ECOPRINT **20:** 41-52, 2013 Ecological Society (ECOS), Nepal www.nepjol.info/index.php/eco; www.ecosnepal.com

HABITAT UTILIZATION BY ASIATIC WILD ELEPHANT (ELEPHUS MAXIMUS) IN PARSA WILDLIFE RESERVE, NEPAL

B.R. Yadav¹, I.C. Dutta¹, M.K. Chalise^{*}, Christy Williums and B.K. Sharma^{**}

¹Institute of Forestry, Tribhuvan University, Pokhara, Nepal

*Central Department of Zoology, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

**Green Governance Nepal, Kathmandu, Nepal

Email: yadav.baburam@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

During the habitat survey, elephants foot prints, dungs and dirct observations were performed along each sampling grid. GPS points of elephant's presence were recorded. Aiming to provide quantitative analysis of habitats, circular quadrats of 10 m, 2 m and 1m radius were used to collect information about trees, shrubs and herbs. Importance values of each species of trees were analyzed by adding relative frequency, relative density and relative dominance. Name of each forest type was determined from the sequencial order of the large Importance Values (IV) of tree species. Prominance values were calculated for shrubs and ground flora and were used for classification of the shrub and ground vegetation type. Higher area of the reserve was covered by the forest (85.9%) followed by agriculture and buildup land (5.1%), grassland (3%), water body (3%), riverbed (2%) and shrub land (1%), respectively. Based on the phyto-sociological combination, forests of this reserve incorporated four types namely Sal with Tarai Mixed Hardwood, Sal, Tarai Mixed Hardwood and Riverine.Ample of evidence found inside lowler part (Tarai, Bhabar and the base of Churia) of Parsa Wildlife Reserve. A suitable habitat with palatable forages (eg, *Mallotus philippinnesis*, Bananas, bamboos and climbers) for wild elephants were found inside the reserve. These forests were used as prime habitat by the Asiatic wild elephant.

Key words: Parsa wildlife reserve, wild asiatic elephant, importance value, prominance value, forest types.

INTRODUCTION

Aiming to preserve the population of indigineous Asiatic wild elephant (*Elephus maximus*) with associated flora and fauna of Parsa Wildlife Reserve of Nepal was gagetted in 1984. Geographically the reserve is located within 27°15'-27°33' N latitude and 84°41'-84° 58' E longitude by occupying 499 km² core and 298.17 km² buffer zone areas (DNPWC 2006). Major

portions of the reserve occupy *Churia* and *Bhabar* zone and also it supports the conservation of spill over wildlife of the Chitwan National Park in the east. The reserve includes tropical and sub-tropical forests of Parsa, Makwanpur and Bara districts in central lowland and churia in Nepal. The reserve lies in the humid sub-tropical climatic zone and exhibits four distinct seasons summer, monsoon, winter and spring. The summer (April-June) is

intensely hot (40°C) and humid with scarcity of water. The rainy season (July-September) is dominated by monsoon clouds and rains with little decline in the temperature. Characterized by very cold evenings and mornings (7.7°C) with clear skies, winter lasts from October to December. Spring (January-March) is the enjoyable season with chilly nights and pleasing day temperatures and clearer skies (Chaudhary 1995).

Asiatic wild elephant (Elephus maximus), the largest megaherbivores in Asia is listed as protected species by the National Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act of Nepal (1973) (Chalise 2008). It has listed as a threatened speices in CITES appendix I. This species has been also listed in the World Conservation Unions (IUCN) list of threatened species since 1986 (IUCN 2006). Loss and fragmentation of habitats, poaching, domestication, and conflicts with human decline the wild elephants significantly over the last century (Yadav and Chalise 2013a,b, Sukumar 1989, Blake and Hedges 2004). Megaherbivores are mostly compressed in the protected areas which are too small for long term population preservance (Owen-Smith 1988, Sukumar 1989). Currently 34500 to 51000 Asiatic wild elephants live in wild through out the range countries (Hedges et al. 2005).

There are four fragmented wild Asian elephants population in lowland harbor large and continuous, resident population of elephants (Yadav 2002, Yadav 2005a,b, Smith and Mishra 1992). Nonetheless, Malaria eradication program in 1950s resulted in heavy loss of continuous forestland for settlement purposes (Shrestha 1979), which restricted the elephants in four small partially or completely isolated fragments less than 200 animals each.

