 0.5 cm  $\times$  1 cm, 1 cm  $\times$  1 cm, 1.5 cm  $\times$ 1.5 cm and  $2 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm}$  were arranged in RCB Design with 5 replications. Observation of seedling height, leaf area, leaf number, shoot & root fresh weights, shoot dry matter, and dry matter percentage from twenty-three days old seedlings were recorded. The total leaf area was estimated using the Image-J package. Data were tabulated in MS Excel and analyzed by Gen Stat. Treatments differed significantly in seedling height, shoot and root fresh weight, leaf area, root length, and shoot dry weight, while the number of leaves and dry matter percentage did not differ statistically. Maximum shoot fresh weight (1.09 g), shoot dry weight (0.11 g), leaf area (48.24 cm<sup>2</sup>), root length (4.89 cm), root fresh weight (0.03 g) per plant and shoot dry matter percentage (9.24%) were found in widest spacing (2 cm × 2 cm). However, seedling height was recorded higher in closer spacing. Therefore, the study of the overall characteristics asserted that the seed spaced at  $2 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm}$  produced superior seedling over all other spacings.

#### Introduction

Mustard (*Brassica juncea*) is a cool-season crop that is grown for its green leaf, and in its maturity, it produces seeds that can be used for oil production. It is well known for its composition, i.e. for protein, vitamin C, antioxidant, carotenoid, Iodine, Selenium, Iron and other vitamins and minerals as a leafy vegetable (Golubkina *et al.*, 2018; Krumbein *et al.*, 2005; Lee *et al.*, 2010; Makus & Lester, 2002; Van Wyk, 2005). It is commonly grown as a transplanted crop from the nursery. The broadleaf Mustard is found to be the most common vegetable crop in Nepal; about 70% of vegetables cultivating farmers cultivate this crop (CBS, 2010). In Nepal, Broad Leaf Mustard, commonly known as 'Rayo,' occupies the first position in terms of production and coverage area among the leafy vegetables; however, it holds 4.2% of the total area under vegetable and 4.1% of total vegetable production (CBS, 2010). The productivity of Mustard is profoundly affected by prevailing weather conditions throughout its lifecycle. Besides, maintaining optimum plant density is also a critical practice to improve crop productivity through efficient

This paper can be downloaded online at http://ijasbt.org & http://nepjol.info/index.php/IJASBT

utilization of light, water, nutrients by the plant (Pandey *et al.*, 2017). The optimum seeding density also helps to obtain vigorous seedlings through maintaining a uniform standard and better seedling establishment and adds more to the productivity of the crop.

The yield potential of the variety alone is not sufficient for increasing the yield; several factors contribute to growth and development. Seedling density is one of the most important but least studied agronomic factors affecting plant productivity. Researchers had studied about different factors affecting the productivity of broadleaf Mustard only in the main field and are giving low priority to the factors affecting seedling growth in nursery. So, this research emphasized the effect of seedling density for better production of the crop.

#### **Objectives**

- To study the effect of seeding density in growth attributes of seedlings
- To determine the best plant spacing in the nursery to enhance seedling vigor and subsequent crop establishment

# Methodology

This research was conducted both in the lab and field of the Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science (IAAS), Lamjung, and was in the act from October 2018 to December 2018.

#### 1. Lab Test

The experiment was conducted in the horticulture lab at IAAS, Lamjung Campus. Before sowing seed in the nursery, 1000 weight of grain, seed purity, seed diameter, and germination percentage of seed were calculated. For calculating the germination percentage of the seed, two Petri plates, each containing 25 seeds was taken. These particulates were kept in the incubator where the temperature was 30° C and was maintained 12 hours' light followed by 12 hours' dark condition. Then, data were collected every 24 hours. Germination percentage was calculated by using the following formula.

Germination % = 
$$\frac{\text{Number of Seed Germinated}}{\text{Total Seed Used for Testing}} \times 100\%$$

#### 2. Field Experiment

#### Planting Material

Materials required were arranged locally within the university, whereas the seed needed for the operation was brought from an Argo-vet located at the nearby market, i.e., Sundarbazar. Seeds of *Brassica juncea*, cultivar 'Marpha Chauda Paate' was used for this experiment.

