A preliminary result on simple coppice management of Sal (Shorea robusta) forests of Nepal

B. Acharya 1 and K. P. Acharya 1

The Sal (Shorea robusta) forest is distributed in the Terai. The Sal forests occupy largest area of forests and are distributed most widely in the country. Majority of people mainly depends on this forest for fulfilling various forest products requirements. The forest was heavily exploited to generate state revenue, to meet the forest product demand, for resettlement programmes and for the expansion of agricultural land. In present days the forests are protected and green felling is prohibited. However, due to lack of planned management, despite being put under total protection, such forests are degrading in quantity and quality (Acharya 2000).

In recent years, there is a growing demand for information from local community as well as forestry professionals on how forests could be managed to meet the demand of forest products that is essential to sustain rural livelihood. The Department of Forest Research and Survey initiated research on Sal forests since 80's to generate forest management information that could maximise fodder and firewood production sustainably. There are various research sites located in different parts of the country. The present paper is based on research plots established in 1988 at Butwal of Rupandehi district in Western Nepal. The aim of this paper is to provide information on management options for simple coppice options for Sal forests that maximises total biomass production from Butwal site.

Objectives of the study

The main objective of the research were:

- to investigate best management practice to ensure the natural regeneration through coppice management.
- to identify best management practice that can maximise fodder and firewood production from degraded Terai forests of Nepal.

Description of the study sites

Butwal is situated in the Bhabar Terai, and the plots are at an altitude of 263 m.a.s.l. The soil texture is sandy loam with gravel. Basically, the climate is subtropical with regular monsoon in June - August. Frost seldom occurs and the annual average number of days with minus temperature is 0. (Jackson 1994). It is a tropical Sal forest having more than 80 percent Sal (Shorea robusta). Other associated tree species in this forest are Asna (Terminalia alata), Bijaya Sal (Pterocarpus marsupium) Amala (Phyllanthus emblica), Barro (Terminalia belerica), Bhalayo (Semicarpus anacardium) Botdhairo (Lagestroemia parviflora), Harro (Terminalia chebula), Karma (Adina cordifolia), Raj Briksha (Cassia fistula) and Sindure (Mallotus philipinensis).

Methods and tools

The block has been divided into four different treatment prescriptions: i) simple coppice, ii) high forest, iii) coppice with standards 50% and iv) coppice with standards 25% (Figure 1). Each of these management prescriptions has four treatments. This report analyses the simple coppice option only having the shortest rotation of four years. The simple coppice plot is divided into four plots, each of 500 m². The details of these treatments are described in various reports such as Acharya et al. 2002, FORESC 1996 and Tamrakar 1993, 1994.

Results and discussion

The total biomass output of Sal forests under simple coppice management option for a period of 3 rotations is presented in Table 2. The table shows that management intervention increases biomass production.

For the first rotation, the biomass production increases if management prescriptions are applied.

Department of Forest Research and Survey, GPO Box 3339, Kathmandu, Nepal.

N

Figure 1: Block layout of the research

3-2-1 shoots per stool	3 shoots per stool	Control	1 shoots per stool	Simple Coppice		
				Coppice with Standard 25%		
				Coppice with Standard 50%		
				High Forest		

The highest biomass is obtained with 3-2-1 s/s treatment applications. The biomass production in second rotation was significantly higher in comparison to first rotation. A three times increase in biomass was observed for control and two fold for rest of the treatments. The 1 s/s achieved higher production in third rotations. There was no such significant changes between the second and third rotations for rest of the treatments.

The table shows that there has not been any decrease in total biomass production within the simple coppice treatments until three rotations. The findings of Butwal sites are very nearer in terms of quantity to that of Dharan sites (Acharya 2003 et al.) with exception on total biomass production treatment. The lowest biomass production in first rotation compared to second and third rotations could be due to unfavourable growing condition at the beginning of the research. These degraded forests areas were under severe stress due to grazing, forest fire and human disturbances. Moreover, the development of root system with time may also have played important role for this trend. However, future productions with increased number of rotations and more studies in time and space could provide better information. The study also indicates that the production of woody biomass was significantly higher compared with foliage biomass production in all cases for each rotation. However, the annual production rate varies and high quantity of foliage production was observed.