Wildlife like Elephants and other mega herbivores need more forage for foods and space than other small harbivores (Owen-Smith 1988). For such species, where population regulation through natural redution is not common forage is the likely limiting resource (Sinclair 1975, Owen-Smith 1988, Sukumar 1989). Preference of food and habitat varies with season (Owen-Smith 1988, Sukumar 1989, Blom et al. 2004). The quantity and quality of food varies with the forest types, as determined by general productivity factors. Within the same forest type, local site factors determined the distribution of food plants (Pradhan 2007). African elephants tend to concentrate around permanent water sources during the dry season (Luethold 1977, Barnes and Hamilton 1982, Owen-Smith 1988), and they annually avoid human disturbance. Increasing numbers of elephants in a small area will alter the abundance and compositon of tree species (Law 1970, Croze 1974, Field 1976, Ben-Shahar 1998, Wiseman et al. 2004). Wild elephants mostly affect trees for feeding, and types of impact determine the intensity of damage to the forest. Trees grow slowly with secondary chemical defence in poor nutrient site (Fine et al. 2004, Marquis 2004). So the elephants are likely to feed more selectively in forest on nutrient poor sites than in richer forests. Jachmann and Bell (1985) inferred that the elephants improve their own habitat by knocking down trees in less preferred areas which promote secondary growth from coppicing. Elephants normally prefer secondary forest because of the ample amount of the food available in younger forest (Eltringham 1982).

The diverse representation of habitats of this reserve also provided shelters for different flora and fauna including endangered species like wild elephant (*Elephus maximus*), tiger (*Panthera tigris*) and occassional visiting rhino (*Rhinoceros unicornis*). The reserve and surrounding forests is sole area that conserve and manage the indigineous resident population of Asiatic wild elephant in Nepal. This study provided the quantitative analysis of the available habitats in the Parsa Wildlife Reserve and its utilization by the Asiatic wild elephant (DNPWC 2006).

METHODS

Grids of 2×2 km (n=181) were prepared for the whole reserve and buffer zone area. Plots were systematically distributed inside each cluster. From the designed grid, 5.5% were selected randomly to conduct inventory. One grid incorporated a cluster of 10 quadrats arranged diagonally from southwest to north-east corner of the grid. The plots are located between 150 m to each other. Circular plots were used to conduct forest inventory (Yadav 1988, 2005). Plots with 10 m radius were used to collect information on tree level characteristics. Plots with 2 m radius were used to collect information about shrubs and plots with 1 m radius were used to collect information about grass and other herbaceous plants. Characteristics like local name, scientific name, DBH and height were recorded for trees. For the shrubs information like local name, scientific name and crown cover for each species were collected. Information like local name, scientific name and coverage of each species were collected for herbaceous species and grass.

Frequency of each species was analyzed by dividing the number of quadrats in which the specific species occurred by total number of quadrats. Similarly, the percentage ratio of frequency of particular species with the sum of frequencies of all species was relative frequency. Density, the number of individuals per unit area, was calculated by dividing number of individuals of particular species by total area (ha) of the studied quadrats. Relative density was the percentage ratio of density of one species with sum of densities of all species. Dominance, amount of ground covered by the tree trunk, was the ratio of total basal area of particular species with the total area (ha) of the studied quadrats. The relative amount of ground covered by the tree trunk called as relative dominance was the percentage ratio of the dominance of particular species with the total dominance of all species. Importance values of each species of trees were analyzed by adding

relative frequency, relative density and relative dominance. Name of each forest type was determined from the sequencial order of the large Importance Values (IV) of tree species (Zobel *et al.* 1987). Prominance value (PV) was calculated for shrub and ground flora (like grass and herbaceous species). Prominance values were the multiplication of mean percent covers of particular species with the square roots of its frequency. These values were used for classification of the shrub and ground vegetation type from the sequencial order of large PV (Sharma *et al.* 2012).

Forest types were determined on the basis of density of the tree in canopy layer and occurrence locality of the forest (HMGN 1999). On those bases the forest types were recognized as follows:

Sal with Tarai Mixed Hardwood forest: In this forest, different tree species are present along with the Sal (*Shorea robusta*). In the forest the percentage relative basal area of Sal was 30-60%.

Sal forest: In this forest Sal was the dominant species. In this forest more than 60% relative basal area was represented by Sal (Shorea robusta).

Tarai Mixed Hardwood forest: This forest included different tree species. If the basal area representation of Sal is less than 30% it was also considered as Tarai mixed hardwood forest.

Riverine forest: This forest was available in the moist area mostly in the river side.

Presence of wild elephants was recorded through identifying traditional route (*Hatti dandi*) of elephants that is used as transect. Recording of foot prints, dungs and direct observations of wild elephants were carried out along to transect 50 m apart both side. Damage of trees by elephants was also recorded. Vegetation analyses were carried out in PWR with respect to elephants use. Species grazed and browsed by wild elephants were recorded during vegetation survey in PWR.

RESULTS

Total numbers of surveyed plots were 297. Among them 99 plots were used to collect tree characteristics, 99 plots were used to collect information on shrubs and 99 plots were used to collect data about herbaceous plant and grasses. The altitude of the surveyed plots varied from 121 m to 782 m.