#### Design of Experiment and Treatment Details

Each plot had  $0.9 \text{ m}^2$  area (0.3 m length and 0.3 m breadth). The treatments assigned randomly to the plots in a block, where a total of five blocks was made and presented as

replications. The design of the experiment was RCBD with five replications and four treatments (Table 1).

| Table      | 1: Treatme | nt details | for nurs | ery experi | iment of |
|------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|
|            | broadleaf  | mustard    | cultivar | 'Marpha    | Chauda   |
|            | Paate', 20 | 18         |          |            |          |
| <b>A N</b> | <b>T</b> ( |            |          | a .        |          |

| S.N. | Treatment                     | Spacing                                |
|------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1    | Treatment 1 (T <sub>1</sub> ) | $0.5 \text{ cm} \times 1.0 \text{ cm}$ |
| 2    | Treatment 2 (T <sub>2</sub> ) | $1.0 \text{ cm} \times 1.0 \text{ cm}$ |
| 3    | Treatment 3 (T <sub>3</sub> ) | $1.5 \text{ cm} \times 1.5 \text{ cm}$ |
| 4    | Treatment 4 (T <sub>4</sub> ) | $2.0 \text{ cm} \times 2.0 \text{ cm}$ |

#### Nursery Bed Preparation

Raised nursery bed was prepared by proper primary tillage. Transparent plastic supported with a bamboo pole was used to make a plastic tunnel. The field was drenched with SAAF (5 g  $L^{-1}$ ) and left up to 3 days for solarization.

#### Nutrient Management

Vermicompost 1.5 kg for  $0.9 \text{ m}^2$  was applied after 3 days of solarization. Also, to enhance the availability of nutrients in the nursery bed, urea, MOP, and DAP were with the recommended dose of 40:40:30 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Plant Protection

For plant protection, Cypermethrin and SAAF were used. Cypermethrin, 2 ml  $L^{-1}$  was sprayed ten days after sowing, and SAAF 5 g  $L^{-1}$  was sprayed 13 days after sowing.

#### Seed Sowing

Seeds in each row were sown, separated with thread to maintain in a straight line. For better seeding, the nursery bed was covered with the skinny layer of a mixture of sand, vermicompost, and soil in the ratio of 1:1:1.

#### Crop Management

Straw mulching was done to prevent moisture loss from the nursery bed and suppress weed. Then weed-free condition was maintained by periodic manual weeding. Weeding was done by pinching to reduce damage caused during weeding and not to disturb the spacing that was maintained. For adequate availability of moisture, light irrigation twice a day was applied.

#### 3. Observations

Observations were recorded for different growth parameters of the seedling of broadleaf Mustard. It was taken from 23 days old seedling by a destructive sampling method. All the observations are an average of 20 random samples from each plot.

- Seedling height: Seedling height was measured from the collar region with the help of a measuring scale.
- Root length: At first root was cleaned by dipping into the water to remove mud, and then its length was measured using the measuring scale.
- Leaf number: The total number of leaves per plant was counted.

- Leaf area: The total leaf area was measured by using Image-J software.
- Fresh weight of shoot and root: Fresh weight of shoot and root was measured by using a digital weighing machine.
- Dry weight of shoot: Shoot dry weight was measured after oven drying fresh shoot for 48 hours at 68° c.

#### Data Analysis

For data analysis data were tabulated in MS Excel and analyzed by Gen Stat. All the mean comparisons were done using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

# **Result and Discussion**

#### 1. Lab Test

In lab physical characteristics of seeds were tested, so the following results were recorded

Seed color: Reddish

Seed diameter: 1.429 mm

Seed purity: 97%

1000 seed weight: 1.5 g

Germination percentage: 65%

#### 2. Field Experiment

#### Effect of Spacing on Plant Height and Root Length

Seedling height varied significantly due to different levels of seedling spacing. Significantly higher seedling height (3.054 cm) was recorded from the closest spacing of 0.5 cm  $\times$  1 cm, but shortest height was observed in the larger spacing of 2 cm  $\times$  2 cm and was statistically similar with T<sub>2</sub>  $(1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm})$  and  $T_3 (1.5 \text{ cm} \times 1.5 \text{ cm})$  (Table 2). A similar response of plant height to plant density was reported by Kumari (2009) in Mustard, Rahman et al. (2011) in soybean and Badi et al. (2004) in lettuce. The increment of plant height at lower spacing is probably because of competition for photosynthetically active radiation, which stimulates growth and results in stem elongation. In line with this observation, Arif et al. (2012) also recorded maximum height not in widest spacing in Mustard. In contrary to this result, Sharma, Chaudhary, and Pandey (2001) have found maximum height in wider spacing and shortest height in closer spacing and have explained as due to low-density plant get proper light nutrient and space for their growth.