Conclusion

The result of the study has shown that simple coppice management option is potentially the best one to produce fuelwood and fodder from Tropical Sal Forest in short rotation. There has not been any decrease of biomass production until the third rotations but there is an increase of total biomass

Table 2: Total biomass production for three rotations for Simple Coppice Management option (green ton)

Treatment	Product	1080 100	1000	First rotation 990 1991 1992		Total/ha	Second rotation			Total/ha	Third rotation					
		Product 1207			•	1993	1994	1995	1996		1997	1998	1999	2000	Total/ha	
	foliage	<u> </u>	Gigel	ı weight		_		Green v	veight				Green	weight		
	wood total	_	-	•	7.94	7.94		-	-	8.78	8.78	•	•	-	20.46	20.46
	Total		-	•	20.01	20.01	-			81.89	81.89	-	-	-	74.4	74.4
	foliage	2.30	0.70		27.95	27.95		-	-	90.67	90.67			•	94.86	94.86
	wood total	1.10	v./U	0.40	8.92	12.32	3.8	0.48	0.108	9.08	13.45	3.5	2.50	0.08	17.24	23.32
	Total	3.40	v.Ju	0.10	28.23	29.73	1.04	0.19	0.004	71.32	73.35	3.8	1.06	0.02	70.78	75.66
u.	foliage	2.10		0.50	37.15	42.05	4.84	0.67	0.10	80.40	86.8	7.30	3.56	1.00	88.02	98.98
	wood total	1.10	******	2.90	9.64	16.04	3.0		2,54	9.10	6.86	4.5	3.58	4.26	17.5	29.84
	Total	3.20		5.60	29.52	37.52	1.2	1.09	3.85	79.90	86.04	4.2	6.80	10.64	47.4	69.04
V	foliage	6.70	2.70	8.50	39.16	53.56	4.2		6.39	80.00	92.9	8.7	10.38	14.90	64.9	98.88
	wood total	3.60	******	1.20	9.20	18.30	4.71	1.53	0.49	4.69	11.42	12.8	4.56	0.34	28.82	46.72
	Total	10.30		.060	25.60	31.80			0.29	55.89	87.61	10.3	3.00	0.04	54.09	69.85
Land for s			,,,	1.80	35.80	50.10	6.56	-	0.78	60.58	99.03	23.10	7.56	0.38	82.91	116.57

VA sand for stems per stump

production. If fodder requirement is the management option, earlier years of the rotation needs to be best managed. Similarly, 1 s/s is the best treatment for fuelwood and foliage production. This management option is not suitable to produce timber, except some weaving materials (*Bhata*). The yields of different products for each of the options gave an indication of the productivity that could be expected following different management options in degraded terai Sal forests.

References

Acharya, K.P., Tamrakar, P.R., Gautam, G. Regmi, R Adhikari, A. and B. Acharya 2002. Managing tropical Sal (Shorea robusta) of Nepal in short rotations: findings of a 12-year long research, *Banko Janakari* 12(1): 71-75.

- Acharya, K.P. 2000. Unfavourable structure of forest in the Terai of Nepal needs immediate management. *Banko Janakari* 10 (2): 25-28.
- Tamrakar, P.R. 1993. Management Options for degraded Sal (Shorea robusta) forest in the Terai, FORSC Research Leaflet No 1. Forest Research and. Survey Centre, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Tamrakar, P. R. 1994. Coppice Management of Shorea robusta forests in Nepal. *Banko Jankari* 4(2): 176-179.
- FORESC. 1996. Research on the Re-growth of Sal Coppice at Butwal. Research leaflet no 6, Forest Research and Survey Centre, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Jackson, J. K. 1994. Manual of afforestation in Nepal. Nepal-UK Forestry Research Project, Babarmahal, Kathmandu.