Along the designed transects, the study team observed, and recorded ample of foot prints and dungs of wild elephants in lowland designated grids. The team did not observe or recorded sinage of elephant's foot prints and dungs in Churia hills. Sinage of elephants only recorded in Bhabar zone and lower part of Churia hills. More than 30 different forage species grazed, browsed and knocked by elephants were listed during the study. The tree species were Ficus lacor, Acacia hispide, Musa sapientum, Ficus benjamina, Garuga pinnata, Dandrocalamus spp., Artocarpus lakoocha, Acacia catechu, Bombax cieba, Dalbergia sissoo, Dillenia pentagyna, Ficus benghalensis, Ficus racemosa, Ficus religiosa, Litsea monopetala, Mallotus philippinensis, Shorea robusta, Terminalia belerica, Terminalia chebula, Calamus tenuis, Circium wallichi.

Grass species were Arundodonax, Desmostachya bipinnata, Imperata cylindrica, Phragmites kharka, Saccharum bengalensis, Saccharum spontaneum, Typha elephentina, Vetiveria zizanoides and the very few Spatholobus parviflorus and Bauhinia vellai (Annex 2).

On the basis of the plot location the land use types of Parsa Wildlife Reserve and its buffer zone were analyzed. Higher land area of the reserve is occupied by the forest (85.9%) followed by agriculture and buildup land (5.1%), grassland (3%), water body (3%), riverbed (2%) and shrub land (1%), respectively (Table 1).

The aquatic habitat incorporated about 5% of the study area. The East -- Rapti river is the one of the main aquatic habitat of PWR in the north of the reserve. Bhatta Khola and Sital Khola are other aquatic habitats of this reserve. Likewise Laukidah, Dewaki Daha are also the aquatic habitat of this reserve.

Table 1. Land use type in the Parsa Wildlife Reserve and its buffer zone.

Land use category	Percentage
Forest	85.9
Agriculture and buildup land	5.1
Grassland	3.0
Water	3.0
Riverbed	2.0
Shrubland	1.0
Total	100.0

Terrestrial habitat of the reserve incorporated three vegetation types namely forest, shrubland and grassland. Based on the phyto-sociological combination, forests of this reserve are divided in four categories. They are Sal with Tarai Mixed Hardwood, Sal, Tarai Mixed Hardwood and Riverine forests.

The largest area of the reserve is occupied by Sal withTarai Mixed Hardwood (34.8%) followed by Tarai Mixed Hardwood forest (30.3%), Sal forest (24.7%) and Riverine forest (5.6%), respectively (Table 2). Small patches of Sal-salla (*Shorea robusta-Pinus roxburghii*) forest and Salla forest (*Pinus roxburghii*) also exist in some higher altitude of the reserve.

Table 2. Vegetation types in Parsa Wildlife Reserve and its buffer zone.

Vegetation	Occupied area (%)
Sal with Tarai Mixed Hardwood forest	34.8
Tarai Mixed Hardwood forest	30.3
Sal forest	24.7
Riverine forest	5.6
Grass land	3.4
Shrub land	1.1
Total	100.0

In the canopy layer of Sal with Tarai mixed hardwood (STMH) forest, 36 species of tree were identified from this forest. In this forest importance value of Sal (Shorea robusta) is highest (110.8) followed by Lagerstroemia parviflora (37.5) and Dillenia pentagyna (16.2), respectively. The understory layer provided good hiding areas for the wildlife. In this study shrubs were considered as understory layer. Total number of shrub species recorded for understory was 23. Among them Desmodium heterocarpon was more prominent (PV = 6.23) followed by Woodfordia fruticosa (PV = 4.40). Ground vegetation is the important food for the wild elephant and wild herbivores. This vegetation has an important role in maintaining the food chain of the reserve for wildlife. In total 34 species of plants were recorded as ground flora of STMH forest. On the basis of prominence value, more prominent ground flora of this forest were Imperata cylindrica (PV = 58.2) followed by Saccharum spontaneum (33.9) and Arundinella nepalensis (10.9), respectively (Table 3).

From the canopy layer of Sal forest 21 species of tree were reported. In this forest importance value of Sal (Shorea robusta) is highest (189.0) followed by Lagerstroemia parviflora (16.0) and Anogeissus latifolia (11.4), respectively. In the understory layer total number of species recorded for shrub was 21. Among the recorded shrub species, Phoenix humilis was more prominent (PV = 16.8) followed by Woodfordia fruticosa (PV = 7.9) and Desmodium heterocarpon (PV = 3.8), respectively. Ground vegetation of this forest incorporated 23 species of plants. On the basis of prominence value, more prominent ground flora of this forest was Cyperus compressus (PV = 28.5) followed by Themeda arundinacea (PV = 21.3) and Eulaliopsis binata (PV = 15.6), respectively (Table 3).