Significant variation was found in the case of root length per seedling due to the effect of spacing. Most extended root length (4.891 cm) was obtained from  $T_4$  (2 cm × 2 cm), showing a statistically similar result with  $T_3$  (1.5 cm × 1.5 cm). The shortest length of root (4.049 cm) was obtained from  $T_1$  (0.5 cm × 1 cm), which was statistically similar to  $T_2$  (1 cm × 1 cm). Jimba and Adedeji (2003) and South *et al.* (1990) also reported wider spacing in nursery increases seedling biomass and root biomass. In this case, increasing plant density may have resulted in a decrease in light interception per plant, which in turn may have reduced photosynthesis per plant and biomass accumulation. In closer spacing, there will also be high competition for mineral and water between the roots of the seedling. Because plants in the high densities are competing for light, they may have grown taller. As the plants are investing in shoot growth, they are not able to invest in root growth. So that, carbon distributed to the roots can highly be reduced at a higher plant density. That would lead the total length of plant roots to reduce under high plant density. So, due to imbalanced root and shoot growth, seedlings become weak and tall in closer spacing.

 
 Table 2: DMRT of plant height and root length of Broadleaf mustard seedling, Lamjung campus, 2018

| Treatment                                     | Plant height       | Root length        |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                                               | ( <b>cm</b> )      | ( <b>cm</b> )      |
| $T_{1}(0.5 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm})$   | 3.054 <sup>a</sup> | 4.049 <sup>b</sup> |
| $T_{2}(1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm})$     | 2.606 <sup>b</sup> | 4.066 <sup>b</sup> |
| $T_{3}(1.5 \text{ cm} \times 1.5 \text{ cm})$ | 2.542 <sup>b</sup> | 4.669 <sup>a</sup> |
| $T_{4}(2 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm})$     | 2.534 <sup>b</sup> | 4.891 <sup>a</sup> |
| LSD                                           | 0.262              | 0.480              |
| F-test                                        | *                  | *                  |
| CV%                                           | 11                 | 8.1                |
| SEM±                                          | 0.138              | 0.160              |
| Grand mean                                    | 2.684              | 4.418              |

\* = Significant (P<0.05), \*\* = Highly significant (P<0.01), NS: Non significant, means in column followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different

#### Effect of Spacing on Number of Leaves and Leaf Area

Table 3 shows that the number of true leaves was not affected by seedling spacing. Insignificantly a greater number of true leaves were observed in the spacing of 1 cm  $\times$  1 cm, while the least number of true leaves was found in the spacing of  $1.5 \text{ cm} \times 1.5 \text{ cm}$ , which is statistically similar to the spacing of  $2 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm}$ . A similar result was found by Bonaparte and Brawn (1976). In contradiction to this result, Al-Ramamneh et al. (2013) found an increase in the number of the leaf with the decrease in plant density in strawberries as wider spacing is supposed to receive more light by their canopy than plants in close spacing. The variation in the number of leaves due to plant densities might be due to variation in inter-plant competition, which increases with the increase in planting density. This variation caused lower crop growth and etiolated due to the shading effect that led to a reduction in the number of leaves (Ameri et al., 2007). Hasan et al. (2017) also states enough space for vertical and horizontal growth in the optimum spacing leads towards the maximum number of leaves per plant in comparison with the closer spacing

Leaf area of broadleaf Mustard varied significantly with seedling spacing. Significantly higher leaf area (48.24 cm<sup>2</sup>) was found from wider spacing (2 cm  $\times$  2 cm), and smaller

(12.58 cm<sup>2</sup>) was found in closer spacing, which was statistically similar to  $T_2$  (1 cm× 1 cm) and  $T_3$  (1.5 cm× 1.5 cm). A similar result was found by Maboko and Du Plooy (2009) in lettuce. Lower plant density has greater available space for growth resource procurement and sinks development and results for an increase in leaf area (Henderson *et al.*, 2000). An increase in leaf area in wider spacing might also be due to the optimum availability of light, which results in more accumulation of photosynthetic assimilates in leaf and results in better growth of leaf.