The canopy tree of Tarai mixed hardwood (TMH) forest included 18 species. In this forest importance value of *Shorea robusta* is highest

(37.0) followed by *Terminalia alata* (25.4) and *Lagerstroemia parviflora* (22.3), respectively. This forest incorporated 22 species of shrubs. Among the recorded shrub species *Woodfordia fruticosa* was more prominent (PV = 6.7) species followed by *Caesalpinia decapetala* (PV=2.4) and *Colebrookea oppositifolia* (PV=1.9), respectively. In total 23 species of plants were recorded as ground flora of TMH forest. On the basis of prominence value, more prominent ground flora of this forest was *Eupatorium odoratum* (PV=63.6) followed by *Imperata cylindrica* (31.6) and *Themeda arundinacea* (24.6), respectively (Table 3).

From the canopy layer of riverine forest 13 species of tree were identified. In this forest importance value of Adina cordifolia was highest (60) followed by Garuga pinnata (41.9) and Bombax ceiba (36.6), respectively. Total number of species recorded for shrub was 4. In the shrub layer Caesalpinia decapetala was more prominent species (PV = 2.2) followed by Pogostemon benghalensis (PV = 0.4), Ardisia solanacea (PV = 0.3) and Letsea macrophylla (PV = 0.1), respectively. In total 10 species of plants were recorded as ground flora of riverine forest. On the basis of prominance value, more prominent ground flora of this forest was Saccharum spontaneum (PV = 189) followed by Themeda arundinacea (49.2) and Heteropogon contortus (31.3),respectively (Table 3).

From the grassland of Parsa Wildlife Reserve three species of shrubs were recorded. The *Colebrookea oppositifolia* was more prominent shrub (PV = 4.8) species followed by *Pogostemon benghalensis* (PV = 0.8) and *Melastoma melabathricum* (PV = 0.6). In total 10 species of plants were recorded as ground flora of grassland. On the basis of prominence value, more prominent ground flora of this vegetation was *Cynodon dactylon* (PV = 365.3) followed by *Ageratum conyzoides* (270.8) and *Digitaria ciliaris* (108.9),

respectively. From the shrubs land of PWR five of plants were recorded as ground flora of shrub species of shrub were recorded. In total 10 species land (Table 3).

Table 3. Species recorded from Parsa Wildlife Reserve with their Importance Value (IV) for tree and Prominance Value (PV) for shrub and herbaceous species.

SN	Scientific name	Local name	STMH	TMH	Sal	Grassland
1	Achyranthes aspera	Datiwan	6.2	1.3	0.0	0.0
2	Adina cordifolia	Kadam	58.2	31.6	0.0	0.0
3	Ageratum conyzoides	Gandejhar	1.0	13.4	270.8	0.0
4	Ajuga bracteosa	Ratpate	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0
5	Albizia procera	Setosiris	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0
6	Anogeissus latifolius	Dhauti	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0
7	Ardisia solanacea	Bhanti	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.0
8	Artemisia indica	Titepati	4.4	6.7	0.0	38.5
9	Arundinella nepalensis	Kharuki, Musekhari	0.1	0.1	11.2	0.0
10	Asparagus filicinua	Kurilo	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
11	Barleria cristata	Bhendekuro	4.7	16.4	0.0	0.0
12	Bauhinia purpurea	Tanki	2.1	1.6	0.0	0.0
13	Bauhinia vahlii	Bhorla	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0
14	Bauhinia variegate	Koiralo	0.2	0.0	3.1	0.0
15	Bombax ceiba	Simal	1.8	6.3	0.0	0.0
16	Buchanania latifolia	Piyari	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0
17	Caesalpinia decapetala	Arelikanda	1.1	0.5	0.5	0.0
18	Calopogonium macunoides	Gahatejhar	2.1	3.1	0.0	0.0
19	Carex cruciata		1.0	3.3		0.0
20		Harkatta (Khar) Kumbhi			0.0	
	Careya arborea		1.0	1.1	3.0	0.0
21	Casearia elliptica	Ber	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
22	Casearia graveolens	Badkaule, Piperi	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0
23	Cassia fistula	Rajbriksha	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
24	Cassia tora	Chinchin	3.8	7.1	0.0	0.0
25	Cautleya spicata	Panisaro	10.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
26	Cheilanthes dalhousiae	Rani sinka	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0
27	Chlorophytum arundinaceum	Dati sag	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
28	Cissampelos pareira	Batul pate	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
29	Cissus repens	Gujargano, Pureni	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
30	Clausena pentaphylla	Raunne	2.2	9.1	0.0	0.0
31	Cleistocalyx operculatus	Kyamuna, Phandir	3.2	0.4	2.5	0.0
32	Cleome viscosa	Bantori	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0
33	Colebrookea oppositifolia	Dhursul	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8
34	Commelina benghalensis	Kanejhar	5.5	10.5	0.2	0.0
35	Corchorus capsularis	Patuwa	11.9	4.9	0.0	0.0
36	Cornus oblonga	Latikath	0.1	0.1	2.4	0.0
37	Crinum amoenum	Ban pyaj	0.5	2.4	0.0	0.0
38	Curculigo orchioides	Musali	0.1	1.3	1.8	0.0
39	Cynodon dactylon	Dubo	0.1	0.0	0.0	365.3
40	Cyperus compressus	Mothe	0.0	0.0	28.5	43.0
41	Dalbergia latifolia	Satisal	9.9	24.6	0.0	0.0
42	Dennstaedtia appendiculata	Uniu	0.0	0.0	12.4	0.0
43	Desmodium elegans	Bakhreghans	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
44	Desmodium heterocarpon	Sakhino	0.0	6.4	3.9	0.0
45	Desmodium oojenense	Sandan	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0
46	Desmodium sp.	Desmodiumsp	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0

47	Desmostachya bipinnata	Kush	0.0	0.0	1.9	43.0
48	Digitaria ciliaris	Chitrebanso	0.0	0.0	0.1	108.9
49	Dillenia pentagyna	Tantari	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0
50	Dioscorea pentaphylla	Ban tarul	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
51	Ehretia laevis	Datrung	10.3	16.1	0.0	0.0
52	Elaeagnus infundibularis	Gunyalo	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
53	Elatostema platyphyllum	Gagleto	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
54	Elephantopus scaber	Marcha	0.1	0.1	3.7	0.0
55	Elsholtzia blanda	Ban silam	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
56	Equisetum diffusum	Ankhle	6.2	13.5	0.2	47.1
57	Eulaliopsis binata	Babio	3.5	0.0	15.6	0.0
58	Eupatorium adenophorum	Banmara	1.0	0.0	0.4	27.2
59	Eupatorium odoratum	Banmara	2.1	6.8	8.5	0.0
60	Eurya acuminate	Jhigane	3.1	9.6	0.0	0.0
61	Ficus hispida	Khasreto	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
62	Flemingia macrophylla	Bhatte	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
63	Flemingia strobilifera	Flemengiasp	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0
64	Garcinia xanthochymus	Archal	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
65	Garuga pinnata	Dabdabe	9.3	16.4	0.0	0.0
66	Gmelina arborea	Khamari	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0
67	Gouania leptostachya	Bhatmase	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
68	Grewia subinaequalis	Phorso	110.8	37.0	2.4	0.0
69	Heteropogon contortus	Arthunge	11.3	0.9	10.0	27.2
70	Hymenodictyon excelsum	Bhudkul	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
71	Imperata cylindrica	Siru	0.0	0.0	5.9	43.0
72	Inula cappa	Gaitihare	3.6	0.0	0.3	0.0
73	Justicia adhatoda	Asuro	0.7	4.9	0.2	0.0
74	Lagerstroemia parviflora	Botdhainyaro	0.1	0.0	16.0	0.0
75	Lannea coromandelica	Hallunde, Jhigat	2.7	13.4	0.0	0.0
76	Leea macrophylla	Galeni	2.7	63.6	0.3	0.0
77	Leucas sp.	Leucassp	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
78	Litsea monopetala	Kutmero	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
79	Luculia gratissima	Kangiyo	2.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
80	Lygodium flexuosum	Janailahara	7.4	3.2	0.0	0.0
81	Madhuca latifolia	Latimauwa	4.1	5.0	0.0	0.0
82	Maesa chisia	Bilaune	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
83	Melastoma melabathricum	Kali angeri	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.6
84	Melia azedarach	Bakaino	2.7	1.4	0.0	0.0
85	Millettia extensa	Gaujo	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
86	Mucuna nigricans	Kauso	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
87	Murraya koenigii	Karipatta	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
88	Nephrolepis auriculata	Paniamala	33.9	19.0	0.0	0.0
89	Nyctanthes arbor-tristis	Parijat	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0
90	Parthenocissu ssemicordata	Charchare	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
91	Phoenix humilis	Thakal	6.9	0.0	16.8	0.0
92	Phyllanthus emblica	Amala	5.4	10.9	2.3	0.0
93	Phyllanthus parvifolius	Khareto	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
94	Pilea sp.	Kamle	37.5	22.3	0.0	0.0
95	Pinus roxburghii	Khotesalla	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
93 96	Pinus roxburghii Pinus sp	Sallo	6.2	1.5	7.9	0.0
	=			25.4		
97 08	Propostemon benghalensis	Rudilo	9.8		0.1	0.8
98	Premna barbata	Gindari	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