| Table 3: | DMRT      | of numbe | r of leave | es and leat | f area of |
|----------|-----------|----------|------------|-------------|-----------|
|          | Broadleaf | mustard  | seedling,  | Lamjung     | campus,   |
|          | 2018      |          |            |             |           |

| 2010                                          |                                               |                           |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Treatment                                     | Number of<br>leaves<br>(plant <sup>-1</sup> ) | Leaf area<br>(cm² plant¹) |
| $T_{1}(0.5 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm})$   | 2.715                                         | 12.58 <sup>b</sup>        |
| $T_{2}(1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm})$     | 3.000                                         | 19.01 <sup>b</sup>        |
| $T_{3}(1.5 \text{ cm} \times 1.5 \text{ cm})$ | 2.560                                         | 25.10 <sup>b</sup>        |
| $T_4(2 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm})$       | 2.640                                         | 48.24 <sup>a</sup>        |
| LSD                                           | 0.329                                         | 12.970                    |
| F-test                                        | NS                                            | **                        |
| CV%                                           | 8.7                                           | 35.9                      |
| Grand mean                                    | 2.729                                         | 26.200                    |

\* = Significant (P<0.05), \*\* = highly significant (P<0.01), NS: Non significant, means in column followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different

# Effect of Spacing on Fresh Weight of Shoot and Fresh Weight of Root

Shoot fresh weight of broadleaf Mustard responded significantly (P<0.05) to the effects of different spacing. Fresh weight of shoot was highest (1.09 g) in  $T_4$  (2 cm × 2 cm) while the minimum fresh weight (0.31 g) of the shoot was observed from  $T_1$  (0.5 cm × 0.5 cm), which was statistically similar with  $T_2$  (1 cm × 1 cm) (Table 4). A similar result was observed by Sharma *et al.* (2001) in lettuce. So, from this, it was observed that with the increases in spacing, the fresh weight of shoot increases. In the case of the wider spacing plant, receive enough light and nutrients, which leads to attaining the maximum fresh weight of plant (Hasan *et al.*, 2017).

There was a significant difference in the fresh weight of root due to seedling spacing. Significantly maximum fresh weight (0.029 g) was observed in the spacing of  $2 \text{ cm} \times 2$ cm, which is statistically similar with a spacing of  $1.5 \text{ cm} \times$ 1.5 cm, while the least fresh weight (0.017 g) was found in the spacing of  $0.5 \text{ cm} \times 0.5 \text{ cm}$ . An increase in plant density reduces light interception per plant, leading to low photosynthesis and biomass accumulation in plants. Therefore, carbon distributed to the roots can be reduced highly under high plant density. As a result, the total fresh weight of the roots is reduced under high plant density. A similar result was found by El-Desuki *et al.* (2005); they also reported a low weight of root in high-density planting.

| Table 4: DMRT of fresh weight of shoot and fresh weight |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| of root of Broadleaf mustard seedling, Lamjung          |
| campus, 2018                                            |

| Fresh weight of<br>shoot<br>(g plant <sup>-1</sup> ) | Fresh weight<br>of root<br>(g plant <sup>-1</sup> )                                                            |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0.319 <sup>°</sup>                                   | 0.017 <sup>°</sup>                                                                                             |
| 0.673 <sup>bc</sup>                                  | 0.022 <sup>b</sup>                                                                                             |
| 0.729 <sup>b</sup>                                   | $0.027^{a}$                                                                                                    |
| 1.092 <sup>a</sup>                                   | 0.029 <sup>a</sup>                                                                                             |
| 0.361                                                | 0.005                                                                                                          |
| *                                                    | **                                                                                                             |
| 37.2                                                 | 14.2                                                                                                           |
| 0.117                                                | 0.001                                                                                                          |
| 0.703                                                | 0.023                                                                                                          |
|                                                      | shoot       (g plant <sup>-1</sup> ) $0.319^{c}$ $0.673^{bc}$ $0.729^{b}$ $1.092^{a}$ $0.361$ * $37.2$ $0.117$ |

\* = Significant (P<0.05), \*\* = highly significant (P<0.01), NS: Non significant, means in column followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different