99	Psidium guajava	Amba	2.2	8.3	0.0	0.0
100	Pueraria tuberose	Biralilaharo	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0
101	Rabdosia lophanthoides	Charpate	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
102	Rubus ellipticus	Ainselu	0.3	0.6	0.0	0.0
103	Rubus niveus	Ratoainselu	2.2	3.2	0.0	0.0
104	Saccharum spontaneum	Kans	0.1	0.0	3.9	86.0
105	Schefflera venulosa	Kursimal	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
106	Schima wallichii	Chilaune	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0
107	Schleichera oleosa	Kusum	2.8	2.1	0.0	0.0
108	Semecarpus anacardium	Bhalayo	0.6	2.2	8.8	0.0
109	Senecio cappa	Marchajhar	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
110	Shorea robusta	Sal	0.0	7.8	189.0	0.0
111	Smilax aspera	Kukurdaino	6.4	9.3	0.0	0.0
112	Sonchus asper	Sonchusaspera	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0
113	Spatholobus parviflorus	Debrelahara	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
114	Sterculia villosa	Odal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
115	Swertia angustifolia	Chiraito	1.0	4.9	0.0	0.0
116	Syzygium cumini	Jamun	7.4	9.3	7.0	0.0
117	Terminalia alata	Asna	2.4	4.5	7.7	0.0
118	Terminalia bellirica	Barro	1.0	0.0	2.3	0.0
119	Terminalia chebula	Harro	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0
120	Themeda arundinacea	Dhaddi	4.8	9.3	21.3	0.0
121	Thespesia lampas	Ban kapas	3.9	3.4	0.0	0.0
122	Thysanolaena maxima	Amliso	0.7	3.6	0.0	0.0
123	Toona ciliatae	Tooni	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0
124	Trichilia connaroides	Ankhataruwa	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
125	Unknown	Chabo	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.0
126	Unknown	Kharkhucho	6.8	11.2	0.0	0.0
127	Unknown		0.0	1.4	3.9	0.0
128	Vitex negundo	Simali	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
129	Wightia speciosissima	Phurkeghaans	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
130	Woodfordia fruticosa	Dhairo	16.2	13.0	8.0	0.0
131	Zizyphus incurve	Hade bayar	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
132	Zizyphus mauritiana	Bayar	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
133	Zizyphus rugosa	Jungalibayar	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

Note: STMH – Sal mixed Tarai Mixed Hardwood, TMH – Tarai Mixed Hardwood

Table 4. Common species known to be eaten by elephants (grazed, browsed or eaten).

Scientific name	Nepali name	Plant Ecology	Most common vegetation type (s)
Tree species			
Ficus lacor	Kabhro	Tree	Mixed forest
Acacia hispide	Babul	Tree	Mixed forest
Mussa sapientum	Banana	Tree	Mixed forest (Riverine)
Ficus benjamina	Swami	Clump	Mixed forest (Riverine)
Ficus semicordata	Khnyo	Tree	Mixed forest (Riverine)
Garuga pinnata	Dabdabe	Tree	Mixed forest (Riverine)
Dendrocalamus sp	Bans	Clump	Riverine forest
Artocarpus lakoocha	Badahar	Tree	Mixed forest

Acacia catechu	Khair	Tree	Khair-Sisoo forest
Bombax cieba	Simal	Tree	Mixed hardwood forest, wooded grassland
Dalbergia sissoo	Sisoo	Tree	Khair-Sisoo forest
Dillenia pentagyna	Tantari	Tree	Sal (Shorea robusta) forest
Dryothyrium boryanum	Kochina (Neoro)	Tree	
Ficus affinis	Gajahar	Tree	Sal (Shorea robusta) forest
Ficus benghalensis	Bara	Tree	Sal (Shorea robusta) forest
Ficus glumerata	Gular	Tree	Riverine forest
Ficus religiosa	Pipal	Tree	
Litsea monopetala	Kutmiro	Tree	
Mallotus philippinensis	Sindure	Tree	Riverine forest
Shorea robusta	Sal	Tree	Sal (Shorea robusta) forest
Terminalia belerica	Harro	Tree	Sal (Shorea robusta) forest
Terminalia chebula	Barro	Tree	Sal (Shorea robusta) forest
Calamus tenuis	Bent	Shrub	Riverine forest
Circium wallichi	GaindaKhar	Herb	
Grass species			
Arundo donax	ThuloNarkat	Grass	Grassland
Desmostachya bippinata	Kush	Grass	Grassland
Imperata cylindrica	Siru	Grass	Grassland
Phragmites karka	Narkat	Grass	Grassland
Saccharum bengalensis	Baruwa	Grass	Grassland
Saccharum spontaneum	Senth (Kansh)	Grass	Grassland
Typha elephentina	Pater	Grass	Grassland
Vetiveria zizannoides	Kansh	Grass	Grassland
Climbers (vines)			
Spatholus roxburghii	Debre Lahara	Climber	Sal (Shorea robusta) forest
Bauhunia valhlii	Bhorla	Climber	Sal (Shorea robusta) forest

DISCUSSION

In the previous study of the core zone of PWR (DNPWC 2006) the land use incorporated forest lands (91.78%), cultivated land (0.43%), shrub land (0.45%), and river or sand (7%). This study also incorporated the buffer zone areas so the land use has been changed. The area of forest land was reduced nearly by 5% and simultaneously increased in agriculture and builtup land nearly by 4.5%. In the previous study there was no incorporation of the grassland, but current study revealed that the reserve incorporated 1% area as grassland. The cultivated land inside the reserve

(Rambhori and Bhatta) were shifted to the outside location of PWR.Two villages (Ramauli and Pratappur) of Manahari VDCs of Makwanpur have evacuated from PWR core areas in 2013. About 200 ha of cultivated land and about 10 ha of settlement land has been converted into grassland. Currently those cultivated land was converted into grassland. So, there is increase in the grassland area inside the reserve. This study also reveled that there are slight increase in shrubland area. That is due to the incorporation of buffer zone in this study.

In the previous study, Tarai mixed hardwood forest was named as mixed deciduous hardwood forest (Chaudhary 1995, BPP 1996). At the canopy layer tree composition of the TMHF resembles with the current findings. The species composition in the ground flora was differing from the previous record. The envasive species *Eupatorium odoratum* was found prominent in the ground flora. This indicated that the envasive flora are gradually arising in the study area.

The current riverine forest type was previously recognized as mixed deciduous riverine forest (Chaudhary 1995, BPP 1996). The species composition in the forest was changed from the previous record. The previously reported tree species *Acacia catechu* and *Celtis tetranda* were not recorded from this forest.

Tree species in the canopy layer of Sal forest was similar with the previous finding of Chaudhary (1995). The shrub species of the sal forest was not recorded in previous record. The ground vegetation of Sal forest was differing from the previous findings. Previously *Curcuma leucorhiza* and *Costus speciosa* were the main species but currently *Cyperus compressus*, *Themeda arundinacea* and *Eulaliopsis binata* were common as ground flora.

Previously mentioned forests like Sal-Pine (Shorea robusta-Pinus roxburghii), Pine (Pinus roxburghii) as well as Khair (Acacia catechu) (Chaudhary 1995, BPP 1996) were not reporded in this study. Instead of those forests the combine forest naming Sal with Tarai mixed hardwood forest was reported in this study.

Previously vegetations (Fodder trees, grasses and climbers) grazed, browsed and damage of trees by wild elephants was not identified. Plenty of forage for elephants have been found in Bhabar zone and lower part of the PWR during the vegetation survey.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation and Parsa Wildlife Reserve for financial support with research permission. Thanks to Mr. Pashupati Adhikari for coordinating the field work activities and Mr. Promod Yadav for helping in data collection. Acknowledgement is expressed to Mr. Nilambar Mishra and Mr. Mohamad Manjur Ahamad for coordination and help. Thanks to Mr. Salikram Sigdel and Mr Ramesh Gautam for their hard work in data collection. Last but not least acknowledgement also goes to Mr. Bhola Dhakal GIS expert for his contribution in designing the Grids and sample plots by GIS method.

REFERENCES

Barnes, R.F.W. and I.D. Hamilton. 1982.The number and distribution patterns of large mammals in the Rauha-Rangwa area of southern Tanzania. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **19:**411-425.

Ben-Shahar, R. 1998. Changes in structure of savanna woodlands in northern Botswana following the impacts of elephants and fire. *Plant Ecology* **136:**189-194.

Blake, S. and S. Hedges. 2004. Sinking the flagship: The case of forest elephants in Asia and Africa. *Conservation Biology* **18:**1191-1202.

Blom, A., R. van Zalinge, E. Mbea, I.M.A. Heitkoning and H.H.T. Prins. 2004. Human impact on wildlife populations within a protected Central African Forest. *African Journal of Ecology* **43:**23-31.

BPP. 1996. An Assessment of the Representation of the Terrestrial Ecosystems within the Protected Area System of Nepal. Biodiversity