# Effect of Spacing on Dry Weight of Shoot and Dry Matter Percentage

The dry weight of the shoot was significantly affected by seedling spacing. Significantly higher dry weight of shoot (0.11 g) was found in wider spacing (2 cm × 2 cm), and lowest dry weight (0.01 g) was found in closer spacing (0.5 cm x 1.0 cm) which was statistically similar with  $T_2$  (1×1 cm) and  $T_3$  (1.5×1.5 cm), which means Dry weight of shoot increases with a decrease in plant density (Table 5). Al-Barzinjy *et al.* (1999) also reported an increase in dry weight per plant with a decrease in plant density; this might be due to less competition for nutrients, water, and light. A similar result was explained by Kumari (2009); Sharma *et al.* (2001) and Al-Ramamneh *et al.* (2013).

Table 5: DMRT of shoot dry weight, and dry matterpercentage of Broadleaf mustard seedling,Lamjung campus, 2018

| Treatment                                     | Dry weight of<br>shoot<br>(g plant <sup>-1</sup> ) | Dry matter<br>percentage of<br>shoot<br>(%) |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| $T_{1}(0.5 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm})$   | 0.017 <sup>b</sup>                                 | 6.198                                       |
| $T_{2}(1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm})$     | 0.034 <sup>b</sup>                                 | 7.155                                       |
| $T_{3}(1.5 \text{ cm} \times 1.5 \text{ cm})$ | 0.056 <sup>b</sup>                                 | 7.831                                       |
| $T_{4}(2 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm})$     | 0.114 <sup>a</sup>                                 | 9.246                                       |
| LSD                                           | 0.047                                              | 2.382                                       |
| F-test                                        | *                                                  | NS                                          |
| CV%                                           | 62.4                                               | 22.7                                        |
| SEM±                                          | 0.015                                              | 0.773                                       |
| Grand mean                                    | 0.055                                              | 7.61                                        |

\* = Significant (P<0.05), \*\* = highly significant (P<0.01), NS: Non significant, means in column followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different

There was no significant difference in the dry weight percentage of the shoot. However, the difference is not substantial; maximum dry weight percentage (9.24%) was found in wider spacing (2 cm); which was statistically similar with the spacings of 1.5 cm and 1 cm while the least dry weight percentage (6.19%) was found in the spacing of 0.5 cm. A similar result was obtained by M. Sharma (1992); they maintained that with the increase of spacing, dry matter content (%) showed increasing trend because of less competition for nutrients among the plants during growth stages.

### Conclusion

The seeding spacing of broadleaf Mustard significantly affects seedling height, root length, leaf area, fresh weight of shoot and root, and dry weight of shoot of broadleaf Mustard in the nursery. By increasing seeding spacing up to 2.0 cm  $\times$  2 cm, seedling quality can be improved substantially to produce the twenty-three days old seedling in a nursery. Thus, more studies with wider spacing should be conducted to find out the optimum spacing to produce vigorous broadleaf Mustard seedling in the nursery within the desirable or limited time.

# **Author's Contribution**

N. Niraula\_designed the research plan; N. Niraula & A. Timilsina\_performed experimental works, collected the required data & analysed the data; N. Niraula\_prepared the manuscript. A. Timilsina critically revised and finalized the manuscript. Final form of manuscript was approved by both authors.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of

interest with present publication.

# References

- Al-Ramamneh E, Al-Rawashdeh Z, Karajeh M, & Abu-Romman S (2013) Plant response of strawberry to intra-row spacing and growing conditions in South of Jordan. *Asian Journal* of *Plant Sciences* **12**(5): 201. DOI: <u>10.3923/ajps.2013.201.207</u>
- Al-Barzinjy M, Stolen O, Christiansen JL, & Jensen J (1999)
   Relationship between plant density and yield for two spring cultivars of oilseed rape (Brassica napus L.). Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica B—Plant Soil Sciences 49(3): 129-133. DOI: 10.1080/09064719908565559
- Ameri A, Nassiri M, & Rezvani Moghadam P (2007) Effects of different nitrogen levels and plant density on flower, essential oils and extract production and nitrogen use efficiency of marigold (Calendula officinalis L.). *Iranian Journal of Field Crops Research* 5(2): 315-325.
- Arif M, Shehzad MA, & Mushtaq S (2012) Inter and intra row spacing effects on growth, seed yield and oil contents of white Mustard (Sinapis alba L.) under rainfed conditions. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci* **49**(1): 21-25.
- Badi HN, Yazdani D, Ali SM, & Nazari F (2004) Effects of spacing and harvesting time on herbage yield and quality/quantity of oil in thyme, Thymus vulgaris L.

*Industrial crops and products* **19**(3): 231-236. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.indcrop.2003.10.005</u>

- CBS (2010) Vegetable Crops Survey 2009-2010, A Statistical Report. Retrieved from Kathmandu, Nepal:
- El-Desuki M, Salman S, El-Nemr M, & Abdel-Mawgoud A (2005)
  Effect of plant density and nitrogen application on the growth, yield and quality of radish (Raphanus sativus L.). *Journal of Agronomy* 4(3): 225-229. DOI: 10.3923/ja.2005.225.229
- Golubkina N, Kekina H, & Caruso G (2018) Yield, quality and antioxidant properties of Indian Mustard (Brassica juncea L.) in response to foliar biofortification with selenium and iodine. *Plants* 7(4): 80. DOI: <u>10.3390/plants7040080</u>
- Hasan M, Tahsin A, Islam M, Ali M, & Uddain J (2017) Growth and yield of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) influenced as nitrogen fertilizer and plant spacing. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*: 62-71. DOI: <u>10.9790/2380-1006016271</u>
- Henderson TL, Johnson BL, & Schneiter AA (2000) Row spacing, plant population, and cultivar effects on grain amaranth in the northern Great Plains. *Agronomy Journal* 92(2): 329-336. DOI: <u>10.2134/agronj2000.922329x</u>
- Jimba S, & Adedeji A (2003) Effect of plant spacing in the nursery on the production of planting materials for field establishment of Vetiver grass. *Tropicultura* **21**(4): 199-203.
- Krumbein A, Schonhof I, & Schreiner M (2005). Composition and contents of phytochemicals (glucosinolates, carotenoids and chlorophylls) and ascorbic acid in selected Brassica species (B. juncea, B. rapa subsp. nipposinica var. chinoleifera, B. rapa subsp. chinensis and B. rapa subsp. rapa). *Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality* **79**(3): 168-174.
- Kumari A (2009) Evaluation of hybrid varieties of Mustard (Brassica juncea L.) under different sowing time and spacing. GB Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145 (Uttarakhand).
- Lee MA, Choi JH, Choi YS, Han DJ, Kim HY, Shim SY, Chung HK, Kim CJ (2010) The antioxidative properties of mustard leaf (Brassica juncea) kimchi extracts on refrigerated raw ground pork meat against lipid oxidation. *Meat Science* 84(3): 498-504. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2009.10.004
- Maboko M, & Du Plooy C (2009) Effect of plant spacing on growth and yield of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) in a soilless production system. *South African journal of plant and soil* 26(3): 195-198. DOI: <u>10.1080/02571862.2009.10639954</u>
- Makus D, & Lester G (2002) Effect of soil type, light intensity, and cultivar on leaf nutrients in mustard greens. *Subtropical Plant Science* **54**: 23-28.
- Pandey I, Joshi K, Joshi S, Shrestha A, & Dhakal M (2017) Package of Practices for Climate Resilient Value Chain Development of Major Vegetables in Udayapur, Nepal.
- Rahman M, Hossain M, & Bell R (2011) Plant density effects on growth, yield and yield components of two soybean

varieties under equidistant planting arrangement. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences* **10**(5): 278-286. DOI: <u>10.3923/ajps.2011.278.286</u>

- Sharma D, Chaudhary D, & Pandey D (2001) Growth and yield of lettuce cv. Alamo-1 as influenced by dates of planting and plant density. Retrieved from
- Sharma M (1992) Response of mustard (brassica-juncea) varieties to row spacings (vol. 37, pp. 593-594): Indian soc

agronomy Indian agr res inst div agronomy, New Delhi 110012, India.

- South DB, Larsen HS, Boyer JN, & Williams HM (1990) Seed spacing and seedling biomass: Effect on root growth potential of loblolly pine (Pinus taeda). *New Forests* 4(3): 179-192. DOI: <u>10.1007/BF00118876</u>
- Van Wyk BE (2005). Food plants of the world: identification, culinary uses and nutritional value: Briza.