- Profiles Project (BPP), Publication No. 15. Ministry of Forest and Soil conservation, HMGN, Katmandu, Nepal.
- Chalise, M.K. 2008. *Nepalka Samrakshit Banyajantu* (Nepal's Protected Wildlife) (in Nepali). Shajha Prakashan, (A Corporate Publishing House), Lalitpur, Nepal, 116+12 pp.
- Chaudhary, R.P. 1995. *Biological and Cultural Diversity of Parsa Wildllife Reserve*. Institute of Biodiversity, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Corze, H. 1974. The Seronrabull problem II, The trees. *East Africa Wildlife Journal* **12:**29-47.
- Eltringham, S.K. 1982. *Elephants*. Poole, Dorset, Blandford Press.
- Field, C.R. 1976. The savana ecology of Kidepo Valley National Park, II, Feedingecology of elephant and giraffe. *East African Wildlife Journal* **14:**1-15.
- Fine, P.V.A., I. Mesones and P.D. Coley. 2004. Herbivores promote habitat specialization by trees in Amazonian forests. *Science* **305**:663-665.
- Hedges, S., M.J. Tyson, A.F. Sitompul, D. Gunaryadi and Aslan. 2005. Distribution, status and conservation needs of Asian elephants (*Elephus maximus*) in Lampung province, Sumatra, Indonesia. *Biological Conservation* 124:35-48.
- HMGN. 1999. Forest Resources of Nepal (1987-1998). Ministry of Forests and Soil Conservation, His Majesty's Government of Nepal, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- IUCN. 2006. 2006 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, WWW.iucnredlist.org.
- Jachmann, H. and R.H.V. Bell. 1985. Utilization by elephants of the Brachystegia woodland of

- the Kasungu Natioal Park, Malawi. *African Journal of Ecology* **23:**245-258.
- Laws, R.M. 1970. Elephants as agents of habitat and landscape change in East-Africa. *Oikos* 21:1-15.
- Luethold, W. 1977. Spatial organization and strategy of habitat utilization of elephant in Tsavo National Park Kenya. *Zeitchrift Saugetierkunde* **42:**358-379.
- Marquis, R.J. 2004. Herbivores rule. *Science* **305:**619-621.
- Owen-Smith, R.N. 1988. *Megaherbivores: The Influence of Very Large Body Size in Ecology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 369 pp.
- Pradhan, N.M.B. 2007. An Ecological Study of a Re-colonizing Population of Asian Elephants (Elephas maximus) in Lowland Nepal.
- Sharma, B.K., M.K. Chalise and G.S. Solanki. 2012. Vegetation types and wildlife occurrence in Baghmara Buffer Zone Community Forest, Nepal. *International Multidisciplinary Research Journal* **2(2):**52-65.
- Shrestha, M.N. 1979. Internal migration of people in Nepal. *Eastern Anthropology* **32:**163-176.
- Sinclair, A.R.E. 1975. The resource limitation of trophic level in tropical grassland ecosystems. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **44:**497-520.
- Smith, J.L.D. and H.R. Mishra. 1992. Status and distribution of Asian elephants in Central Nepal. *Oryx* **26:**34-38.
- Sukumar, R. 1989. *The Asian Elephant: Ecology* and Management. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 225 pp.
- Thorsrud, K. 1997. Ecological Impacts of Domestic Asian Elephant (Elephus maximus)

- on Vegetation and Wildlife during the Dry Season in Bardia National Park in Lowland Nepal. M.Sc. Thesis in Tropical Ecology, Agriculture University of Norway, Norway.
- Wiseman, R., B.R. Page and T.G. Connor. 2004.
 Woody vegetation change in response to browsing in Ithala Game Reserve, South Africa. South African Journal of Wildlife Research 34:25-37.
- Yadav, B.R. 1988. Vegetation Analysis of Lete Panchayat Protected Forest (Mustang). A project paper submitted to T.U., Institute of Forestry Central Campus, Pokhara, Nepal.
- Yadav, B.R. 2002. Asian Wild Elephants (Elephas maximus)- People Interface in East Nepal.
 M.Sc. Thesis, Agricultural University of Norway, Norway 108 pp.
- Yadav, B.R. 2005a. Asian wild elephant (*Elephas maximus*) in eastern Nepal. Nepal Forester's Association, Kathmandu, Nepal. *The Journal of Forestry* 12(3):68-81.

- Yadav, B.R. 2005b. Participatory biodiversity monitoring in buffer zone forest of Royal Bardia National Park. *Banko Janakari (A Journal of Forestry Information for Nepal)*. Department of Forest Research and Survey, Kathmandu Nepal, **115(1):**11-18.
- Yadav, B.R. and M.K. Chalise. 2013a. Nepalma Jungali Hatti ra Manish Bicha Dwanda (Conflict Between Elephant and Human in Nepal) (in Nepali). 18th Wildlife Week, 2070 BS. DNPWC, Government of Nepal. pp. 1-10.
- Yadav, B.R. and M.K. Chalise. 2013b. Study the Exploitation of Natural Resources (Fodder/Grass) in the Habitat of PAs by Domesticated Elephant in Nepal. Report submitted to the Department of National Park and Wildlife Conservation, Kathmandau.
- Zobel, D.B., P.K. Jha, M.J. Behan and U.K.R. Yadav. 1987. *A Practical Manual for Ecology*. Ratna Book Distributors, Kathmandu, Nepal.